CPA 21-12, Waterside FLUM, 03/26/2024 Application Materials



March 26, 2024

HAND DELIVERY

Samantha Lovelady, AICP, Principal Planner Martin County Growth Management Department 2401 S.E. Monterey Road Stuart, FL 34996

RE: CPA #22-12, Waterside Comprehensive Plan FLUM Amendment –Response to Staff Analysis Comments Dated December 15, 2023 (Our Ref. #23-050)

Dear Samantha:

Please find enclosed a supplemental application fee check in the amount of \$500.00 and our formal responses to the Staff Analysis Comments provided on December 15, 2023. I have also enclosed one hard copy of the relevant documents and exhibits listed below, along with a CD with PDF copies of the resubmitted materials.

The revised application materials, supplemental materials and previously submitted application materials that support our responses to the staff comments are more specifically identified as follows:

- Application form;
- Legal description and sketch;
- FLUM Amendment Application Description/Justification report;
- Location map;
- Current aerial;
- Current parcel map;
- Existing Future Land Use map;
- Proposed Future Land Use map;
- Existing Zoning:
- Proposed Zoning:
- Map Depicting Adjacent Non-Agricultural Development;
- Proposed TEXT Amendments (for reference only);
- Proposed Figure 4-2 (for reference only);
- Proposed Figure 11-1 (for reference only;
- Proposed Figure 11-2 (for reference only;
- FEMA Flood Map;
- Soil Type Map;
- School Location Map;
- Water and Sewer Availability Worksheet;
- Email from Martin County Utilities dated February 29, 2024;
- Martin County Utilities Letter Dated March 6, 2023; and
- Updated Traffic Impact Analysis.

Samantha Lovelady March 26, 2024 Page 2 of 18

These materials have been provided in response to the staff comments as outlined below. Please note the staff comment has been provided in **bold type** and the applicant's RESPONSE follows.

RESPONSE to Staff Comments:

APPLICANT REQUEST:

This is an application to amend the Future Land Use Map (FLUM), an exhibit to the Comprehensive Growth Management Plan, from Agriculture (allowing one unit per 20 acres) to Low Density Residential (allowing five units per acre) on approximately 369.89 acres. RESPONSE: As per the revised legal description and Application Description and Justification report enclosed, the land use area has been updated to include 396.81 acres.

A separate application has been submitted (CPA 21-11) to expand the Primary Urban Service District (PUSD) and make other text amendments concurrent with the FLUM amendment considered in this report. CPA 21-11 will be considered separately.

RESPONSE: Agree. However, since the TEXT amendment must be approved before FLUM amendment, the restrictions to density and commitment to providing public facilities must be considered in the evaluation of the FLUM Amendment. Specifically, the TEXT Amendment restricts the maximum number of residential units to 1,050 units (2.6 units per acre) and provides the following commitment to providing public facilities:

"The owner/developer shall plan and appropriately fund public facilities consistent with Policy 14.1B.2, which requires that future development pay the full cost of capital facilities needed to address the impacts of such development. This shall include an amendment to the Capital Improvements Element, if needed, and a PUD Agreement and/or Development Agreement that addresses public facilities, infrastructure and the timing of development."

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff does not recommend approval of the proposed FLUM amendment for the reasons outlined in the staff report.

RESPONSE: The following responses and supplemental application materials provide competent and substantial evidence that address staff comments and enable a staff recommendation of approval consistent with the Comprehensive Growth Management Plan policies and Florida Statutes.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The applicant seeks to change agricultural land to residential land with a substantial density increase on the edge of an urban area containing other residential and commercial uses. The change from agricultural to residential use will also be adjacent to industrial lands where construction is underway, but the actual industrial uses are not yet present. This staff report is based upon application materials with acreages and boundaries that are <u>not</u> consistent with other Future Land Use Map amendments, recently adopted, that expanded some areas and contracted other areas of the adjacent Industrial land. Acreages in this document and the application materials do not match because the application materials need to be revised. This document will remain a draft document until such time as the application materials for CPA 21-12 are consistent with amendments adopted December 5, 2023.

RESPONSE: The application has been updated consistent with the recently adopted Comprehensive Plan FLUM and TEXT amendments referenced in the comment above. Specifically, the land use amendment request now includes 396 acres of Agricultural future land use to be converted to 396 acres of Low Density Residential future land use. This area is immediately adjacent to the existing 250 acres of Industrial future land use that includes the South Florida Gateway PUD and the future Martin County Operations Center, which will remain unchanged except for conversion to Primary Urban Service District as part of CPA 21-11.

- 2.1 Criteria for a Future Land Use Amendment (Section 1-11 CGMP)
 In evaluating each Future Land Use Map amendment request or a text amendment request which changes an allowable use of land for a specific parcel, staff begins with the assumption that the Future Land Use Map, as amended, is generally an accurate representation of the intent of the Board of County Commissioners, and thus the community, for the future of Martin County. Based on this assumption, staff can recommend approval of a requested change provided it is consistent with all other elements of this Plan and at least one of the following four situations has been demonstrated by the applicant to exist. If staff cannot make a positive finding regarding any of the items in (a) through (d), staff shall recommend denial.
 - (a) Past changes in land use designations in the general area make the proposed use logical and consistent with these uses and adequate public services are available; or

The most substantial future land use changes in the area occurred when approximately 250 acres of Commercial Waterfront and Industrial land were designated along the Okeechobee Waterway and later swapped with Agricultural land to create a Freestanding Urban Service District for industrial development along SW Kanner Hwy. These amendments were intended to create jobs and the Freestanding Urban Service District included a companion text amendment prohibiting residential development and any vehicular connection to SW 96th St. The Industrial development on the Freestanding Urban Service District instead utilizes the recently widened SW Kanner Hwy. RESPONSE: Agree. The development of the adjacent South Florida Gateway Industrial Park and the future Martin County Operations Center on the 250 acres of Industrial land use creates the mix of uses and employment opportunities, and expanded public facilities and services that support additional residential land use on the subject property.

CPA 19-22, Publix Supermarket was a small change from Agricultural to General Commercial intended to minimize or prevent impacts to wetlands on the existing General Commercial acreage at the intersection of SW 96th St. and SW Kanner Hwy. This amendment did not change the character of the area. Development that results from this amendment will serve the existing residential areas and utilize the recent widening of SW Kanner Hwy.

RESPONSE: Based on conversations with Publix development consultants, the existing surrounding residential development does not have the critical mass at this time to justify construction of a greater.

residential development does not have the critical mass at this time to justify construction of a grocery store at the intersection of SW 96th St. and SW Kanner Highway. The government approval entitlements obtained by Publix are in anticipation of future residential growth in the area. The proposed land use amendment to Low Density Residential would be a complementary addition to the mix of commercial, industrial, institutional and private recreational uses in the surrounding area and help bring the critical mass needed to build the Publix. The construction of a Publix would help reduce trips by the local residents that currently drive more than 4 miles east on Kanner Highway to purchase groceries.

A residential future land use change in the area was CPA 19-19 Pulte at Christ Fellowship. That amendment changed 321 acres from Rural Density (one unit per two acres) to Residential Estate Density (one unit per acre) within the existing Secondary Urban Service District east of S.W. Pratt Whitney Rd. and north of S.W. Bulldog Way. It increased the number of potential units from 160 to 321. It did not require an expansion of any urban service district and utilized existing public services available in the Secondary Urban Service District.

RESPONSE: Agree

In contrast with the future land use changes described above, the proposed amendment increases the potential number of residential units from 18 to 1,849 and requires an expansion of urban facilities and the Primary Urban Service District. Future land use changes and the provision of urban services have changed in the area from what was planned in decades past. But, substantially more public facilities and services appear necessary to support an additional 1,831 residential units that will access SW 96th St. Adequate public services do not appear available. See the attached Memorandum from the Martin County Utilities Department, date October 15, 2023 and the attached Memorandum from the Traffic Engineering Administrator dated December 13, 2023. This criterion has not been met.

RESPONSE: Disagree. The comments from Martin County Utilities and the Martin County Public Works Department do not support the statement above that "Adequate public services do not appear available". In addition, the maximum number of residential dwelling units on the 396-acre subject property is limited to 1,050 (2.6 units per acre) by the concurrent Comprehensive Plan TEXT amendment CPA #21-11

The staff analysis does not appear to consider, 1) the letter from Martin County Utilities dated March 6, 2023 that confirms adequate capacity to provide wastewater service, 2) the previously submitted Water and Sewer Availability Worksheet, and 3) the previously submitted Traffic Impact Analysis (See updated version enclosed).

The property to be added to the PUSD is ideally situated adjacent to urban infrastructure and surrounded by more than 80% non-agricultural development. See enclosed map depicting adjacent non-agricultural development.

The Traffic Engineering staff provided their results without providing an analysis. The staff appears to have evaluated the traffic conditions based on a total of 1,865 dwelling units. As stated above, the proposed future land use amendment is being processed simultaneously with a text amendment (CPA 21-11) that limits the total number of units on the property to 1,050 units or 2.6 units per acre. Approval of the text amendment CPA 21-11, which includes the expansion of the Primary Urban Service District, must be approved prior to approval of the future land use amendment. CPA 21-11 will put in place the restriction on the maximum number of units on the 396-acre parcel. Therefore, the scenario described by the traffic engineering department, and the number of dwelling units used in its analysis, is one that cannot occur.

The full range of urban public facilities and services is already being provided for uses within the adjacent Primary Urban Service District and the 250 acres of Industrial land use within the adjacent Freestanding Urban Service Area.

Since the TEXT amendment must be approved before FLUM amendment, the restrictions to density and commitment to providing public facilities must be considered in the evaluation of the FLUM Amendment. Specifically, the TEXT Amendment restricts the maximum number of residential units to 1,050 units (2.6 units per acre) and provides the following commitment to providing public facilities:

"The owner/developer shall plan and appropriately fund public facilities consistent with Policy 14.1B.2, which requires that future development pay the full cost of capital facilities needed to address the impacts of such development. This shall include an amendment to the Capital Improvements Element, if needed, and a PUD Agreement and/or Development Agreement that addresses public facilities, infrastructure and the timing of development."

In furtherance of the enclosed email from Martin County Utilities dated February 29, 2024 and as documented in the companion TEXT amendment, the applicant acknowledges and commits to make improvements to expand the capacity of the transportation network and provide proportionate share contributions to Martin County Utilities that may include well sites, wells, and other improvements to accommodate the additional area to be added to the PUSD. These contributions would be in addition to customary impact fees and capital facility charges. For these reasons, criterion (a) has been met.

(b) Growth in the area, in terms of development of vacant land, redevelopment and availability of public services, has altered the character of the area such that the proposed request is now reasonable and consistent with area land use characteristics; or

Infill development of vacant land has occurred in St. Lucie Falls, River Forest, River Marina, The Florida Club and the 321 acres known as Pulte at Christ Fellowship. Urban services are provided to these areas. Crystal Lake Elementary (on the north side of SW 96th St.) and some commercial development on at the intersection of SW 96th St. and Kanner Hwy. and the widening of SW Kanner Hwy. have altered the character of the area from the 1982. The expansion of the Primary Urban Service District south of SW 96th St. that was later swapped for a 250-acre Freestanding Urban Service District along SW Kanner Hwy. has also changed the character of the area. This criterion has been met however, the "availability of public services" to serve an additional 1,831 residential units remains an issue. This criterion has been met.

RESPONSE: Agree, the criterion has been met. Please note the response above regarding the availability of public services and commitment

(c) The proposed change would correct what would otherwise appear to be an inappropriately assigned land use designation; or

The assigned Agricultural future land use designation is not "inappropriate" since the property has been used for agricultural crops for decades and is adjacent to agricultural land to the north, east and west. The proposed change does not correct an inappropriate designation. This criterion has not been met.

RESPONSE: Disagree. The subject property is adjacent to the Primary Urban Service District and a Freestanding Urban Service District. It is surrounded by non-agricultural land uses on more than 80%

of its boundary. The existing Agricultural land use was appropriate when the land use maps were created in 1982 and through the past several decades.

However, there are currently no bona fide agricultural uses surrounding the property, which qualifies the property as an infill development. The Agricultural land use properties north of the property are all occupied by single family residential structures, with four of the five having active homestead exemptions. The Ag Ranchette land use properties east of the property are all occupied by single family residential structures, with three of the three having active homestead exemptions. The one Agricultural land use property south of the property is owned by the Three Lakes Golf Course and is listed on the property appraiser's website as vacant with no bona fide agricultural uses occurring on that property.

As per criteria (a) above, growth in the area, in terms of development of vacant land and the availability of public services, has altered the character of the area such that the proposed request is now reasonable and consistent with area land use characteristics. To this end, the uses on the surrounding properties have changed resulting in the property no longer having an appropriate land use designation. Criterion (c) has been met.

(d) The proposed change would fulfill a public service need that enhances the health, safety or general welfare of County residents.

This does not correct a public service need. This criterion has not been met. RESPONSE: Agree

As stated above, if staff cannot make a positive finding regarding any of the items in (a) through (d), staff shall recommend denial. One of the four criteria in this section have received a positive finding.

RESPONSE: Agree. The criterion has been met and staff can recommend approval. While staff have demonstrated compliance with at least one criterion, which is all that is required, our application provides competent and substantial evidence that the application meets 3 of the 4 criteria for recommending approval of a land use amendment.

2.2. Urban Sprawl

Florida Statutes Section 163.3177(6)(a)9.a. states that any amendment to the future land use element shall discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl and provides thirteen indicators to judge whether a future land use amendment discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl.

Urban sprawl is defined as a development pattern characterized by low density, automobile-dependent development with either a single use or multiple uses that are not functionally related, requiring the extension of public facilities and services in an inefficient manner, and failing to provide a clear separation between urban and rural uses.

Section 163.3177(3)(a)9.b., F.S. provides an additional eight criteria. If a Plan amendment achieves four of the eight additional criteria the amendment discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl. An evaluation of the thirteen indicators for urban sprawl and a determination on the eight criteria for this future land use request follows:

(I). Promotes, allows, or designates for development substantial areas of the jurisdiction to develop as low-intensity, Low Density, or single-use development or uses.

The proposed Low Density Residential, at maximum of 5 units per acres, provides for single use, Low Density, single-family development. Does not discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl.

RESPONSE: Disagree. The Low Density Residential land use allows a mix of housing types and densities including townhomes, condominiums and apartments through the implementation of various zoning districts or through a PUD Zoning Agreement, which is required by the companion TEXT amendment CPA 21-11.

(II). Promotes, allows, or designates significant amounts of urban development to occur in rural areas at substantial distances from existing urban areas while not using undeveloped lands that are available and suitable for development.

The location is not a substantial distance from the urban area. The PUSD is across from the subject site on SW 96th Street. It is adjacent to 250 acres of Industrial land currently being developed. Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl.

RESPONSE: Agree.

(III). Promotes, allows, or designates urban development in radial, strip, isolated, or ribbon patterns generally emanating from existing urban developments.

The proposed urban development does not extend in radial, strip, or ribbon patterns from the existing urban service districts. Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl.

RESPONSE: Agree

(IV). Fails to adequately protect and conserve natural resources, such as wetlands, floodplains, native vegetation, environmentally sensitive areas, natural groundwater aquifer recharge areas, lakes, rivers, shorelines, beaches, bays, estuarine systems, and other significant natural systems.

There are no wetlands that have been delineated, floodplains, native vegetation, environmentally sensitive areas, natural groundwater aquifer recharge areas, lakes, shorelines, beaches, bays, or estuarine systems. Depending on the final boundary for the proposed amendment, Roebuck Creek may be part of the area proposed for a future land use change. Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl.

RESPONSE: Agree.

(V). Fails to adequately protect adjacent agricultural areas and activities, including silviculture, active agricultural and silvicultural activities, passive agricultural activities, and dormant, unique, and prime farmlands and soils.

The 369.89-acre parcel is currently being used for agricultural production. Does not discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl.

RESPONSE: Disagree. The subject property, which is 396 acres, is adjacent to the Primary Urban Service District and a Freestanding Urban Service District. It is surrounded by non-agricultural land uses on more than 80% of its boundary. The existing Agricultural land use was appropriate when the land use maps were created in 1982 and through the past several decades. However, as per land use amendment criteria (a) above, growth in the area, in terms of development of vacant land and the availability of public services, has altered the character of the area such that the proposed request is now reasonable and consistent with area land use characteristics.

(VI). Fails to maximize use of existing public facilities and services.

Services have not been planned for the subject property. See the attached memorandum

from Martin County Utilities, dated October 15, 2023. The subject site is outside the Martin County Service Area and utility master plan buildout. Does not discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl.

RESPONSE: Disagree. The subject property is adjacent to the Primary Urban Service District and a Freestanding Urban Service District. It is surrounded by non-agricultural land uses on more than 80% of its boundary. The comments from Martin County Utilities do not support the assertion that approval of the land use amendment "fails to maximize the use of existing public facilities and services".

A full range of urban public facilities and services is already being provided for uses within the adjacent Primary Urban Service District and the 250 acres of industrial land use within the adjacent freestanding urban service area.

The applicant acknowledges and commits to make improvements to expand the capacity of the transportation network and provide proportionate share contributions to Martin County Utilities that may include well sites, wells, and other improvements to accommodate the additional area to be added to the PUSD. These contributions would be in addition to customary impact fees and capital facility charges.

(VII). Fails to maximize use of future public facilities and services.

Services have not been planned for the subject property. See the attached memorandum from Martin County Utilities, dated October 15, 2023. The subject site is outside the Martin County Service Area and utility master plan buildout. The proposed addition of 1,831 residential units could maximize use of future public facilities and services. Discourages the proliferation of sprawl.

RESPONSE: Agree that the land use change discourages the proliferation of sprawl as explained above. Disagree with 1,831 units. The maximum number of residential dwelling units on the 396-acre subject property is limited to 1,050 (2.6 units per acre) by the concurrent Comprehensive Plan text amendment CPA 21-11.

(VIII). Allows for land use patterns or timing which disproportionately increase the cost in time, money, and energy of providing and maintaining facilities and services, including roads, potable water, sanitary sewer, stormwater management, law enforcement, education, health care, fire and emergency response, and general government.

The proposed future land use designation would locate development in proximity to existing services including storm water management, law enforcement, health care, fire and emergency response and general government services. Although utility services are in close proximity, water and sewer services have not been planned for the subject property. Please see the attached memorandum from the Martin County Utilities Department. Does not discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl.

RESPONSE: Disagree. Agree with first sentence only. The comments from Martin County Utilities do not support the assertion that approval of the land use amendment would allow for a land use pattern or timing that would disproportionately increase the cost in time, money and energy of providing and maintaining public facilities and services.

The subject property is adjacent to the Primary Urban Service District and a Freestanding Urban Service District. It is surrounded by non-agricultural land uses on more than 80% of its boundary.

A full range of urban public facilities and services is already being provided for uses within the adjacent Primary Urban Service District and the 250 acres of industrial land use within the adjacent freestanding urban service area.

The applicant acknowledges and commits to make improvements to expand the capacity of the transportation network and provide proportionate share contributions to Martin County Utilities that may include well sites, wells, and other improvements to accommodate the additional area to be added to the PUSD. These contributions would be in addition to customary impact fees and capital facility charges.

(IX). Fails to provide a clear separation between rural and urban uses.

This FLUM amendment would permit urban uses on what are now known as rural lands. The Low Density Residential future land use will be adjacent on three sides of five parcels which will continue to have an Agricultural future land use designation on S.W. 96th street. It would also be adjacent to Agricultural Ranchette parcels that access SW Kanner Hwy. Does not discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl.

RESPONSE: Disagree. The subject property is adjacent to the Primary Urban Service District and a Freestanding Urban Service District. It is surrounded by non-agricultural land uses on more than 80% of its boundary. The five Agricultural parcels referenced above are all occupied by single family residential structures which are compatible with the proposed use. The three Agricultural Ranchette parcels are also occupied by single family residential structures compatible with the proposed use.

(X). Discourages or inhibits infill development or the redevelopment of existing neighborhoods and communities.

The proposed future land use is not considered infill development and is not considered redevelopment. Greenfield development, as proposed, may discourage infill of the existing urban areas. Does not discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl.

RESPONSE: Disagree. As documented in the Residential Capacity Analysis submitted with the concurrent text amendment to expand the Primary Urban Service District (CPA #21-11), there are no vacant residential lands or redevelopment areas within the adjacent urban areas to support the major employment opportunities provided by the South Florida Gateway PUD, Martin County Operations Center, various public schools, churches and Rural Lifestyle projects in close proximity to the subject property.

The subject property has been used for row crops for decades, however all of the properties surrounding the property have changed. There are currently no bona fide agricultural uses surrounding the property, which qualifies the subject property as an infill development. The Agricultural land use properties north of the property are all occupied by single family residential structures, with four of the five having active homestead exemptions.

The Ag Ranchette land use properties east of the property are all occupied by single family residential structures, with three of the three having active homestead exemptions. The one Agricultural land use property south of the property is owned by the Three Lakes Golf Course and is listed on the property appraiser's website as vacant, with no bona fide agricultural uses occurring on that property.

(XI). Fails to encourage a functional mix of uses.

The proposed Low Density Residential land use designation does not encourage a functional mix of uses. A single-family neighborhood, with no other uses proposed, does not provide any

internal trip capture. Does not discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl.

RESPONSE: Disagree. The close proximity to public facilities and services and the creation of the South Florida Gateway Industrial Park and future Martin County Operations Center on the adjacent 250 acres of Industrial land use creates the mix of uses, employment opportunities and expansion of public facilities and services that support additional residential land use on the subject property. The Low Density Residential land use allows a mix of housing types and densities including townhomes, condominiums and apartments through the implementation of various zoning districts or through a PUD Zoning Agreement.

(XII). Results in poor accessibility among linked or related land uses.

This proposed change faces SW 96th Street, a minor arterial road, and is in proximity to SW Kanner Hwy. which is a major arterial road. Access to the property would be provided by SW 96th Street, and would share that access with other single family neighborhoods. It is approximately one-half mile to commercial uses. Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl.

RESPONSE: Agree.

(XIII). Results in the loss of significant amounts of functional open space.

The proposed change does not affect public open space. The existing Agricultural future land use designation requires a minimum 50 percent open space and the proposed Low Density Residential future land use designation permits a minimum 50 percent open space on the subject parcel. Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl.

RESPONSE: Agree.

Staff analysis finds this amendment discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl under five of the 13 criteria listed above.

RESPONSE: Disagree. For the reasons stated above, the proposed amendment meets 13 of the 13 criteria that discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl.

2.2.1. Proliferation of Urban Sprawl

For the application to be determined to discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl, the amendment must incorporate development patterns or urban forms that achieve four or more of the following:

(I). Directs or locates economic growth and associated land development to geographic areas of the community in a manner that does not have an adverse impact on and protects natural resources and ecosystems.

Directs and locates growth and associated development to a geographic area adjacent to the Primary Urban Service District where agriculture replaced the natural habitat and ecosystem decades ago. Discourages the proliferation of sprawl.

RESPONSE: Agree.

(II). Promotes the efficient and cost-effective provision or extension of public infrastructure and services.

The proposed future land use designation would require public infrastructure and services that are not currently planned. However, the site is located adjacent to the Primary Urban Service District and a Freestanding industrial Urban Service District. Discourages the proliferation of sprawl.

RESPONSE: Agree.

(III). Promotes walkable and connected communities and provides for compact development and a mix of uses at densities and intensities that will support a range of housing choices and a multimodal transportation system, including pedestrian, bicycle, and transit, if available. The proposed Low Density Residential future land use on the property does not provide for walkable and connected communities and does not provide a mix of uses at densities and intensities to support multimodal transportation systems. Does not discourage the proliferation of sprawl.

RESPONSE: Disagree. The Low Density Residential future land use allows a mix of housing types and densities including townhomes, condominiums and apartments through the implementation of various zoning districts or through a PUD Zoning Agreement. The County's land development regulations and public benefits associated with a PUD Zoning Agreement, which is required by way of CPA 21-11, will ensure walkable and connected communities that support a range of housing choices and multi-modal transportation systems.

(IV). Promotes conservation of water and energy.

The single use residential development permitted by the Low Density Residential future land use does not encourage internal trip capture, consuming energy. However, the 368 acres is located adjacent to the PUSD and a Freestanding Urban Service District requiring a minimal extension of utility lines. Does not discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl. RESPONSE: Disagree with first and last sentence. Agree with second sentence with correction to 396 acres. The close proximity to public facilities and services and the creation of jobs at the South Florida Gateway PUD, the future Martin County Operations Center and surrounding schools and churches create a functional mix of uses for internal capture in the area that reduces vehicular traffic.

(V). Preserves agricultural areas and activities, including silviculture, and dormant, unique, and prime farmlands and soils.

The proposed amendment does not preserve agricultural areas and activities. Does not discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl.

RESPONSE: Agree.

(VI). Preserves open space and natural lands and provides for public open space and recreation needs.

The Agricultural future land use designation currently requires a minimum 50 percent open space and the proposed Low Density Residential future land use designation requires a minimum 50 percent open space. The proposed future land use does not provide for public open space. Does not discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl.

RESPONSE: Neither the existing Agricultural land use nor the proposed Low Density Residential land use provides public open space.

(VII). Creates a balance of land uses based upon demands of residential population for the nonresidential needs of an area.

The proposed change does not appear to create a balance of uses based upon demand of residential population for nonresidential needs. It would create an auto dependent single-family neighborhood. It is possible the adjacent South Florida Gateway PUD will provide jobs for residents where the Low Density Residential future land use is proposed. However, it is not clear the Industrial development on the Gateway PUD will provide enough jobs for

1,831 additional residential units and all of the existing residential units in the area. Does not discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl.

RESPONSE: Disagree. The Low Density Residential future land use allows a mix of housing types and densities including townhomes, condominiums and apartments through the implementation of various zoning districts or through a PUD Zoning Agreement. The County's land development regulations and public benefits associated with PUD Zoning Agreements, which is required by way of CPA 21-11, will ensure walkable and connected communities that support a range of housing choices and multi-modal transportations systems. The maximum number of residential dwelling units on the 396-acre subject property is limited to 1,050 (2.6 units per acre) by the concurrent Comprehensive Plan text amendment CPA 21-11. Based on the Residential Capacity Analysis submitted with CPA 21-11, these units are needed to support the existing needs of surrounding employment centers (schools and churches) and the future employees within the South Florida Gateway PUD, the Martin County Operations Center and the surrounding Rural Lifestyle projects.

(VIII). Provides uses, densities, and intensities of use and urban form that would remediate an existing or planned development pattern in the vicinity that constitutes sprawl or if it provides for an innovative development pattern such as transit-oriented developments or new towns as defined in s. 163.3164.

The proposed change does not involve development patterns for transit-oriented development or new towns defined in Section 163.3164. Does not discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl.

RESPONSE: Neither the existing Agricultural land use, surrounding urban areas nor the proposed Low Density Residential land use provides development patterns for new towns as defined in section 163.3164.

Of the eight criteria listed above, two have been met to determine the application discourages urban sprawl.

RESPONSE: The proposed amendment meets five of the six criteria that are applicable to the request.

2.3. Land Use Compatibility

The proposed Low Density Residential future land use is compatible with the existing Commercial Waterfront, Mobile Home, and Residential Estate Density 2UPA to the north of the subject property. The Low Density Residential future land use would be adjacent on three sides of five parcels that have the Agricultural future land use designation on S.W. 96th street. It would also be adjacent to Agricultural Ranchette parcels that access SW Kanner Hwy.

To the south of the subject site, the Three Lakes Golf Club PUD, on 1,219 acres has been approved and golf course construction is under way. A 204-acre site of vacant acreage sits between the subject site and the Three Lakes Golf Club PUD. The 204-acre site has an agricultural future land use.

It is less compatible with the Industrial future land use to the south and east of the property. Policy 4.13.10 states "Industrially designated areas are not generally adaptive to residential use, and they shall not be located in areas designated for residential development unless planned for a mixed-use development allowed under Goal 4.3 or in a large-scale PUD".

RESPONSE: Agree. The proposed land use amendment is generally compatible with adjacent

land uses. A smooth transition between land uses is ensured by application of land use transition and buffering techniques required by the land development code. The concurrent text amendment for the subject property (CPA #21-11) requires approval of site plans by way of a large-scale PUD Zoning Agreement, which can further ensure compatibility with adjacent land uses.

2.4 Consistency with the Comprehensive Growth Management Plan
This section of the staff report will address the descriptions of the existing and proposed
land use designations as discussed in Goal 4.13., Comprehensive Growth Management
Plan, Martin County Code (CGMP).

The neighboring communities of River Forest and St. Lucie Falls have developed under a density of approximately 6 units per acre. With the requested density of 5 units per acre, the Low Density Residential designation is not incompatible with the existing neighborhoods. The proposed density does not appear to impact the stability and integrity of the established residential development.

RESPONSE: Agree

2.4.1 Conversion of Land

Policy 4.13A.1(2) must be considered when changing the Agricultural, Agricultural Ranchette or the Rural Density future land use designations to another designation. The Board must make findings described in subsections (a) and (b) below.

- (2) Conversion of land designated Agricultural on the FLUM. Agriculturally designated land may be redesignated only by an amendment to the FLUM. The intent of this section aims to permit such an amendment upon a finding by the Board of County Commissioners that the applicant has demonstrated:
 - (a) The proposed development shall not adversely impact the hydrology of the area or the productive capacity of adjacent farmlands not included in the amendment application in any other manner;

Staff analysis. An analysis of the hydrology of the area was not provided. Section 1.7 of this report identifies the agricultural tax classification used by the Property Appraisers office to assess the surrounding properties.

(b) The proposed land conversion is a logical and timely extension of a more intense land use designation in a nearby area, considering existing and anticipated land use development patterns; consistency with the goals and objectives of the CGMP; and availability of supportive services, including improved roads, recreation amenities, adequate school capacity, satisfactory allocations of water and wastewater facilities, and other needed supportive facilities. Such findings shall be based on soil potential analysis and agricultural site assessment."

A soil potential analysis and agricultural site assessment were not included in the application materials.

RESPONSE: See Agricultural Assessment enclosed.

2.5. Capital Facilities Impact (i.e. Concurrency Management)

Policy 4.1B.2. of the Future Land Use Element states: "All requests for amendments to the FLUMs shall include a general analysis of (1) the availability and adequacy of public facilities and (2) the level of services required for public facilities in the proposed land uses. This analysis shall address, at a minimum, the availability of category A and category C service facilities as defined in the Capital Improvements Element. No amendment shall be approved unless present or planned public facilities and services will be capable of meeting the adopted LOS standards of this Plan for the proposed land uses. The Capital Improvements Element, or other relevant plan provisions, and the FLUMS may be amended concurrently to satisfy this criterion. The intent of this provision is to ensure that the elements of the CGMP remain internally consistent."

2.5.1. Mandatory Facilities

2.5.1.1. Water/Sewer Facilities

Potable water and wastewater service capacity reservations for this development must be reserved through a Water and Wastewater Service Agreement with Martin County Utilities. There is no planned capacity for the proposed residential uses.

See the attached memorandum from the Utility Department staff, dated October 15, 2023 RESPONSE: Since the TEXT amendment (CPA 21-11) must be approved before the FLUM amendment, the restrictions to density and commitment to providing public facilities must be considered in the evaluation of the FLUM Amendment. Specifically, the TEXT Amendment restricts the maximum number of residential units to 1,050 units (2.6 units per acre) and provides the following commitment to providing public facilities:

"The owner/developer shall plan and appropriately fund public facilities consistent with Policy 14.1B.2, which requires that future development pay the full cost of capital facilities needed to address the impacts of such development. This shall include an amendment to the Capital Improvements Element, if needed, and a PUD Agreement and/or Development Agreement that addresses public facilities, infrastructure and the timing of development."

In furtherance of the enclosed email from Martin County Utilities dated February 29, 2024 and as documented in the companion TEXT amendment, the applicant acknowledges and commits to make improvements to expand the capacity and provide proportionate share contributions to Martin County Utilities that may include well sites, wells, and other improvements to accommodate the additional area to be added to the PUSD. These contributions would be in addition to customary impact fees and capital facility charges that are required to reserve capacity.

2.5.1.2. Drainage Facilities

Level of Service for drainage facilities is listed below. Compliance with the following levels of service requirements must be evaluated with the submittal of a site plan. The developed site must comply with the following policies.

Policy 14.1A.2.(2) County water management systems: Level of Service

Major Drainage Ways (over one square mile) - 8.5" in a 24-hour period (25 year/24-hour design storm)

Underground Facilities Utilizing Storm Sewers - 6" in a 24-hour period (5 year/24-hour

design storm)

All Other Facilities - 7" in a 24-hour period (10-year/24-hour design storm)

Finished Floor Elevation - 100-year/3-day storm

- (a) Building floors shall be at or above the 100-year flood elevations, as determined from the most appropriate information, including Federal Flood Insurance Rate Maps. Both tidal flooding and the 100-year, 3-day storm event shall be considered in determining elevations. Lower floor elevations will be considered for agricultural buildings and boat storage facilities that are nonresidential and not routinely accessed by the public.
- (b) All project sites shall control the timing of discharges to preclude any off-site impact for any storm event. The peak discharge rate shall not exceed the predevelopment discharge rate for the 25-year frequency, 3-day duration storm event.

The minimum roadway flood protection design storm shall be the 10-year frequency, 24- hour duration storm event unless the roadway is classified as a scenic corridor, in which case the flood protection design storm will consider maintaining the character of the roadway.

RESPONSE: Agree.

2.5.1.3. Transportation Policy 5.2A.1, states:

Policy 5.2A.1. Establish a base level of service. The LOS standard for all roadways in unincorporated Martin County is LOS D in the peak hour/peak direction. Standards for the State Highway System are guided by FDOT's latest 'LOS Policy'. The methodology for determining roadway facilities' level of service shall adhere to the methodologies identified in the latest FDOTs Q/LOS Handbook. Please see the attached memorandum from the Traffic Engineering Administrator dated December 13, 2023.

RESPONSE: Since the TEXT amendment (CPA 21-11) must be approved before the FLUM amendment, the restrictions to density and commitment to providing public facilities must be considered in the evaluation of the FLUM Amendment. Specifically, the TEXT Amendment restricts the maximum number of residential units to 1,050 units (2.6 units per acre) and provides the following commitment to providing public facilities:

"The owner/developer shall plan and appropriately fund public facilities consistent with Policy 14.1B.2, which requires that future development pay the full cost of capital facilities needed to address the impacts of such development. This shall include an amendment to the Capital Improvements Element, if needed, and a PUD Agreement and/or Development Agreement that addresses public facilities, infrastructure and the timing of development."

As documented in the companion TEXT amendment, the applicant acknowledges and commits to make improvements to expand the capacity of the transportation network and make other improvements to accommodate the additional area to be added to the PUSD. These contributions would be in addition to customary impact fees.

2.5.1.4. Solid Waste Facilities

The proposed Future Land Use designation does not exceed the level of service (LOS) criteria for solid waste facilities. The required LOS in Martin County is 1.06 tons of

capacity per weighted population. The weighted average population (the average of seasonal and full-time residents) countywide in Fiscal Year 2024 is 178,927 persons. In Fiscal Year 2024, there are 263,031 tons of available capacity or 1.47 tons per weighted person. The proposed change will not reduce the level of service below capacity. RESPONSE: Agree.

2.5.1.5. Parks/Recreation Facilities

Parks and recreation facilities are calculated on a countywide basis. The county has a total population in Fiscal Year 2024 of 163,560 persons. There are currently 1,730 acres of active parkland available in the County. The 2024 Capital Improvements Plan provides the following LOS analysis for services. The proposed change will not reduce active parks and recreation level of service below capacity.

RESPONSE: Agree.

2.5.1.6. Fire/Public Safety/EMS

The following table shows the levels of service adopted in Chapter 14, Capital Improvements. Level of Service Area: Unincorporated Martin County. The analysis is based upon a 2024 (weighted average) population in unincorporated Martin County of 149,109 persons. The proposed future land use change will not diminish the level of service below capacity.

RESPONSE: Agree.

2.5.1.7. Schools

The LOS for public school facilities is established by CGMP Policy 17.1A.1. Pursuant to CGMP Policy 17.1B.1, final site plans that include residential units can be approved by the County "only after receipt of a School Concurrency Report from the School District stating that adequate capacity exists for the anticipated students."

RESPONSE: Agree.

At a future date, during the final site plan review process, the County must coordinate with the School Board of Martin County for a LOS analysis as provided for under Section 17.7. CGMP. See the attached Martin County School District General School Capacity Analysis, dated September 7, 2023.

RESPONSE: Agree.

2.5.2. Non-Mandatory Facilities

2.5.2.1. Libraries

Library level of service is calculated on a countywide basis and has a goal of two volumes of reading material for each weighted resident. The Fiscal Year 2024 Capital Improvement Plan shows the current LOS is 2.04 volumes per weighted resident. The proposed future land use change will not impact the Library level of services.

RESPONSE: Agree.

CONCLUSION

The proposed future land use change is not recommended for approval for the following reasons:

• Policy 4.13A.1(2) must be considered when changing the Agricultural, Agricultural

Ranchette or the Rural Density future land use designations to another designation. The Board must make findings described Policy 4.13A.1(2). The policy requires an analysis of the hydrology of the area, as well as a soil potential analysis and agricultural site assessment. None has been provided.

RESPONSE: See Agricultural Use Assessment enclosed.

• Criteria for a Future Land Use Amendment (Section 1-11 CGMP). Section 2.1. Criteria for a Future Land Use Amendment (Section 1-11 CGMP) provides criteria in which at least one of four must have a positive finding in order for staff to recommend approval. Staff begins with the assumption that the Future Land Use Map, as amended, is generally an accurate representation of the intent of the Board of County Commissioners, and thus the community, for the future of Martin County. Only one of the four criteria were met however, "availability of public services" to serve an additional 1,831 residential units remains an issue.

RESPONSE: Only 1 of the 4 criteria in Section 1-11 is required for staff to recommend approval. Based on the responses and supplemental materials submitted with this application, we believe 3 of the 4 criteria have been met.

• 2.2. Urban Sprawl. Only five of the 13 criteria discouraging the proliferation of sprawl were met.

RESPONSE: Disagree. Based on the responses and supplemental materials submitted with this application, we believe 13 of 13 criteria have been met.

• 2.2.1. Proliferation of Urban Sprawl. Only two the eight criteria discouraging the proliferation of sprawl were met. The proposed future land use lacks an innovative development pattern that includes internal trip capture.

RESPONSE: Disagree. Based on the responses and supplemental materials submitted with this application, we believe 5 of the 6 applicable criteria have been met

• Section 2.5 identifies utilities and transportation as insufficient to support 1,831 additional residential units.

RESPONSE: Disagree. The comments from Martin County Utilities and the Public Works Department do not support a staff recommendation of denial. In addition, the maximum number of residential dwelling units on the 396-acre subject property is limited to 1,050 (2.6 units per acre) by the concurrent Comprehensive Plan TEXT amendment CPA #21-11

The staff analysis does not appear to consider, 1) the letter from Martin County Utilities dated March 6, 2023 that confirms adequate capacity to provide wastewater service, 2) the previously submitted Water and Sewer Availability Worksheet, and 3) the previously submitted Traffic Impact Analysis (See updated version enclosed).

The property to be added to the PUSD is ideally situated adjacent to urban infrastructure and surrounded by more than 80% non-agricultural development. See enclosed map depicting adjacent non-agricultural development.

The Traffic Engineering staff provided their results without providing an analysis. The staff appears to have evaluated the traffic conditions based on a total of 1,865 dwelling units.

As stated above, the proposed future land use amendment is being processed simultaneously with a text amendment (CPA 21-11) that limits the total number of units on the property to 1,050 units or 2.6 units per acre. Approval of the text amendment CPA 21-11, which includes the expansion of the Primary Urban Service District, must be approved prior to approval of the future land use amendment. CPA 21-11 will put in place the restriction on the maximum number of units on the 396-acre parcel. Therefore, the scenario described by the traffic engineering department, and the number of dwelling units used in its analysis, is one that cannot occur.

Since the TEXT amendment must be approved before FLUM amendment, the restrictions to density and commitment to providing public facilities must be considered in the evaluation of the FLUM Amendment. Specifically, the TEXT Amendment provides the following commitment to providing public facilities:

"The owner/developer shall plan and appropriately fund public facilities consistent with Policy 14.1B.2, which requires that future development pay the full cost of capital facilities needed to address the impacts of such development. This shall include an amendment to the Capital Improvements Element, if needed, and a PUD Agreement and/or Development Agreement that addresses public facilities, infrastructure and the timing of development."

In furtherance of the enclosed email from Martin County Utilities dated February 29, 2024 and as documented in the companion TEXT amendment, the applicant acknowledges and commits to make improvements to expand the capacity of the transportation network and provide proportionate share contributions to Martin County Utilities that may include well sites, wells, and other improvements to accommodate the additional area to be added to the PUSD. These contributions would be in addition to customary impact fees and capital facility charges. For these reasons, criterion (a) has been met.

As noted in the Executive Summary, this staff report is based upon application materials that are not consistent with other Future Land Use Map amendment applications (submitted by the same applicant) that are adjacent to the subject property. This staff report remains a draft document until the application materials are revised to be consistent with the boundaries of the adjacent Future Land Use Map Amendments.

RESPONSE: See revised and updated application materials enclosed.

I trust these responses and the revised materials satisfactorily address the comments contained in the staff analysis and provide sufficient information to revise the staff report with a recommendation of approval.

Sincerely

Morris A. Crady, AICP

Senior Partner

Copy to: Client and Consulting Team



Martin County, Florida Growth Management Department COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING DIVISION 2401 SE Monterey Road, Stuart, FL 34996

772-288-5495 <u>www.martin.fl.us</u>

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT APPLICATION

A. General Information:	
Type of Application: Future Land use Map	Amendment
Name or Title of Project: Waterside PUD	
Future Land Use Amendment	
Location of Project and Description of Pro	posal:
The subject property is located west of South The application is for a future land use amend Residential with a companion TEXT amendment See enclosed Location Map and Application I	ent (CPA 21-11).
Parcel Control Number(s): 13-39-40-000-003-00000-1	
13-39-40-000-001-00000-5	
13-39-40-000-007-00000-0 (portion of)	
Is Project within a CRA? Which One?:	Select from the list
Size of Project (Acres):	396.81 acres
Current Future Land Use Designation:	Agricultural
Current Zoning Designation:	AG-20A, A-2
Proposed Future Land Use Designation:	Low Density Residential
Proposed Zoning Designation:	PUD
Text Amendment Proposed Elements to Amend: Chapter 1, Chapter 4 and Chapter 11 (See CF	ΡΔ 21-11)

Description of Text Amendment:

The companion TEXT amendment, which must be approved prior to the land use amendment, expands the PUSD, requires a PUD application, limits maximum density to 1050 units (2.6 units per acre) and provides a commitment to plan and fund required public facilities consistent with Policy 14.1B.2 of the Comprhensive Growth Management Plan. (See CPA 21-11 description and justification for text Amendment that has been submitted under separate application.)

Property	Owner:				
	Company Name	Kanner/96th St Investments LLC	/ South Florida C	Sateway Industrial, LLC	
	ompany Representative Joshua I. Long, AICP, VP of Planning & Entitlements				
Address	105 NE 1st Street				
City Delray			State FL	Zip <u>33444</u>	
Phone	561 _ 682 _ 9500	_Fax	_		
Email	jlong@kolter.com				
_					
Agent:					
	Company Name	Lucido & Associates			
	Representative	Morris A. Crady, AICP, Senior P	artner		
Address	701 SE Ocean Blvd				
City Stuart		STORY OF THE PROPERTY.	State FL	Zip <u>34994</u>	
Phone	772 - 220 - 2100				
Email	mcrady@lucidodesign.	.com			
O = 4 = 4	Demolos				
	Purchaser:	Not applicable			
	Company Name				
	Representative	-			
Address			01.1	7.	
City Phone		Гам	State	ZIP	
Email		Fax			
Liliali					
Land Pla	nner				
	Company Name	Same as agent			
	Representative				
Address					
City			State	Zip	
Phone		Fax			
Email					

Γraffic Er	ngineer:				
	Company Name	O'Rourke Engineering	& Planning		
	Representative	Susan O'Rourke, P.E. President			
Address	22 SE Seminole Street				
City Stuart			State FL	Zip 34994	
Phone	772 _ 781 _ 7918	-ax			
Email	seorourke@comcast.ne	et			

	Company Name Representative 3461 SE Willoughby B 772 - 287 - 4444 twaters@foxmcluskey.	Fax <u>772</u> - <u>283</u> - <u>4367</u>	_ State FL	Zip <u>34994</u>
Name or	Difessional: Company Name Representative 618 E. South Street, Suite 0 407 - 423 - 8398 0.beitsch@gaiconsultants	Fax		
В. Арр	olicant or Ager	nt Certification:		
Morris A.	Applicant's s Crady Printed r	ignature TARY ACKNOW	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S-26-2024 Date
STATE	OF Florida			
COUN	TY OF Mactin	1		
He or s	day of Macashe ersonally known cation. Notary public so	to me or \square has produced has p	duced	,

Applicant or Agent Certification:

Applicant declares:

He/she understands that this application is submitted pursuant to Chapter I, Section 1-11 of the Martin County Comprehensive Growth Management Plan and Chapter 163, Part II (The Community Planning Act) of the Florida Statutes. The public record of this matter will consist of this application, the exhibits, documents or other materials prepared by the applicant and submitted to the Martin County Growth Management Department; information or materials the Martin County Growth Management Department may submit: public comment submitted through the Martin County Growth Management Department; and comments made at public hearings related to this application.

He/she understands the application must be submitted during the established submission period to: Martin County, Growth Management Department, 2401 SE Monterey Road, Stuart, FL 34996. Completeness of application is the responsibility of the applicant. Applications not complete by the sufficiency due date will be returned to the applicant.

Applicant/Owner:

Print Name
Signature of Applicant
Applicant Agent:
Morris A. Crady
Print Name
Signature of Agent
/)

and the second special

Note: The above noted agent, or owner, if no agent is listed, address and phone number will be used by the County as the single contact for all correspondence and other communication.

DESCRIPTION:

A PARCEL OF LAND BEING A PORTION OF LOTS 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 11, 13, 14, 15, AND ALL OF LOTS 5, 8, AND 12, ALL IN SECTION 13, TOWNSHIP 39 SOUTH, RANGE 40 EAST, AND A PORTION OF LOT 1, IN SECTION 14, TOWNSHIP 39 SOUTH, RANGE 40 EAST, OF TROPICAL FRUIT FARMS, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF FILED ON AUGUST 18, 1913, RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 3, PAGE 6, RECORDS OF PALM BEACH (NOW MARTIN) COUNTY, FLORIDA, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCE AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SECTION 13, TOWNSHIP 39 SOUTH, RANGE 40 EAST, ALSO BEING THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 4, SECTION 13, TOWNSHIP 39 SOUTH, RANGE 40 EAST OF TROPICAL FRUIT FARMS, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF FILED ON AUGUST 18, 1913, RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 3, PAGE 6, RECORDS OF PALM BEACH (NOW MARTIN) COUNTY, FLORIDA; THENCE S.00°00'45"W. ALONG THE WEST LINE OF THE NORTH (1/2) HALF OF SAID SECTION 13, A DISTANCE OF 662.61 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTH HALF (1/2) OF SAID LOT 4; THENCE S.89°38'43"E., ALONG SAID NORTH LINE, A DISTANCE OF 140.48 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE CONTINUE S.89°38'43"E., ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTH HALF (1/2) OF LOT 4, A DISTANCE OF 1179.69 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WEST LINE OF LOT 3, SECTION 13 OF SAID PLAT; THENCE N.00°00'59"E., ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 3, A DISTANCE OF 496.77 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF STATE ROAD NO. S-76-A AS SHOWN ON THE FLORIDA STATE ROAD DEPARTMENT MAP FOR SECTION 89002-2601, REVISED FEBRUARY 13, 1989; THE FOLLOWING THREE (3) COURSES BEING BY SAID RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE: 1) N.81°44'59"E., A DISTANCE OF 39.54 FEET; 2) THENCE N.07°34'57"W., A DISTANCE OF 25.14 FEET; 3) THENCE N.81°45'49"E., A DISTANCE OF 548.79 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF STATE ROAD NO. 76-A AS SHOWN ON THE FLORIDA STATE ROAD DEPARTMENT MAP FOR SECTION 89531-2601, DATED OCTOBER, 1958; THENCE S.89°50'10"E., ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 741.38 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EAST LINE OF THE NORTHWEST (1/4) QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 13; THENCE S.00°01'11"W., ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF THE NORTHWEST (1/4) QUARTER AND THE EAST LINE OF LOT 3, SECTION 13 OF SAID PLAT, A DISTANCE OF 611.68 FEET; THENCE S.89°45'21"E., A DISTANCE OF 688.60 FEET; THENCE N.00°02'42"E., A DISTANCE OF 611.74 FEET; THENCE S.89°45'42"E., A DISTANCE OF 2066.62 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EAST LINE OF THE NORTH (1/2) HALF OF SAID SECTION 13; THENCE S.00°07'14"W., ALONG SAID EAST LINE OF THE NORTH (1/2) HALF OF SAID SECTION 13, A DISTANCE OF 1585.66 FEET; THENCE S.00°07'53"W., A DISTANCE OF 1012.15 FEET TO THE EAST ONE QUARTER-CORNER OF SAID SECTION 13; THENCE N.89°44'18"W., ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF THE NORTHEAST (1/4) QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 13 AND THE SOUTH LINE OF LOTS 7 AND 8 OF SAID PLAT, A DISTANCE OF 1497.39 FEET; THENCE N.00°01'11"E., A DISTANCE OF 1078.10 FEET; THENCE N.89°44'18"W., A DISTANCE OF 1253.33 FEET TO SAID EAST LINE OF THE NORTHWEST (1/4) QUARTER AND THE EAST LINE OF LOT 6, SECTION 13 OF SAID PLAT; THENCE CONTINUE N.89°44'18"W., A DISTANCE OF 50.00 FEET; THENCE S.00°01'11"W. ALONG A LINE 50.00 FEET WEST OF AND PARALLEL WITH SAID NORTHWEST QUARTER (1/4) OF SECTION 13, A DISTANCE OF 1078.11 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTH LINE OF THE NORTHWEST (1/4) QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 13 AND THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 6; THENCE N.89°44'14"W., ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE OF THE NORTHWEST (1/4) QUARTER OF SECTION 13 AND SAID SOUTH LINE OF LOT 6, A DISTANCE OF 513.37 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF THE PLAT OF SOUTH FLORIDA GATEWAY PUD - PLAT, AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 19, PAGE 56 OF SAID PUBLIC RECORDS; THENCE S.00°15'42"W., ALONG THE WEST BOUNDARY OF SAID PLAT, A DISTANCE OF 1547.53 FEET; THENCE S.89°44'18"E., A DISTANCE OF 380.40 FEET; THENCE S.00°00'16"E., A DISTANCE OF 906.06 FEET; THENCE S.89°33'44"E., A DISTANCE OF 851.39 FEET; THENCE S.00°27'25"W., A DISTANCE OF 151.61 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF THE 100.00 FOOT OUTFALL DITCH EASEMENT, AS DESCRIBED IN DEED BOOK 10, PAGE 383, AND DEED BOOK 10, PAGE 461, OF SAID PUBLIC RECORDS, ALSO A POINT ON A LINE 50.00 FEET NORTH OF AND PARALLEL WITH THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SECTION 13; THENCE N.89°32'35"W., ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID 100.00 FOOT OUTFALL DITCH EASEMENT, AND ON A LINE 50.00 FEET NORTH OF AND PARALLEL WITH THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SECTION 13, A DISTANCE OF 659.73 FEET;

DESCRIPTION: CONTINUED ON SHEET 2

NOTE: THIS IS NOT A SKETCH OF SURVEY, BUT ONLY A GRAPHIC DEPICTION OF THE DESCRIPTION SHOWN HEREON. THERE HAS BEEN NO FIELD WORK, VIEWING OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, OR MONUMENTS SET IN CONNECTION WITH THE PREPARATION OF THE INFORMATION SHOWN HERFOON.

NOTE: LANDS SHOWN HEREON WERE NOT ABSTRACTED FOR RESTRICTIONS, RESERVATIONS, RIGHTS—OF—WAY AND EASEMENT OF RECORD.

REVISIONS

AGRICULTURAL TO LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL FLUM-P.U.D.-396 SKETCH AND DESCRIPTION

No.	Date	Description	Dwn.	Date: JANUARY 10, 2024	
				SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE	∃ GeoPoint \∃
				This certifies that this Sketch and Description was made under my	
				supervision and meets the Standards of Practice set forth by the	
				Florida Board of Professional Surveyors & Mappers in Chapter 5J-17.050, Florida Administrative Code, pursuant to Section	Surveying, Inc.
				472.027, Florida Statutes.	Saiveying, me.
				Digitally signed by Gary Rager DN: C=US, st=Florida, i=Tampa, o=GeoPoint Surveying, inc.	4152 W. Blue Heron Blvd. Phone: (561) 444-2720
				Gary Rager Directly st-florida I-Tampa o-GeoPoint Surveyon, Inc. Directly st-florida I-Tampa o-GeoPoint Surveyon, Inc.	Suite 105 www.geopointsurvey.com
			1		Riviera Beach, FL 33404 Licensed Business Number LB 7768
			1	Gary A. Rager, PSM FLORIDA PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR & MAPPER NO. LS4828	Drawn:GAR Date: 01/10/2024 Data File: N/A
<u> </u>				TECKION TROTESSIONNE SORVETOR & MAITER NO	Check:AT P.C.: N/A Field Book: N/A
	Sheet	No. 01 of 04 Shee	ts	NOT VALID WITHOUT THE ELECTRONIC AND/OR ORIGINAL SIGNATURE & RAISED SEAL OF A FLORIDA LICENSED SURVEYOR & MAPPER	Section: 13 Twn. 39S Rng. 40E Job #:Ag-LwDenRes Parcel 1

Prepared For: KOLTER LAND PARTNERS, LLC

THENCE N.89°37'43"W., ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID 100.00 FOOT OUTFALL DITCH EASEMENT, AND ON A LINE 50.00 FEET NORTH OF AND PARALLEL WITH THE SOUTH LINE OF THE WEST (1/2) HALF OF SAID SECTION 13, A DISTANCE OF 2641.12 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WEST LINE OF THE SOUTH (1/2) HALF OF SAID SECTION 13; THENCE N.00°00'32"E., ALONG SAID WEST LINE AND THE WEST LINE OF LOTS 12 AND 13. SECTION 13 OF SAID PLAT. A DISTANCE OF 2600.57 FEET TO THE WEST (1/4) QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION 13: THENCE N.00°00'45"E., ALONG THE WEST LINE OF THE NORTH (1/2) HALF OF SAID SECTION 13 AND THE WEST LINE OF LOT 5, SECTION 13 OF SAID PLAT, A DISTANCE OF 1326.29 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF PARCEL NO. 131, AS DESCRIBED IN DEED BOOK 12, PAGE 451 AND THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 1, SECTION 14 OF SAID PLAT AND THE SOUTH CORNER OF EASEMENT PARCEL 871, AS SHOWN ON OKEECHOBEE WATERWAY, FLORIDA, ST. LUCIE CANAL PORTION, RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 10, PAGE 84, RECORDS OF MARTIN COUNTY, FLORIDA; THENCE N.89°41'22"W., ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY LINE OF PARCEL NO. 131 AND THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1, ALSO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID EASEMENT PARCEL 871, A DISTANCE OF 184.32 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTHEASTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF ST. LUCIE CANAL, A 400 FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY, AS SHOWN ON SAID OKEECHOBEE WATERWAY, FLORIDA, ST. LUCIE CANAL PORTION, RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 10, PAGE 84; THENCE N.31°15'05"E., ALONG SAID SOUTHEASTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF ST. LUCIE CANAL, A DISTANCE OF 355.40 FEET TO A POINT ON SAID WEST LINE OF THE NORTH (1/2) HALF OF SECTION 13 AND SAID WEST LINE OF LOT 4, ALSO THE NORTH CORNER OF SAID EASEMENT PARCEL 871; THENCE N.00°00'45"E., ALONG SAID WEST LINE OF THE NORTH (1/2) HALF OF SECTION 13 AND THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 4, SECTION 13 OF SAID PLAT, A DISTANCE OF 125.34 FEET TO THE SOUTHERLY CORNER OF PARCEL 136, RIGHTS-OF-WAY FOR ST. LUCIE CANAL IMPROVEMENT, RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 2, PAGE 35, OF SAID PUBLIC RECORDS; THENCE N.31°15'05"E., ALONG THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 136, A DISTANCE OF 270.88 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.


CONTAINING: 17,284,867 SQUARE FEET OR 396.806 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

NOTE: THIS IS NOT A SKETCH OF SURVEY, BUT ONLY A GRAPHIC DEPICTION OF THE DESCRIPTION SHOWN HEREON. THERE HAS BEEN NO FIELD WORK, VIEWING OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, OR MONUMENTS SET IN CONNECTION WITH THE PREPARATION OF THE INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON.

NOTE: LANDS SHOWN HEREON WERE NOT ABSTRACTED FOR RESTRICTIONS, RESERVATIONS, RIGHTS—OF—WAY AND EASEMENT OF RECORD.

AGRICULTURAL TO LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL FLUM-P.U.D.-396 SKETCH AND DESCRIPTION

		REVISIONS		Prepared For: KOLTER LAND PARTNERS, LLC	
No.	Date	Description	Dwn.	Date: JANUARY 10, 2024	
					1 Cooloint \
					∏GeoPoint \
					Surveying, Inc.
					4152 W. Blue Heron Blvd. Phone: (561) 444-2720
					Suite 105 www.geopointsurvey.com
					Riviera Beach, FL 33404 Licensed Business Number LB 7768
					Drawn:GAR Date: 01/10/2024 Data File: N/A
	CI 4			NOT VALID WITHOUT THE ELECTRONIC AND/OR ORIGINAL SIGNATURE	Check: AT P.C.: N/A Field Book: N/A
	Sneet 1	No. 02 of 04 Sheet	S	NOT VALID WITHOUT THE ELECTRONIC AND/OR ORIGINAL SIGNATURE	Sections 12 Type 205 Pag 40E Joh #Ag LyDon Pos Pagod 4



- BEARING SHOWN HEREON ARE BASED ON THE SOUTH LINE OF THE NORTHEAST 1/4 SECTION 13 TOWNSHIP 39 SOUTH, RANGE 40 EAST, HAVING A GRID BEARING OF N. 89°44' 18" W. THE GRID BEARINGS AS SHOWN HEREON REFER TO THE STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, NORTH AMERICAN HORIZONTAL DATUM OF 1983 (NAD 83-2011 ADJUSTMENT) FOR THE EAST ZONE OF FLORIDA.
- ALL DIMENSIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, ARE SURVEY DIMENSIONS. 2)
- 3) THIS DESCRIPTION SKETCH IS INTENDED TO BE DISPLAYED AT 1" = 1000' OR SMALLER.

LINE DATA TABLE					
NO.	BEARING	BEARING LENGTH			
L1	S 00°01'11" W	611.68			
L2	S 89°45'21" E	688.60'			
L3	N 00°02'42" E	611.74'			
L4	S 89°33'44" E	851.39'			
L5	S 00°27'25" W	151.61'			
L6	N 89°41'22" W	184.32'			
L7	N 31°15'05" E	355.40'			
L8	N 00°00'45" E	125.34			
L9	N 31°15'05" E	270.88'			

NOTE: THIS IS NOT A SKETCH OF SURVEY, BUT ONLY A GRAPHIC DEPICTION OF THE DESCRIPTION SHOWN HEREON. THERE HAS BEEN NO FIELD WORK, VIEWING OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, OR MONUMENTS SET IN CONNECTION WITH THE PREPARATION OF THE INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON.

NOTE: LANDS SHOWN HEREON WERE NOT ABSTRACTED FOR RESTRICTIONS, RESERVATIONS, RIGHTS-OF-WAY AND EASEMENT OF RECORD.

AGRICULTURAL TO LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL FLUM-P.U.D.-396 SKETCH AND DESCRIPTION

Prepared For: KOLTER LAND PARTNERS, LLC

LEGEND

P.O.B. - - - POINT OF BEGINNING

P.O.C. - - - POINT OF COMMENCEMENT

PG./PG(s) - - - PAGE(s)

13-39-40- - SECTION-TOWNSHIP-RANGE

--- SECTION CORNER

P.B. - - - PLAT BOOK

P.U.D. - - - PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT

D.B. - - - DEED BOOK

S.R. - - - STATE ROAD R/W--- RIGHT-OF-WAY

L1--- LINE SEGMENT

Date: JANUARY 10, 2024



4152 W. Blue Heron Blvd. Suite 105

Riviera Beach, FL 33404

Phone: (561) 444-2720 www.geopointsurvey.com Licensed Business Number LB 7768 LAST SAVED BY: GARYR ON: 1/10/2024 1:14 PM

PLOTTED BY: GARY RAGER ON: 1/10/2024 1:43 PM

W:/KOLTER-WATERSIDE\SURVEY\S&D PUD-WATERSIDE RESIDENTIAL PARCELS\FLUM-PUD-PUD-AG-LOW-396.DWG

DWG NAME:

Drawn:GAR Date: 01/10/2024 Data File: N/A Field Book: N/A Check: AT P.C.: N/A

Sheet No. 04 of 04 Sheets

NOT VALID WITHOUT THE ELECTRONIC AND/OR ORIGINAL SIGNATURE & RAISED SEAL OF A FLORIDA LICENSED SURVEYOR & MAPPER

Section: 13 Twn. 39S Rng. 40E | Job #:Ag-LwDenRes Parcel

APPLICATION DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION

1.0 Comprehensive Growth Management Plan Future Land Use Amendment Criteria. The application for a future land use amendment on the approximately 373-acre (+/-) subject property is summarized as follows:

Future Land Use (Zoning)	<u>Existing</u>	Proposed
Agricultural (AG-20A, A-2)	396.81 acres	0 acres
Low Density Residential (PUD)	0 acres	396.81 acres
Total:	396.81 acres	396.81 acres

This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024 and the concurrent request for Text Amendment (CPA 21-11) for Policy 4.1B.2.(2) Sub-area Development Restriction and Proposed Primary Urban Service District (PUSD) expansion.

Future Land Use Map Amendment

According to Section 1.11 of the Comprehensive Growth Management Plan (CGMP), staff can recommend approval of the requested future land use amendments provided they are consistent with all other elements of the CGMP and at least <u>one</u> of the following 4 criteria has been demonstrated by the applicant to exist (The proposed request clearly meets the first 3 of the 4 criteria.):

(Please note the language *italicized* is actual language from the CGMP. Justification for the proposed change and applicant's responses are provided within the "boxed-in" sections that follow. Some text has been highlighted for emphasis.

(a) Past changes in land use designations in the general area make the proposed use logical and consistent with these uses and adequate public services are available;

TABLE 1: RELEVANT FUTURE LAND USE AMENDMENTS SINCE 1982

CPA Number	Location	From	То
82-4	South of Salerno Road and east of Tower Drive, 40 acres (Martin Memorial Hospital)	Rural Density Residential	Institutional General
91-1	South of Salerno Road and west of Community Drive, 23.92 acres (Coastal Health Park PUD)	Institutional General	Commercial/ Office/Residential
08-7	West of Willoughby Blvd. and north of Cove Road, 35.9 acres (Willoughby Research Park)	Rural Density Residential	Commercial/ Office/Residential
08-4	East of Kanner Highway between Cove Road and Salerno Road, 47.11 acres (Wright Parcel)	Rural Density Residential	Estate Density Residential

16-2	East of Kanner Highway and north of Southwood Trail, 25 acres (Kanner 5601, LLC)	Commercial General	Low Density Residential
07-1E	South of Salerno Road and west of Pepperwood Drive, 9.19 acres (Council on Aging)	Rural Density Residential	Institutional General
04-15	Text amendment to expand Primary Urban Service District south of Cove Road, 870 acres	N/A	N/A
95-20	South of Salerno Road and west of Ault Ave., 54 acres (Legacy Cove)	Rural Density Residential	Estate Density Residential
95-21	Southeast corner of Cove Road and Willoughby Blvd., 38.2 acres (Cove Road Land Trust)	Estate Density Residential	Limited Commercial and Commercial/ Office/ Residential
95-22	North of Cove Road and west of Ault Ave., 37.83 acres (Legacy Cove)	Rural Density Residential	Estate Density Residential
95-23	North of Cove Road and west of Ault Ave., 36.68 acres (Legacy Cove)	Rural Density Residential	Estate Density Residential
10-19	Subject Property - 250 acres (Ord. 876)	Agricultural	Industrial & Waterfront Commercial
10-20	Subject Property (Ord. 877) Text Amendment to expand PUSD	N/A	N/A
19-5	Subject Property – Text Amendment to create Free-Standing Urban Service District, Martin County Utility Service Area and provide Limitations on development intensity (Ord. 1152)	N/A	N/A
19-6	Subject Property – 250 acres (Ord. 1153)	Industrial, Waterfront Commercial & Agricultural	Industrial

(b) Growth in the area, in terms of development of vacant land, redevelopment and availability of public services, has altered the character of the area such that the proposed request is now reasonable and consistent with area land use characteristics;

The proposed request clearly meets criteria (a) and (b).

Since adoption of the original Comprehensive Growth Management Plan (CGMP) in 1982, numerous changes in land use designations and growth have occurred in the general area that

make the proposed use logical and consistent with the surrounding land uses. Table 1 below provides a synopsis of the land use changes that have occurred in the general area since 1982. Review of aerial photography of the lands within the urban service districts north and east of the subject property shows consumption of virtually all remaining residential land except for required preservation areas.

The transition of this area from agricultural/rural to urban development began in 1986 when the State of Florida completed the missing link of I-95 and constructed the Interchange at SR 76 (SW Kanner Highway), which is less than 2 miles from the subject property. The interchange forever changed the character of the area especially along Kanner Highway, which has become a critical east-west transportation corridor for state-wide commerce.

Immediately following the completion of the interchange and over the past 30 years, the County initiated and/or approved changes to hundreds of acres of surrounding lands to create a mix of urban uses including industrial, commercial, office and residential uses. From these land use designations, several industrial and manufacturing businesses have been developed within the Treasure Coast Commerce Center, which is located on the north side of Kanner Highway between I-95 and the Florida Turnpike, and within industrial lands on the south side of Kanner Highway. In addition, several hotels and gas stations, Halpatiokee Regional Park, and several types of residential communities have been developed including the Florida Club Golf Course community, Lost River communities, Savannah Estates, Locks Landing, River Glen condominiums, St. Lucie Falls and River Forest Mobile Home communities. Over the past 30 years, these projects have consumed virtually all the remaining urban land in this area of the County.

To support the growth that has occurred over the past 30 years, the County consolidated their regional water and wastewater services at the Tropical Farms plant located just west of the Florida Turnpike, and coordinated with the State on several drainage and roadway improvement projects along Kanner Highway including on-going work that will further increase capacity and support existing and future growth for several years to come.

These improvements and investment in public infrastructure were not only designed to support these projects but also allowed the expansion and/or construction of nearby public schools including Crystal Lake Elementary on SW 96th Street, South Fork High School on SW Pratt-Whitney Road and David Anderson Middle School on SE Cove Road.

In 2020, approximately 175 acres of Industrial land use and 75 acres of Commercial Waterfront land use was consolidated into a 250-acre Industrial future land use tract along Kanner Highway (See Ordinance 1153). By way of Policy 4.1B.2.(2) of the CGMP, the County adopted a subarea development restriction on the property that limited Industrial and Commercial building area and development intensity. A corresponding text amendment was also adopted to create a free-standing urban service district and include the Industrial future land use area within Martin County's utility service area. On March 11, 2021, KL Waterside, LLC submitted the South Florida Gateway PUD application to create a regulatory framework intended to expedite the approval of targeted business industries. On February 1, 2022, the County approved the PUD Agreement and the infrastructure final site plan that authorized the construction of utilities and road improvements to attract targeted business industries that provide high-wage employment and economic development. Between the South Florida Gateway Industrial Park and Martin County's purchase of 30 acres of Industrial land next to the park for their future County

Operations Center, more than 500 jobs will be created immediately adjacent to the subject property. The creation of these jobs is a major change that has a direct impact on the need for additional housing options in close proximity to the new workforce.

Clearly, the growth in the area and investment in public infrastructure have altered the character of the area such that the change from Agricultural to Low Density Residential future land use category is now reasonable and consistent with area land use characteristics, land use transition policies and other CGMP policies that support economic and residential development opportunities. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

1.3 "(c) The proposed change would correct what would otherwise appear to be an inappropriately assigned land use designation;"

The existing Agricultural land use was appropriate when the land use maps were created in 1982 and through the past several decades. However, there are currently no bona fide agricultural uses surrounding the property, which qualifies the property as an infill development. The Agricultural land use properties north of the property are all occupied by single family residential structures, with four of the five having active homestead exemptions. The Ag Ranchette land use properties east of the property are all occupied by single family residential structures, with three of the three having active homestead exemptions. The one Agricultural land use property south of the property is owned by the Three Lakes Golf Course and is listed on the property appraiser's website as vacant with no bona fide agricultural uses occurring on that property.

As per criteria (a) above, growth in the area, in terms of development of vacant land and the availability of public services, has altered the character of the area such that the proposed request is now reasonable and consistent with area land use characteristics. To this end, the uses on the surrounding properties have changed resulting in the property no longer having an appropriate land use designation. Criterion (c) has been met. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

1.4 "(d) The proposed change would fulfill a public service need that enhances the health, safety, or general welfare of County residents."

This criterion is not applicable. However, the proposed amendment clearly meets 3 of the 4 criteria. Staff can recommend approval of the requested change provided at least <u>one</u> of the four criteria has been demonstrated by the applicant to exist and the amendment is consistent with all other elements of the CGMP. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

2.0 Physical Characteristics of the Site.

The property is located between Kanner Highway (SR 76) and the St. Lucie Canal, south of SW 96th Street, and less than 2 miles from the SR 76/I-95 Interchange. The property was used and has operated for several decades as an active farm, first in support of citrus, which had to be eliminated due to citrus canker and greening, and then in support of various row crops. The recently acquired "Patriot Farms" parcel that fronts directly on SW 96th Street also operated first as citrus and later as a tree nursery until the 2004-2005 hurricanes, which destroyed the trees and forced the nursery business into smaller plants and shrubs. Its primary drainage outfall is Roebuck Creek, which terminates at the southern end of the property and maintains some natural wetland characteristics.

In support of agricultural production, namely citrus and row crops, all native habitat and trees on the property (except within and adjacent to Roebuck Creek) were legally cleared and irrigation and drainage systems were developed on the property. Because agriculture is exempt from standard drainage and water quality treatment requirements, the drainage system was designed to irrigate crops and discharge high volumes of nutrient-laden run-off directly into the St. Lucie Canal and eventually into the South Fork of the St. Lucie River without any pre-treatment. Because the soil on the subject property has been depleted from decades of agricultural use, soil amendments, particularly the addition of fertilizer, and the discharge of nutrient-laden water into the canal, will continue to increase to the detriment of the St. Lucie River estuary.

Due to proximity of the St. Lucie Canal, significant start-up costs, unavoidable risks and competitive markets worldwide, citrus or crop production is not sustainable, environmentally or economically. Agricultural production is much better suited on lands located farther from the St. Lucie Canal, on western agricultural lands where discharges can be treated through a series of ditches, agricultural canals and stormwater treatment areas designed to biologically remove heavy nutrients and contaminants prior to discharge into natural waterways.

The lack of substantial native habitat or protected species and the sites high topography and existing drainage system, make the property ideally suited for conversion to urban land uses. Most importantly, conversion to urban use will require compliance with the County's strict water quality standards that significantly reduce the discharge rate and greatly improves water quality treatment prior to discharge thereby adding to the County's efforts to eliminate toxic algal blooms in the St. Lucie River estuary. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

2.1 Compatibility with Surrounding Uses.

The properties along the west side of the project site, which front on the St. Lucie Canal, and to the south consist of the recently approved Three Lakes Golf Club that will eventually include 3 championship 18-hole golf courses and related facilities on approximately 1,216 acres. The eastern portion of the site is adjacent to Estate Density land use that contains approximately 20 manufactured homes on SW Scory Lane. A portion of the site along the southern property line of the Patriot addition and along the eastern property limits of the original Neill parcel is adjacent to the 185-acre South Florida Gateway PUD Industrial Park and the future Martin County Operations Center, which is on approximately 30 acres immediately adjacent to the subject

property. The northern portion of the site is adjacent to urban development along the north side of SW 96th Street, which includes Crystal Lake Elementary School, Treasure Coast Church of God, Eagles Landing single family residential community, St. Lucie Falls mobile home park, River Marina townhomes and a working marina along the canal. An existing gas station and small retail center are located at the southwest corner of the intersection of SW 96th Street and Kanner Highway. A 20-acre +/- Publix shopping center is approved on the northeast corner of Kanner Highway and Pratt-Whitney Road. Required landscape buffers between commercial, industrial and residential uses will ensure compatibility with surrounding land uses. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

2.2 Analysis of Applicable Comprehensive Growth Management Plan (CGMP) Policies

The Comprehensive Plan identifies goals and objectives and the specific policies designed to implement the goals and objectives. The following CGMP policies support the proposed land use and corresponding text amendments. Please note highlights were added for emphasis and relevance to the application.

Chapter 4 – Future Land Use Element

"Goal 4.7: To regulate urban sprawl by directing growth in a timely and efficient manner to areas with urban public facilities and services, where they are programmed to be available, at the levels of service adopted in this plan."

"Objective 4.7A: To concentrate higher densities and intensities of development in strategically located Primary Urban Services Districts, including commercial, industrial and residential development exceeding a density of two units per acre, where all public facilities are available or are programmed to be available at the base levels of service adopted in the Capital Improvements Element."

"Policy 4.7A.1: Designation of land uses to support urban services. Martin County shall designate land uses in the Primary Urban Services District to provide for the use and extension of all necessary urban services efficiently and economically."

"Policy 4.7A.2: Development in the Primary Urban Services District. Martin County shall require new residential development with lots of one-half acre or smaller, commercial uses and industrial uses to locate in the Primary Urban Services District. This requirement is to ensure consistency with the County's growth management policies and Capital Improvements Element and to ensure that the Plan's LOS standards will be provided and maintained cost-efficiently."

"Goal 4.9. To provide for appropriate and adequate lands for residential land uses to meet the housing needs of the anticipated population and provide residents with a variety of choices in housing types and living arrangements throughout the County."

Policy 4.9A. To monitor population growth, development orders and Future Land Use Map amendments to ensure that an appropriate and adequate supply of residential land use is maintained in unincorporated areas of the County.

"Policy 4.9A.1: Suitable siting of residential development. Residential development shall be located in areas that are suitable in terms of efficient land use planning principles regarding the location and design of units; projected availability of services and infrastructure capacity; proximity and accessibility to employment, commercial and cultural centers and fire and police protection; avoidance of adverse impacts to natural resources; and continued viability of agricultural uses. The guideline for determining proximity is that commercial and employment opportunities are within 7.5 miles or 20 minutes."

"Objective 4.9D. To ensure that the Land Development Regulations to include requirements that ensure orderly transitions in residential densities in land use categories and PUDs."

"Policy 4.9D.1. Procedures for orderly transitions in residential density. At a minimum, these regulations shall:

- (1) Allocate residential densities compatible with available public services, natural features of land and existing and anticipated future development;
- (2) Allocate higher densities to sites highly accessible to major urban thoroughfares or urban collector streets and to sites adjacent to existing development with the same or higher density or a less restrictive zoning district;
- (3) Allocate higher densities to sites highly accessible to major urban thoroughfares or urban collector streets and to sites adjacent to existing development with the same or higher density or that can be adequately buffered from adjacent existing development or otherwise meet the density transitioning requirements of Section 4.1F.1., if applicable;"

"Policy 4.13A.7. Residential Development. The Future Land Use Map allocates urban residential density based on population trends, housing needs; and past trends in the character, magnitude and distribution of residential land consumption patterns. Consistent with the goals, objectives and policies of the CGMP, including the need to provide and maintain quality residential environments, it also preserves unique land and water resources and plans for fiscal conservancy."

"(3) Low Density Residential Development. The Low Density Residential designation is reserved for land in the Primary Urban Service District. Densities shall not exceed 5 units per gross acres. In reviewing specific densities, the aim shall be to preserve the stability and integrity of established residential development and provide equitable treatment to lands sharing similar characteristics."

The subject property is highly accessible to major urban thoroughfares i.e., Kanner Highway, and urban collector streets i.e., SW 96th Street. All public facilities and services are currently in place or planned to be available when actual development occurs. The change to Low Density residential land use is consistent with all the Comprehensive Growth Management Plan (CGMP) policies above and compatible with the pattern of growth that has occurred over the past 30 years since the CGMP future land use plan was originally adopted in 1982. The proposed Low Density future land use designation creates a reasonable land use transition from the adjacent highway and industrial/commercial uses to the east and the urban densities along the north side of SW 96th Street. The proposed request provides equitable treatment to the property owner, consistent with CGMP policies, while furthering the CGMP policies that promote an efficient use of public infrastructure and a mix of housing opportunities in the Primary Urban Service District.

According to the "2018 Residential Capacity and Vacant Land Analysis" prepared by Martin County Growth Management Department, less than 222 acres of vacant land designated Low Density residential land use remain County-wide. Much of this land exists in small parcels or individual lots and cannot be consolidated into viable development projects that can offer a range of lot sizes and housing types. Additional Low Density residential land use is needed to meet the demand for the 15-year planning horizon. Approximately 373 acres of Low Density residential future land use is proposed to provide a range of low density housing opportunities.

The subject property is ideally located and physically suitable to address the deficit of Low Density residential future land use in accordance with the land use and housing policies of the Comprehensive Growth Management Plan. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

Policy 4.1D.5 Residential capacity analysis. Martin County shall produce a residential capacity analysis every five years. Residential capacity defines the available residential development options within the Primary and Secondary Urban Service Districts that can meet the demand for population growth consistent with the Future Land Use Map. Residential supply shall consist of:

The 15 year planning period for residential capacity began with the 2010 Census and shall be updated to a new 15 year planning period every 5 years. The residential capacity analysis showing the total residential supply within the Primary and the Secondary Urban Service Districts shall be compared to the projected residential demand as outlined in Policy 4.1D.3 and 4.1D.4 above. The report shall show demand and supply comparisons for a ten year period as well as for the 15 year planning period.

Policy 4.1D.6 The residential capacity analysis will determine if the future demand for residential units exceeds the supply for residential units as provided in the residential capacity analysis.

When the undeveloped residential acreage within either the Primary Urban Service District or the Secondary Urban Service District no longer provides for projected population growth for the fifteen year planning period, planning for expansion of residential capacity shall commence. When the undeveloped acreage within either the Primary Urban Service District or the Secondary Urban Service District provides for no more than 10 years of projected population growth, the County is required to expand capacity.

Based on the findings of the February 13, 2018 Residential Capacity and Vacant Land analysis completed by the Martin County Growth Management Department, the County Growth Management staff conducted a "Residential Capacity Expansion Analysis" and presented a draft report to the Board of County Commissioners on February 26, 2019. Page 7 of the report provided updated capacity data that considered the approval of the Pineland Prairie Mixed Use Village (MUV) future land use category that was adopted by the Board of County Commissioners in August, 2018. The Pineland Prairie MUV land use category is a site-specific land use designation (under single ownership at the time of adoption) that allows up to 4,200 residential units subject to public land donations, construction of public and private infrastructure and compliance with performance standards, comprehensive plan policies and land

development codes specific to proposed development within the Pineland Prairie MUV land use that must be followed to ensure the implementation of mixed use design principles and the availability of public facilities and services concurrent with development impacts.

With this consideration, the staff's conclusions and recommendation in the draft Residential Capacity Expansion Analysis report including the following:

"...Expanding residential capacity to meet the future population growth does not need to occur as quickly as indicated in February 2018. However, given the density transition policies, infrastructure needs and environmental challenges, the need for more capacity could arise sooner than projected.

Staff would recommend that the Board continue consideration of Future Land Use Map amendments inside the PUSD. When considering plan amendments that propose expanding the PUSD, give consideration to those amendments that provide a balance of uses that foster vibrant, viable communities and economic development opportunities and address outdated development patterns."

After considering the findings in the report and staff's comments regarding technical concerns with the current methodology in the Comprehensive Plan for estimating population projections and calculating housing supply, the County Commission voted 4-1 at their meeting on February 26, 2019 to...

"ask staff to utilize all of the resources available to them to come back with best management practices to come up with a methodology that is the most appropriate and accurate, that accurately describes the properties that are legitimately buildable in our community (restated: to ask staff to come back, after they've done their research on what they feel is the most appropriate methodology incorporating best management practices, so that we have the most accurate count of what is genuinely a buildable lot."

Since the 2019 action was taken by the County Commission, a new methodology for calculating residential capacity has not been adopted or proposed. In the past 4 years, the few remaining buildable infill parcels within the urban service districts have been developed and parcels or lots that are not otherwise buildable continue to be counted as future supply. And, in spite of a residential construction boom that has consumed the remaining infill parcels in the Martin County urban service district, no infrastructure to support the Pineland Prairie MUV has commenced construction and no residential units have been constructed within the Pineland Prairie MUV since it was adopted in 2018.

For these reasons, the applicant engaged GAI Consultants' Community Solutions Group (CSG) to prepare an updated Residential Capacity Analysis consistent with the Board of County Commissioners direction to staff to incorporate best management practices and appropriate methodology. The report is based on sound planning principles and adopted State and Regional Comprehensive Planning methodology and guidelines. (See enclosed Section 163.3177 Analysis)

The report specifically analyzes the County's current methodology and identifies why the methodology is flawed or limited by the improper use of data and assumptions. The CSG report provides an accurate account of existing housing supply, future population projections and future housing needs as required by Comprehensive Plan Policy 4.1D.5. and Policy 4.1D.6.

The CSG report concludes that the County does not have adequate supply in the 10- or 15-year planning horizon to meet the increasing demand for housing within the primary or secondary urban service districts. It provides substantial expert evidence supporting the future land use map amendment and the request to expand the Primary Urban Service District. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

Chapter 6 – Housing Element

Objective 6.1B. To strive to provide for the conservation and rehabilitation of the existing housing stock by rehabilitating substandard dwelling units; to maintain and improve existing housing stock, residential neighborhoods and property values; and to provide for a broad mix of housing types.

Policy 6.1B.1. Zone lands for housing. Martin County shall ensure that adequate residential land use designations are assigned and zoned at densities sufficient to meet the County's current and future housing needs within the Urban Service District.

Policy 6.1B.2. Provision of varied housing types. Martin County shall encourage varied housing types, sizes, and prices consistent with local need, including affordable housing. The County shall provide technical assistance, including demographic analysis and other relevant information, to assist developers in planning for a broad mix of housing opportunities consistent with local needs.

Policy 6.1B.4. Minimize blighting influences. Blighting influences in areas undergoing land use transition shall be minimized by the use of sound principles of land use planning, urban design and landscaping in development and redevelopment projects. Adverse impacts of land use transition shall be minimized by managing the location as well as the density and/or intensity of mixed or conflicting residential and nonresidential uses. Examples of the land use principles endorsed by Martin County are:

- (1) The allocation of residential densities in a manner compatible with available public services, natural features of land and existing and anticipated future development.
- (2) The allocation of higher residential densities to sites (1) accessible to major urban thoroughfares or urban collector streets (2) sites adjacent to existing development with the same or higher density or less restrictive zoning district, (3) sites that can be adequately buffered from adjacent existing development of lesser intensity, and (4) sites that meet the density transitioning requirements of section 4.1F., of the CGMP.
- (3) The provision of a variety of lot sizes, floor areas, setbacks and residential land use mixes to allow for a choice in housing types, designs and price levels for both urban and rural residential environments.

(4) The use of the planned unit development, mixed-use, and traditional neighborhood development to encourage creativity in development, design, protection of open space, environmental features, and a mix of residential and nonresidential land uses.

The proposed land use and text amendments implement these housing policies by directing new housing opportunities on lands that 1) protect existing neighborhoods through buffering and density transitioning requirements, 2) are accessible to major thoroughfares i.e., South Kanner Highway, or urban collector streets i.e., SW 96th Street, 3) compatible with natural features of the land and surrounding properties and 4) consistent with existing and future development trends. The corresponding text amendment ensures development through a planned unit development (PUD) application that will provide enhanced protection of open space and environmental features, a mix of residential uses and specific conditions and public benefits that address local needs and minimize adverse impacts. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

Chapter 8 – Coastal Management Element

Policy 8.1B.6. Protection of local estuaries. Martin County shall cooperate and coordinate with other local governments in protecting local estuaries. This cooperation and coordination shall aim to assist agencies responsible for protecting and managing local estuarine systems.

Chapter 9 – Conservation and Open Space Element

Policy 9.1K.2. St. Lucie estuary system. The County shall coordinate with the Martin Soil and Water Conservation District and other relevant agencies to promote awareness of new information concerning the St. Lucie River estuary system and the effects of development on the functions and values of the estuary system. Restoration of the St. Lucie estuary shall remain a top priority, in conjunction with the Indian River Lagoon portion of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan.

Chapter 15 – Economic Element

Policy 15.2C.5. Martin County, in coordination with appropriate entities, shall promote the development of ecotourism and sports markets.

Chapter 19 – Property Rights Element

Objective 19.1A. Ensure that private property rights are considered in local decision making.

Policy 19.1A.1. The following rights shall be considered in local decision making:

- (1) The right of a property owner to physically possess and control his or her interests in the property, including easements, leases, or mineral rights.
- (2) The right of a property owner to use, maintain, develop, and improve his or her property for personal use or for the use of any other person, subject to state law and local ordinances.

The proposed Low Density residential land use provides an alternative to agricultural use directly on the St. Lucie Canal. The continued use of the property for agricultural production will require more intense application of soil amendments that are not only costly and labor-intensive, but also negatively impact the St. Lucie River estuary both environmentally and "economically". The St. Lucie River estuary is a major driver of recreational activities that support recreational fishing and ecotourism. Section 8.2.A. of the Comprehensive Growth Management Plan states, "...Commercial and sport fishing and seasonal residents (tourism) are extremely important to the Martin County economy..." The policies stated above in Chapters 8, 9 and 15 of the Comprehensive Plan support the protection of the St. Lucie estuary and recognize its positive impact on ecotourism and Martin County's economy.

Clearly, the growth in the area and investment in public infrastructure have altered the character of the area such that the change from Agricultural to Low Density residential future land use is now reasonable and consistent with area land use characteristics, land use transition policies and other CGMP policies that support economic and residential development opportunities.

The proposed request will conserve and enhance the value of existing development and provide equitable treatment to the property owner, consistent with CGMP policies, while furthering CGMP policies that support the efficient and economical use of the county's resources. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

2.3 Urban Sprawl.

Urban Sprawl is defined in HB 7207 as a development pattern characterized by low density, automobile-dependent development with either a single use or multiple uses that are not functionally related, requiring the extension of public facilities and services in an inefficient manner, and failing to provide a clear separation between urban and rural uses.

The proposed land use and text amendments recognize the adjacency of the Primary Urban Service District (PUSD) and the availability of existing or planned public facilities adjacent to and surrounding the subject property. The subject property is surrounded by urban service districts on three sides that include nearby public schools, retail uses and a major employment center, which includes the adjacent 185-acre South Florida Gateway industrial park, which is currently under construction, and the future 30-acre County Operations Center. These projects create a functional relationship and provide additional consideration for housing in this area of the County. The mix of housing opportunities provided by the proposed Low Density residential future land use designations coupled with the mix of nearby Industrial, Commercial and Institutional uses minimize the potential for urban sprawl by creating a functional balance of uses where residents can live, work and play. The future project design will include collector roads that will assure interconnectivity between related land uses and create opportunities for multi-modal transportation. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

Florida Statute 163.3177(3)(a)9. states that any amendment to the future land use element shall discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl and provides thirteen indicators to judge whether a

future land use amendment discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl. This proposed amendment complies with 13 out of 13 sprawl criteria that discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl.

Florida Statute provides an additional eight criteria, of which four must be met, in order to judge whether an amendment can be determined to discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl. This proposed amendment meets x out of x criteria that determine the application discourages urban sprawl. An evaluation of the thirteen indicators for urban sprawl and a determination on the eight additional criteria for this future land use request follows:

(I) Promotes, allows, or designates for development substantial areas of the jurisdiction to develop as low-intensity, low-density, or single-use development or uses.

The mix of housing opportunities provided by the proposed Low Density residential future land use designations coupled with the mix of nearby Industrial, Commercial and Institutional uses minimize the potential for urban sprawl by creating a functional balance of uses where residents can live, work and play. The future project design will include collector roads that will assure interconnectivity between related land uses and create opportunities for multi-modal transportation. Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

(II) Promotes, allows, or designates significant amounts of urban development to occur in rural areas at substantial distances from existing urban areas while not using undeveloped lands that are available and suitable for development.

The subject property is immediately adjacent to and surrounded on three sides by urban service districts with existing or planned public facilities and services to support the change in land use. Virtually all remaining vacant property within the existing urban areas have been developed or preserved in perpetuity. Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

(III) Promotes, allows, or designates urban development in radial, strip, isolated, or ribbon patterns generally emanating from existing urban developments.

The proposed change to Low Density residential on the subject property emanates out from the frontage of the industrial uses along Kanner Highway and the existing and future and commercial uses at the intersection of SW Kanner Highway and SW 96th Street.

Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

(IV) Fails to adequately protect and conserve natural resources, such as wetlands, floodplains, native vegetation, environmentally sensitive areas, natural groundwater aquifer recharge areas, lakes, rivers, shorelines, beaches, bays, estuarine systems, and other significant natural systems.

The property was used and has operated for several decades as an active farm, first in support of citrus, which had to be eliminated due to citrus canker and greening, and then in support of various row crops. The recently acquired "Patriot Farms" parcel that fronts directly on SW 96th Street also operated first as citrus and later as a tree nursery until the 2004-2005 hurricanes, which destroyed the trees and forced the nursery business into smaller plants and shrubs. Its primary drainage outfall is Roebuck Creek, which terminates at the southern end of the property and maintains some natural wetland characteristics.

In support of agricultural production, namely citrus and row crops, all native habitat and trees on the property (except within and adjacent to Roebuck Creek) were legally cleared and irrigation and drainage systems were developed on the property. Because agriculture is exempt from standard drainage and water quality treatment requirements, the drainage system was designed to irrigate crops and discharge high volumes of nutrient-laden run-off directly into the St. Lucie Canal and eventually into the South Fork of the St. Lucie River without any pre-treatment.

Due to significant start-up costs, unavoidable risks and competitive markets worldwide, citrus or crop production is not a sustainable, economically viable alternative. The lack of substantial native habitat or protected species and the sites high topography and existing drainage system, make the property ideally suited for conversion to urban land uses. Most importantly, conversion to urban use will require compliance with the County's strict water quality standards that significantly reduce the discharge rate and greatly improves water quality treatment prior to discharge thereby adding to the County's efforts to eliminate toxic algal blooms in the St. Lucie Estuary. *Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl*. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

(V) Fails to adequately protect adjacent agricultural areas and activities, including silviculture, active agricultural and silvicultural activities, passive agricultural activities, and dormant, unique, and prime farmlands and soils.

Due to significant start-up costs, unavoidable risks and competitive markets worldwide, citrus, silviculture or crop production is not a sustainable, economically viable alternative. There are no active farmlands or agricultural activities adjacent to the subject property. *Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl.* This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

(VI) Fails to maximize use of existing public facilities and services.

The subject property is immediately adjacent to and surrounded on three sides by urban service districts with existing or planned public facilities and services to support the change in land use. *Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl.* This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

(VII) Fails to maximize use of future public facilities and services.

The subject property is immediately adjacent to and surrounded on three sides by urban service districts with existing or planned public facilities and services to support the change in land use. *Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl.* This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

(VIII) Allows for land use patterns or timing which disproportionately increase the cost in time, money, and energy of providing and maintaining facilities and services, including roads, potable water, sanitary sewer, stormwater management, law enforcement, education, health care, fire and emergency response, and general government.

The subject property is surrounded by urban service districts on three sides that include nearby public schools, retail uses and a major employment center, i.e., the adjacent 200-acre South Florida Gateway Industrial Park, which is currently under construction, and the future 30-acre County Operations Center. These projects create a functional relationship and provide additional consideration for housing in this area of the County. The mix of housing opportunities provided by the proposed Low Density residential future land use designations coupled with the mix of nearby Industrial, Commercial and Institutional uses minimize the potential for urban sprawl by creating a functional balance of uses where residents can live, work and play. The future project design will include collector roads that will assure interconnectivity between related land uses and create opportunities for multi-modal transportation. *Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl.* This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

(IX) Fails to provide a clear separation between rural and urban uses.

Urban uses and development along Kanner Highway are currently adjacent to the subject property with no clear separation between urban and rural uses. The change in land use from Agriculture to Low Density will make the subject property compatible with the adjacent urban development. The properties south and west of the subject property are part of the recently approved Three Lakes Golf, which is a private golf club with no residential units or urban development. The presence of Three Lakes Golf Club, which has a pending future land use map (FLUM) amendment request to Rural Lifestyle provides a clear separation between rural and urban uses, and an appropriate land use transition to the Agricultural lands south and west that will protect the urban boundary and prevent urban sprawl. Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

(X) Discourages or inhibits infill development or the redevelopment of existing neighborhoods and communities.

As documented in the Residential Capacity Analysis prepared by GAI Consultants, practically all remaining vacant land within the urban area has been consumed by development, preserved in

perpetuity or simply cannot be developed due to various development constraints. The change in land use on the subject property to Low Density will allow for the efficient use of existing and planned infrastructure without inhibiting or discourage infill or redevelopment within the existing urban service district. *Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl.* This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

XI) Fails to encourage a functional mix of use

The proposed land use and text amendments recognize the adjacency of the Primary Urban Service District (PUSD) and the availability of existing or planned public facilities adjacent to and surrounding the subject property. The subject property is surrounded by urban service districts on three sides that include nearby public schools, retail uses and a major employment center, including the adjacent 185-acre South Florida Gateway Industrial Park, which is currently under construction, and the future 30-acre County Operations Center. These projects create a functional relationship and provide additional consideration for housing in this area of the County. The mix of housing opportunities provided by the proposed Low Density residential future land use designations coupled with the mix of nearby Industrial, Commercial and Institutional uses minimize the potential for urban sprawl by creating a functional balance of uses where residents can live, work and play. *Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl*. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

(XII) Results in poor accessibility among linked or related land uses.

The future project design will include collector roads that will assure interconnectivity between related land uses and create opportunities for multi-modal transportation. *Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl.* This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

(XIII) Results in the loss of significant amounts of functional open space.

The existing future land use, Agricultural, requires a minimum 50 percent open space. The proposed future land use designation, Low Density Residential, also requires a minimum of 50 percent open space. Because agriculture is exempt from standard drainage and water quality treatment requirements, the existing drainage system was designed to irrigate crops and discharge high volumes of nutrient-laden run-off directly into the St. Lucie Canal and eventually into the South Fork of the St. Lucie River without any pre-treatment. The conversion to urban use will require compliance with the County's strict water quality standards that significantly reduce the discharge rate and greatly improves water quality treatment prior to discharge thereby adding to the County's efforts to eliminate toxic algal blooms in the St. Lucie Estuary. Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

The proposed land use amendment complies with 13 of 13 criteria that discourage urban sprawl. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

2.3.1 Proliferation of Urban Sprawl.

In order for the application to be determined to discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl, the amendment must incorporate development patterns or urban forms that achieve four or more of the following:

(I) Directs or locates economic growth and associated land development to geographic areas of the community in a manner that does not have an adverse impact on and protects natural resources and ecosystems.

The property is located between Kanner Highway (SR 76) and the St. Lucie Canal, south of SW 96th Street, and less than 2 miles from the SR 76/I-95 Interchange. The property was used and has operated for several decades as an active farm, first in support of citrus, which had to be eliminated due to citrus canker and greening, and then in support of various row crops. The recently acquired "Patriot Farms" parcel that fronts directly on SW 96th Street also operated first as citrus and later as a tree nursery until the 2004-2005 hurricanes, which destroyed the trees and forced the nursery business into smaller plants and shrubs. Its primary drainage outfall is Roebuck Creek, which terminates at the southern end of the property and maintains some natural wetland characteristics. In support of agricultural production, all native habitat and trees on the property (except within and adjacent to Roebuck Creek) were legally cleared and irrigation and drainage systems were developed on the property. Because agriculture is exempt from standard drainage and water quality treatment requirements, the drainage system was designed to irrigate crops and discharge high volumes of nutrient-laden run-off directly into the St. Lucie Canal and eventually into the South Fork of the St. Lucie River without any pretreatment.

The lack of substantial native habitat or protected species and the sites high topography and existing drainage system, make the property ideally suited for conversion to urban land uses. Most importantly, conversion to urban use will require compliance with the County's strict water quality standards that significantly reduce the discharge rate and greatly improves water quality treatment prior to discharge thereby adding to the County's efforts to eliminate toxic algal blooms in the St. Lucie Estuary.

To create economic development opportunities, approximately 250 acres of Agricultural land east of the subject property along the Kanner Highway frontage was previously converted from Agricultural to Industrial future land use for the reasons noted above. A portion of these lands now support the 185-acre South Florida Gateway industrial park and the future 30-acre County Operations Center. The proposed land use amendment will complement and enhance economic opportunities by providing needed housing near a major employment center with no adverse impact on natural resources or ecosystems. *Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl.* This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated

December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

(II) Promotes the efficient and cost-effective provision or extension of public infrastructure and services.

The subject property is immediately adjacent to and surrounded on three sides by urban service districts with existing or planned public facilities and services to support the change in land use. *Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl.* This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

(III) Promotes walkable and connected communities and provides for compact development and a mix of uses at densities and intensities that will support a range of housing choices and a multimodal transportation system, including pedestrian, bicycle, and transit, if available.

The subject property is surrounded by urban service districts on three sides that include nearby public schools, retail uses and a major employment center, i.e., the adjacent 185-acre South Florida Gateway Industrial Park, which is currently under construction, and the future 30-acre County Operations Center. These projects create a functional relationship and provide additional consideration for housing in this area of the County. The mix of housing opportunities provided by the proposed Low Density residential future land use designations coupled with the mix of nearby Industrial, Commercial and Institutional uses minimize the potential for urban sprawl by creating a functional balance of uses where residents can live, work and play. The future project design will include collector roads that will assure interconnectivity between related land uses and create opportunities for multi-modal transportation. *Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl.* This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

(IV) Promotes conservation of water and energy.

The conversion to urban use will require compliance with the County's strict water quality standards that significantly reduce the discharge rate and greatly improves water quality treatment prior to discharge thereby adding to the County's efforts to eliminate toxic algal blooms in the St. Lucie Estuary. Providing housing near employment centers will reduce reliance on automobiles and reduce energy related to transportation. *Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl.* This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

(V) Preserves agricultural areas and activities, including silviculture, and dormant, unique, and prime farmlands and soils.

The property was used and has operated for several decades as an active farm, first in support of citrus, which had to be eliminated due to citrus canker and greening, and then in support of

various row crops. The recently acquired "Patriot Farms" parcel, which fronts directly on SW 96th Street, also operated first as citrus and later as a nursery until the 2004-2005 hurricanes. Its primary drainage outfall is Roebuck Creek, which terminates at the southern end of the property and maintains some natural wetland characteristics.

In support of agricultural production, all native habitat and trees on the property (except within and adjacent to Roebuck Creek) were legally cleared and irrigation and drainage systems were developed on the property. Because agriculture is exempt from standard drainage and water quality treatment requirements, the drainage system was designed to irrigate crops and discharge high volumes of nutrient-laden run-off directly into the St. Lucie Canal and eventually into the South Fork of the St. Lucie River without any pre-treatment.

Due to significant start-up costs, unavoidable risks and competitive markets worldwide, citrus or crop production is not a sustainable, economically viable alternative. The lack of substantial native habitat or protected species and the sites high topography and existing drainage system, make the property ideally suited for conversion to urban land uses. Most importantly, conversion to urban use will require compliance with the County's strict water quality standards that significantly reduce the discharge rate and greatly improves water quality treatment prior to discharge thereby adding to the County's efforts to eliminate toxic algal blooms in the St. Lucie Estuary. *Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl*.

(VI) Preserves open space and natural lands and provides for public open space and recreation needs.

The conversion of the property from Agricultural to Low Density Residential will maintain the same minimum open space required by the Agricultural land use but also create opportunities for restoration and perpetual management of the Roebuck Creek headwaters, and public and private open space and recreation opportunities on site that would not otherwise be available under the existing Agricultural land use. *Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl*. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

(VII) Creates a balance of land uses based upon demands of residential population for the nonresidential needs of an area.

The proposed land use and text amendments recognize the adjacency of the Primary Urban Service District (PUSD) and the availability of existing or planned public facilities adjacent to and surrounding the subject property. The subject property is surrounded by urban service districts on three sides that include nearby public schools, retail uses and a major employment center, i.e., the adjacent 200-acre South Florida Gateway Industrial Park, which is currently under construction, and the future 30-acre County Operations Center. These projects create a functional relationship and provide additional consideration for housing in this area of the County. The mix of housing opportunities provided by the proposed Low Density residential future land use designations coupled with the mix of nearby Industrial, Commercial and Institutional uses minimize the potential for urban sprawl by creating a functional balance of uses where residents can live, work and play. *Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl*. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December

15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

(VIII) Provides uses, densities, and intensities of use and urban form that would remediate an existing or planned development pattern in the vicinity that constitutes sprawl or if it provides for an innovative development pattern such as transit-oriented developments or new towns as defined in s. 163.3164.

The subject property is surrounded by urban service districts on three sides that include nearby public schools, retail uses and a major employment center, i.e., the adjacent 200-acre South Florida Gateway Industrial Park, which is currently under construction, and the future 30-acre County Operations Center. These projects create a functional relationship and provide additional consideration for housing in this area of the County. The mix of housing opportunities provided by the proposed Low Density residential future land use designations coupled with the mix of nearby Industrial, Commercial and Institutional uses minimize the potential for urban sprawl by creating a functional balance of uses where residents can live, work and play. Discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

Eight out of eight of the criteria have been met to determine the application discourages the proliferation of urban sprawl. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

2.3.2 Conversion of Land.

Conversion of Land Since the subject property is currently designated as Agricultural, the standards mentioned in Policy 4.13A.1.(2) must be considered in order to determine whether the proposed change is appropriate. The following is an excerpt from Policy 4.13A.1.(2), CGMP, Martin County, Fla. (2019), (2) Conversion of land designated Agricultural on the FLUM. Agriculturally designated land may be redesignated only by an amendment to the FLUM. The intent of this section aims to permit such an amendment upon a finding by the Board of County Commissioners that the applicant has demonstrated:

- (a) The proposed development shall not adversely impact the hydrology of the area, or the productive capacity of adjacent farmlands not included in the amendment application in any other manner;
- (b) The proposed land conversion is a logical and timely extension of a more intense land use designation in a nearby area, considering existing and anticipated land use development patterns; consistency with the goals and objectives of the CGMP; and availability of supportive services, including improved roads, recreation amenities, adequate school capacity, satisfactory allocations of water and wastewater facilities, and other needed supportive facilities. Such findings shall be based on soil potential analysis and agricultural site assessment.

The property was used and has operated for several decades as an active farm, first in support of citrus, which had to be eliminated due to citrus canker and greening, and then in support of various row crops. The recently acquired "Patriot Farms" parcel that fronts directly on SW 96th Street also operated first as citrus and later as a tree nursery until the 2004-2005 hurricanes, which destroyed the trees and forced the nursery business into smaller plants and shrubs. Its primary drainage outfall is Roebuck Creek, which terminates at the southern end of the property and maintains some natural wetland characteristics.

In support of agricultural production, all native habitat and trees on the property (except within and adjacent to Roebuck Creek) were legally cleared and irrigation and drainage systems were developed on the property. Because agriculture is exempt from standard drainage and water quality treatment requirements, the drainage system was designed to irrigate crops and discharge high volumes of nutrient-laden run-off directly into the St. Lucie Canal and eventually into the South Fork of the St. Lucie River without any pre-treatment.

Due to significant start-up costs, unavoidable risks and competitive markets worldwide, citrus or crop production is not a sustainable, economically viable alternative. The continued use of the property for agricultural production will require more intense application of soil amendments that are not only costly and labor-intensive, but also negatively impact the St. Lucie River estuary both environmentally and "economically".

The lack of substantial native habitat or protected species and the sites high topography and existing drainage system, make the property ideally suited for conversion to urban land uses. Most importantly, conversion to urban use will require compliance with the County's strict water quality standards that significantly reduce the discharge rate and greatly improves water quality treatment prior to discharge thereby adding to the County's efforts to eliminate toxic algal blooms in the St. Lucie Estuary.

The proposed land conversion is logical and timely considering existing and anticipated land use development patterns; consistency with the goals and objectives of the CGMP; and the availability of supportive services, including improved roads, recreation amenities, adequate school capacity, satisfactory allocations of water and wastewater facilities and the need for housing in close proximity to employment centers. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

2.4 Availability of Public Facilities and Services.

"Policy 4.1B.2. Analysis of Availability of Public Services. All requests for amendments to the FLUMS shall include a general analysis of (1) the availability and adequacy of public facilities and (2) the level of services required for public facilities in the proposed land uses..."

All mandatory public facilities and services are currently in place or planned to be available when development occurs. The following documents are included in the application to substantiate these findings:

County's Analysis of Public Facilities presented to the Board of County Commissioners on February 13, 2018;

Water and Sewer Availability Worksheet prepared by Kimley-Horn and Associates in coordination with the Martin County Utilities Department;
Traffic Study prepared by Susan O'Rourke, P.E.; and
School Impact Worksheet prepared by Lucido & Associates

This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

2.5 Justification Statement for Rezoning Application

a. Whether the proposed rezoning is consistent with all applicable Provisions of the CGMP;

The requested rezoning to PUD is consistent with the corresponding text amendment that requires all future development to be approved by way of Planned Unit Development (PUD) Agreement.

b. Whether the proposed rezoning is consistent with all applicable provisions of the Martin County Land Development Regulations (LDR);

The requested rezoning to PUD is consistent with various zoning options designed to implement the corresponding Low Density Residential future land use designation as directed by the applicable provisions of the CGMP and Land Development Code. Compliance with the applicable zoning district must be documented at the time of site plan application. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

c. Whether the proposed zoning district is compatible with the character of the existing land uses in the adjacent and surrounding area and the peculiar suitability of the property for the proposed zoning use;

The requested PUD rezoning will implement the proposed land use designation and allow for urban development consistent with the development trend that has occurred over the past 30 years. Compliance with the landscape buffering policies will maintain compatibility and enhance the character of surrounding land uses. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

d. Whether and to what extent there are documented changed conditions in the area;

The growth in the area over the past 30 years, particularly the improvements to public infrastructure and services, and the development of commercial and residential uses along Kanner Highway, has altered the character of the area such that the proposed request is now reasonable and consistent with area land use characteristics. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.


e. Whether and to what extent the proposed zoning would result in demands on public facilities:

All required public facilities and services are in place or planned to be available to support future development. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

f. Whether and to what extent the proposed zoning would result in a logical, timely and orderly development pattern which conserves the value of existing development and is an appropriate use of the county's resources;

The rezoning application is consistent with the pattern of growth that has occurred since the Comprehensive Growth Management Plan land use plan was originally adopted in 1982. The proposed request will conserve and enhance the value of existing development and provide equitable treatment to the property owner, consistent with CGMP policies, while furthering CGMP policies that support the efficient and economical use of the county's resources. This application has been amended to address comments in the staff report dated December 15, 2023. See additional supporting documentation in the FLUM response letter dated March 26, 2024.

Location Map






Aerial Map






Property Appraisers Assessment Map





Existing Future Land Use Map




Future Land Use Legend Agricultural Ranchette

- Agricultural
- AgTEC
- Commercial General
- Commercial Limited
- **Commercial Waterfront**
- Commercial / Office / Residential
- Public Conservation Area
- **CRA Center**
- **CRA Neighborhood**
- Estate Density -up to 1 UPA
 - Estate Density -up to 2 UPA


- General Institutional
- High Density -up to 10 UPA
- Industrial
- Low Density -up to 5 UPA
- Medium Density -up to 8 UPA
 - Mixed-Use Village
- Mobile Home Density -up to 8 UP/
- No Data (May Include Incorporate
- Major Power Generation Facility
- Recreational
- Rural Density -up to 0.5 UPA
- Rural Heritage -up to 0.5 UPA
- Rural Lifestyle







Proposed Future Land Use Map



Future Land Use Legend Agricultural Ranchette


- Agricultural
- AgTEC
- Commercial General
- **Commercial Limited**
- **Commercial Waterfront**
- Commercial / Office / Residential
- Public Conservation Area
- **CRA Center**
- **CRA Neighborhood**
- Estate Density -up to 1 UPA
 - Estate Density -up to 2 UPA

- General Institutional
- High Density -up to 10 UPA
- Industrial
- Low Density -up to 5 UPA
- Medium Density -up to 8 UPA
 - Mixed-Use Village
- Mobile Home Density -up to 8 UP/
- No Data (May Include Incorporate
- Major Power Generation Facility
- Recreational
- Rural Density -up to 0.5 UPA
- Rural Heritage -up to 0.5 UPA
- Rural Lifestyle



Waterside PUD CPA 21-11 & 22-12

Existing Zoning Map



Zoning Legend


- A-1 (Small Farms)
- A-1A (Agricultural)
 - A-2 (Agricultural) A-3 (Conservation)
- AG-20A (General Agricultural District)
- AR-10A (Agricultural Ranchette District)
- AR-5A (Agricultural Ranchette District)
 - B-1 (Business)
- B-2 (Business Wholesale)
- B-3 (Rural Business)
 - CC (Community Commercial District)
 - CO (Commercial Office)
 - COR-1 (Commercial Office/Residential District)
- COR-2 (Commercial Office/Residential District) E (Estates and Suburban Homes District)
- E-1 (Estates and Suburban Homes District)
- GC (General Commercial District)
- GI (General Industrial District)
- HB-1 (Limited Business)
 - HB-1A (Hotel & Motel)
 - HB-1AA (Hotel & Motel)
 - HI (Heavy Industrial District)
- HR-1 (Single-family Residential District)
- HR-1A (Single-family Residential District)
- HR-2 (Multi-Family Residential) HR-2A (Multi-Family Dwelling)
- IZ Interim Zoning
- Jupiter Island
- lucido & associates

- LC (Limited Commercial District) LI (Limited Industrial District)
- LI-1 (Limited Industrial District)
- M-1 (Industrial)
 - M-2 (Industrial)
- M-3 (Industrial)
- MH-P (Mobile Home Park District)
- MH-S (Mobile Home Subdivision District)
- - PAF (Public Airport Facilities District)
- PC (Public Conservation District)
- PR (Public Recreation District)
- PS (Public Servicing District)
- PS-1 (Public Service District)
- PS-2 (Public Service District)
- PUD-C (Commercial)
- PUD-I (Industrial)
- PUD-MH (Mobile Home)
- PUD-R (Residential) PUD-WJ (PUD West Jensen)
- PMUV (Planned Mixed-Use Village)
- R-1 (Single-family Residential District)
- R-1A (Single-family Residential District) R-1B (Single-family Residential District)
- R-1C (Single-family Residential District)
- R-2 (Single-family Residential District)
- R-2A (Two-Family Residential District) R-2B (Single-family Residential District)
- R-2C (Single-family Residential District)
 - R-2T (Single-family Residential District)

- R-3 (Multi-Family Residential)
- R-3A (Liberal Multi-Family)
- R-3B (Multi-Family Residential)
- R-4 (Multi-Family Residential)
- R-5 (Multi-Family Med. Density)
- RE-1/2A (Residential Estate District)
- RE-1A (Residential Estate District) RE-2A (Rural Estate District)
- RM-10 (High Density Residential District) RM-3 (Low Density Residential District)
- RM-4 (Low Density Residential District)
- RM-5 (Low Density Residential District)
- RM-6 (Medium Density Residential District)
- RM-8 (Medium Density Residential District)
- RS-10 (High Density Residential District)
- RS-3 (Low Density Residential District)
- RS-4 (Low Density Residential District)
- RS-5 (Low Density Residential District)
- RS-6 (Medium Density Residential District)
- RS-8 (Medium Density Residential District)
- RS-BR3 (Low Density Residential District, Beau Rivage)
- RT (Mobile Home Subdivision District)
- SY (Salvage Yard)
- Sewalls Point
- Stuart
- TP (Mobile Home Park District)
- WE-1 (Waterfront Estates District)
- WGC (Waterfront General Commercial District)
- WRC (Waterfront Resort Commercial District)

Waterside PUD CPA 21-11 & 22-12

Proposed Zoning Map



Zoning Legend

- A-1 (Small Farms)
- A-1A (Agricultural)
- A-2 (Agricultural) A-3 (Conservation)
- AG-20A (General Agricultural District)
- AR-10A (Agricultural Ranchette District)
- AR-5A (Agricultural Ranchette District)
 - B-1 (Business)
- B-2 (Business Wholesale)
- B-3 (Rural Business)
 - CC (Community Commercial District) CO (Commercial Office)

 - COR-1 (Commercial Office/Residential District)
- COR-2 (Commercial Office/Residential District)
- E (Estates and Suburban Homes District) E-1 (Estates and Suburban Homes District)
- GC (General Commercial District)
- GI (General Industrial District)
- HB-1 (Limited Business)
 - HB-1A (Hotel & Motel)
 - HB-1AA (Hotel & Motel)
- HI (Heavy Industrial District)
- HR-1 (Single-family Residential District)
- HR-1A (Single-family Residential District) HR-2 (Multi-Family Residential)
- HR-2A (Multi-Family Dwelling)
- IZ Interim Zoning
- Jupiter Island

- LC (Limited Commercial District)
- LI (Limited Industrial District)
- LI-1 (Limited Industrial District)
- M-1 (Industrial)
 - M-2 (Industrial)
- M-3 (Industrial)
- MH-P (Mobile Home Park District)
- MH-S (Mobile Home Subdivision District)
- - PAF (Public Airport Facilities District)
- PC (Public Conservation District)
- PR (Public Recreation District)
- PS (Public Servicing District)
- PS-1 (Public Service District)
- PS-2 (Public Service District)
- PUD-C (Commercial)
- PUD-I (Industrial)
- PUD-MH (Mobile Home)
- PUD-R (Residential)
- PUD-WJ (PUD West Jensen)
- PMUV (Planned Mixed-Use Village)
- R-1 (Single-family Residential District)
- R-1A (Single-family Residential District) R-1B (Single-family Residential District)
- R-1C (Single-family Residential District)
- R-2 (Single-family Residential District)
- R-2A (Two-Family Residential District) R-2B (Single-family Residential District)
- - R-2C (Single-family Residential District) R-2T (Single-family Residential District)

- R-3 (Multi-Family Residential)
- R-3A (Liberal Multi-Family)
- R-3B (Multi-Family Residential)
- R-4 (Multi-Family Residential)
- R-5 (Multi-Family Med. Density)
- RE-1/2A (Residential Estate District)
- RE-1A (Residential Estate District) RE-2A (Rural Estate District)
- RM-10 (High Density Residential District)
- RM-3 (Low Density Residential District)
- RM-4 (Low Density Residential District)
- RM-5 (Low Density Residential District)
- RM-6 (Medium Density Residential District)
- RM-8 (Medium Density Residential District)
- RS-10 (High Density Residential District)
- RS-3 (Low Density Residential District)
- RS-4 (Low Density Residential District)
- RS-5 (Low Density Residential District)
- RS-6 (Medium Density Residential District)
- RS-8 (Medium Density Residential District)
- RS-BR3 (Low Density Residential District, Beau Rivage)
- RT (Mobile Home Subdivision District)
- SY (Salvage Yard)
- Sewalls Point
- Stuart
- TP (Mobile Home Park District)
- WE-1 (Waterfront Estates District)
- WGC (Waterfront General Commercial District)
- WRC (Waterfront Resort Commercial District)

Waterside PUD CPA 21-11 & 22-12

Martin County, Florida



Adjacent Use Legend

Residential

—— Agricultural

--- Industrial


Golf Course

—— Canal

Commercial

Primary Urban
Service District

Freestanding Urban
Service District



CPA 21-11 Kanner/96th Street Investments LLC Comprehensive Growth Management Plan

Text Amendments

April 3, 2023 (revised March 25, 2024)

NOTE: Proposed deleted text revisions are struck-through and added text is underlined for clarity.

Chapter 4 – Future Land use Element

Policy 4.1B.2. Analysis of availability of public facilities. All requests for amendments to the FLUMs shall include a general analysis of (1) the availability and adequacy of public facilities and (2) the level of services required for public facilities in the proposed land uses. This analysis shall address, at a minimum, the availability of category A and category C service facilities as defined in the Capital Improvements Element. No amendment shall be approved unless present or planned public facilities and services will be capable of meeting the adopted LOS standards of this Plan for the proposed land uses. The Capital Improvements Element or other relevant plan provisions and the FLUMs may be amended concurrently to satisfy this criterion. The intent of this provision is to ensure that the elements of the CGMP remain internally consistent.

Compliance with this provision is in addition to, not in lieu of, compliance with the provisions of Martin County's Concurrency Management System. When a map amendment is granted under this provision, it does not confer any vested rights and will not stop the County from denying subsequent requests for development orders based on the application of a concurrency review at the time such orders are sought.

Martin County may adopt sub-area development restrictions for a particular site where public facilities and services, such as arterial and collector roads, regional water supply, regional wastewater treatment/disposal, surface water management, solid waste collection/disposal, parks and recreational facilities, and schools, are constrained and incapable of meeting the needs of the site if developed to the fullest capacity allowed under Goal 4.13 of this Growth Management Plan. The master or final site plan for a site that is subject to such sub-area development restrictions shall specify the maximum amount and type of development allowed. Sub-area development restrictions apply to the following sites:

- (?) The following restrictions shall be applied to the tract of real property designated as Low Density Residential on the Future Land Use Map and described in Ordinance No. ????.
 - (a) Residential units shall be limited to a maximum of 1,050 units.
 - (b) Prior to the issuance of the 100th building permit, a monetary contribution of \$1000 per residential unit shall be donated to the Martin County Community Land Trust to address variable housing needs throughout the County.
 - (c) All future applications for development approval shall be processed as a Planned Unit Development (PUD).

(d) The owner/developer shall plan and appropriately fund public facilities consistent with Policy 14.1B.2, which requires that future development pay the full cost of capital facilities needed to address the impacts of such development. This shall include an amendment to the Capital Improvements Element, if needed, and a PUD Agreement and/or Development Agreement that addresses public facilities, infrastructure and the timing of development.

Policy 4.7A.3.(9); Policy 4.7A.3.1.(3) and Policy 4.7A.14. (9)

The following language in Policy 4.7A.3.(9); Policy 4.7A.3.1.(3) and Policy 4.7A.14. (9), that pertains to the existing Industrial land use within the Freestanding Urban Service District will not be applicable once the area is included in the Primary Urban Service District and should therefore be deleted for consistency:

The tract of real property designated as Industrial on the Future Land Use Map and described in Ordinance No. Number 1153 and Ordinance 1210, less and except property described in Ordinance 1208

Policy 4.1D.2. Population technical bulletin. Martin County shall annually produce a population technical bulletin based on data provided by the Office of Economic and Demographic Research (EDR) and the Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR). The medium EDR estimate for the unincorporated area population shall be the basis for the Population Technical Bulletin. The following standards shall be used in calculating population projections through a Population Technical Bulletin adopted annually by the County Commission:

- (1) Methodology must be clear and available for public review. Any change in methodology must be approved by the county commission prior to the preparation of the report.
- (2) Unless there is clear evidence to the contrary, the BEBR medium population projections for Martin County shall be used. The EDR provides estimates for permanent population. The permanent population shall be as calculated as provided by the EDR and the US Census.
- (3) Municipal permanent population shall be subtracted from total county permanent population to arrive at the estimate for total permanent population for the unincorporated area. Based on this calculation, the most recent 5-year average percent of total permanent population in the unincorporated area shall be applied against the BEBR medium population projections for Martin County to determine future permanent population for the unincorporated area. The population Technical Bulletin shall show what portion of the permanent population is housed in occupied housing units or households.
- (4) Peak population in occupied housing units or households and peak population for LOS determination shall be calculated as outlined in Sections 1.7D and 1.7 E.

Policy 4.1D.3 Future residential housing unit demand.

Future housing demand projections shall be based on all of the following:

- (1) The demand for future occupied housing units or households in the unincorporated area shall be based on the percentage increase in permanent population projected by the Population Technical Bulletin.
- (2) Occupied housing units (HO) are classified by the Census, a housing unit is occupied if a person or group of persons is living in it at the time of the Census interview or if the occupants are only temporarily absent, as for example, on vacation. The persons living in the unit must consider it their usual place of residence or have no usual place of residence elsewhere. The number of occupied housing units (HO) is the same as the number of households. Vacant seasonal housing units (HS) are classified by the Census as those housing units intended for occupancy only during certain seasons of the year. American Community Survey Data shall be used as source data between Decennial Census years.
- (3) Permanent and seasonal population in residential housing is served by housing units in actual use (HU).

Housing units in actual use (HU) equals the occupied housing units (HO) plus vacant seasonal housing units (HS).

HU = HO + HS

- (4) Vacant housing not in seasonal use shall not be used in calculating housing unit demand, but shall be used in calculating supply. Hotel/motel units shall not be used in calculating residential housing demand.
- (5) The projected demand for housing units in the future shall be determined by dividing the projected, permanent population (housing), as defined in Chapter 2, by the permanent population (housing). American Community Survey Data shall be used as source data between Decennial Census years.

Projected permanent population (housing)/Permanent population (housing) = percentage increase in demand.

(6) This percentage increase in demand multiplied by the housing units in actual use (HU) in the most recent census year equals the projected housing unit need in the future period.

Percentage increase in demand x HU = projected housing unit demand.

(73) Future residential housing needs shall be updated every five years.

Policy 4.1D.4. Distribution of housing unit demand.

- (1) The percentage of residential housing demand that will be met outside the urban service districts shall be based on the number of housing units built in the preceding five years, based on the "Actual Year Built" as reported in the most recent final Martin County Tax Roll. The number of housing units built outside the urban service districts shall be divided by the total number of housing units built for the unincorporated area to determine the appropriate percentage.
- (2) The remainder of residential housing demand must be met within the Primary and Secondary Urban Service Districts.

Policy 4.1D.5 Residential capacity analysis. Martin County shall produce a residential capacity analysis every five years. Residential capacity defines the available residential development options within the Primary and Secondary Urban Service Districts that can meet the demand for population growth consistent with the Future Land Use Map. Residential supply shall consist of:

- (1) Vacant property that allows residential use according to the Future Land Use Map. To account for land development regulations that restrict residential density, 75% of the maximum allowable density shall be used in calculating the number of available units on vacant non-agricultural upland acreage. For the purpose of this calculation, the maximum allowable density for wetlands shall be zero. The maximum allowable density for properties that are more than 50% inundated by wetlands shall be 75% of the maximum density of a given future land use designation and shall apply only to the upland portion of the property. The maximum allowable density for properties which contain wetlands but are less than 50% inundated by wetlands shall be one-half of the maximum density of a given future land use designation.
- (2) Subdivided single family and duplex lots. The following lot types shall be included in the residential capacity calculation:
 - (a) Vacant single family or duplex lots of record as of 1982 developed prior to the County's tracking of development approvals.
 - (b) Vacant single family or duplex lots of record platted after 1982.
- (2) Best management practices and forecasting models shall be employed to consider location factors and infrastructure constraints that affect the development and timing of vacant residential land.
- (3) Potential for residential development <u>shall be based on approved residential developments where development activity is actively underway (site development, infrastructure and/or amenity construction, housing unit construction) and historical trends.</u>
- (4) Excess vacant housing not in use by permanent or seasonal residents. Excess vacant housing is a vacancy rate higher than 3% of the number of housing units in actual use. American Community Survey Data shall be used as source data between Decennial Census years.

The 15 year planning period for residential capacity began with the 2010 Census and shall be updated to a new 15 year planning period every 5 years. The residential capacity analysis showing the total residential supply within the Primary and the Secondary Urban Service Districts shall be compared to the projected residential demand as outlined in Policy 4.1D.3 and 4.1D.4 above. The report shall show demand and supply comparisons for a ten year period as well as for the 15 year planning period.

Policy 4.1D.6 The residential capacity analysis will determine if the future demand for residential units exceeds the supply for residential units as provided in the residential capacity analysis.

When the undeveloped residential acreage within either the Primary Urban Service District or the Secondary Urban Service District no longer provides for projected

population growth for the fifteen year planning period, planning for expansion of residential capacity shall commence. When the undeveloped acreage within either the Primary Urban Service District or the Secondary Urban Service District provides for no more than 10 years of projected population growth, the County is required to expand capacity.

Policy 4.1D.7. Active residential development tracking system. Martin County will implement and maintain an active residential tracking system for all residential development approvals. By limiting approvals within the first five year period of the 15 year planning period to 125% of the housing demand for that five year period, the County can maintain a fiscally feasible and cost-effective concurrency management system. The same 15 year planning period used for residential capacity planning shall be used. The 15 year planning period for residential capacity began with the 2010 Census and shall be updated to a new 15 year planning period every 5 years. Implementation of the Active residential development tracking system shall begin within 12 months of the date this text becomes effective.

(1) In the fourth year of the five year planning period, Martin County shall begin preparing the update to the residential capacity analysis described in Policies 4.1D.5 and 6. Demand calculations must be available for the following five year period before a given five year period expires.

(2) The County shall:

- (a) Remove all projects that have breached or exceeded their timetables.
- (b) Ensure for the current five year period that the active development pool does not exceed 125% of the five year residential demand.
- (3) Ten percent of the available residential units shall be set aside for small residential developments. Small residential developments are defined as projects that contain 25 units or fewer. If the set aside units for each five year planning period are not allocated by the third year of that planning period, the set aside units shall be available for allocation to large residential developments.
- (4) Residential development approved under the active residential development process must meet locational suitability requirements including:
 - (a) Locating within the primary or secondary urban service district.
 - (b) Consistency with the CIE.
 - (c) Protection of natural resources.
 - (d) Adequate provision of facilities and services at adopted levels of service standards; and
 - (e) Consistency with all goals, objectives and policies of this Plan and the requirements of chapter 1.
 - (f) Proposed residential development that encroaches into active agricultural lands shall not be permitted unless the proposed project's density is permittable under an agricultural use designation (minimum five acre lots in Agricultural Ranchette and twenty acre lots in the Agricultural future land use). Active agricultural land is defined as land currently

receiving an Agricultural Classification from the Martin County Property Appraiser.

(g) This criterion does not prevent the Board of County Commissioners from approving a residential development on land with an agricultural classification in place provided the exemption is removed after approval of a final development order. This policy only applies to the land area subject to the final development order. Any land area that is found by the Board of County Commissioners to maintain the agricultural classification for ad valorem tax purposes after approval of a final development order shall be found in violation of the final development order and be subject to breach proceedings.

Chapter 1 - Preamble

Section 1.7. - Supporting Data

The CGMP shall be based on analysis of the best available data on past trends, existing characteristics and future projections of the County's population, housing, land use and economic and natural resources. These data shall be maintained as public information filed in the Growth Management Department. The data shall be updated as required by state statute, and local ordinance.

Various elements of the CGMP—such as Future Land Use. Housing, and Capital Improvements—are directly based on population data. The appropriate resident and seasonal population figures are critical to the local government in assessing future needs for housing units, the adequacy of housing supply, and the need for services and facilities.

1.7.A. *Population estimates*. Assumptions used in the CGMP are based on Martin County population estimates and projections. These in turn are based on the Office of Economic and Demographic Research (EDR) and Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR) estimates and projections.

The following standards shall be used in calculating population projections through a Population Technical Bulletin adopted annually by the County Commission:

- (1) Methodology must be clear and available for public review. Any change in methodology must be approved by the County Commission prior to the preparation of the report.
- (2) The base data for population estimates and projections comes from the U.S. Decennial Census. In between decennial Census years, the Office of Economic and Demographic Research (EDR) and Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR) provides annual updates to the estimates and projections. In the years in between the decennial Census, the permanent population estimates and projections provided by EDR and BEBR shall be used in the annual update to the Population Technical Bulletin to project permanent and seasonal population for the unincorporated portion of Martin County for the planning horizon of the Plan.
- (3) Municipal permanent population shall be subtracted from total county permanent population to arrive at the estimate for total permanent population for the unincorporated area. Based on this calculation, the most recent 5-year average percent of total permanent population in the unincorporated area shall be applied against the BEBR

medium population projections for Martin County to determine future permanent population for the unincorporated area. The Population Technical Bulletin shall show what portion of the permanent population is housed in occupied housing units or households.

- (4) Peak population in occupied housing units or households and peak population for level of service determination shall be calculated as outlined in Sections 1.7.D. and 1.7.E., CGMP. below.
- (5) See Chapter 2 for definitions of population terms used in the text of the Plan.
- 1.7.B. *Housing unit demand projection.* Projections of housing demand are based on expected increases in permanent population for the unincorporated area and shall be based on calculations described below:
- (1) The demand for future housing units in the unincorporated area shall be based on the percentage increase in permanent population projected by the Population Technical Bulletin for occupied housing units or households plus the percent increase in vacant housing units, as a percent of total housing units.
- (2) Occupied housing units (HO) are classified by the Census, a housing unit is occupied if a person or group of persons is living in it at the time of the Census interview or if the occupants are only temporarily absent, as for example, on vacation. The persons living in the unit must consider it their usual place of residence or have no usual place of residence elsewhere. The number of occupied housing units (HO) is the same as the number of households...

Vacant seasonal housing units (HS) are classified by the Census as those housing units intended for occupancy only during certain seasons of the year. American Community Survey Data shall be used as source data between Decennial Census years.

(3) Peak population in residential housing is served by housing units in actual use (HU).

Housing units in actual use (HU) equals the occupied housing units (HO') plus vacant seasonal housing units (HS).

HU = HO + HS

- (4) Vacant housing not in seasonal use shall not be used in calculating housing unit demand, but shall be used in calculating supply. Hotel/motel units shall not be used in calculating residential housing demand.
- (5) The projected demand for housing units in the future shall be determined by dividing the projected, permanent population (housing), as defined in <u>Chapter 2</u> by the permanent population (housing) identified. American Community Survey Data shall be used as source data between Decennial Census years.

Projected permanent population (housing)/Permanent population (housing)= percentage increase in demand.

(6) This percentage increase in demand multiplied by the housing units in actual use (HU) equals the projected residential housing unit need in the future period. American Community Survey Data shall be used as source data between Decennial Census years.

Percentage increase in demand × HU = projected housing unit demand.

- (7) The eastern Urban Service District and the Indiantown Urban Service District shall be considered separately.
- 1.7.C. Residential capacity calculations. Residential capacity represents the capacity for residential development within each of the urban service districts to meet the projected population needs for the 15 year planning period. The calculation of residential capacity within each of the urban service districts shall include:
- (1) Vacant property that allows residential use according to the Future Land Use Map. To account for land development regulations that restrict residential density, 75% of the maximum allowable density shall be used in calculating the number of available units on vacant non-agricultural upland acreage. For the purpose of this calculation, the maximum allowable density for wetlands shall be zero. The maximum allowable density for properties that are more than 50% inundated by wetlands shall be 75% of the maximum density of a given future land use designation and shall apply only to the upland portion of the property. The maximum allowable density for properties which contain wetlands but are less than 50% inundated by wetlands shall be one-half of the maximum density of a given future land use designation.
- (2) Best management practices and forecasting models shall be employed to consider location factors and infrastructure constraints that affect the development and timing of vacant residential land.
- (2) Subdivided single family and duplex lots. The following lot types shall be included in the residential capacity calculation:
 - (a) Vacant single family or duplex lots of record as of 1982 developed prior to the County's tracking of development approvals.
 - (b) Vacant single family or duplex lots of record platted after 1982.
- (3) Potential for residential development in <u>shall be based on actual approved residential developments where development activity is actively underway (site development, infrastructure and/or amenity construction, housing unit construction) and historical trends.</u>
- (4) Excess vacant housing not in use by permanent or seasonal residents. Excess vacant housing is a vacancy rate higher than 3% of the number of housing units in actual use. American Community Survey Data shall be used as source data between Decennial Census years.
- (5) The eastern Urban Service District and the Indiantown Urban Service District shall be considered separately.
- 1.7.D. Peak population in occupied housing units for the unincorporated area. The number of residents living in occupied housing units or households, and the number of occupants of Vacant seasonal housing units in Martin County equals peak population (housing). It is calculated by adding permanent population (housing) and the seasonal population (housing) to determine the total demand for occupied and vacant seasonal housing units.
- 1.7.E. Peak and weighted average population for Level of Service determination (LOS). Peak and weighted average population for LOS for library collections, corrections, solid

waste, and bicycle and pedestrian pathways as outlined in <u>Chapter 14</u> shall be calculated as follows:

- (1) Permanent population for the unincorporated area including prisoners and group homes, shall be derived from EDR or BEBR.
- (2) Seasonal population (facility) for the unincorporated area shall include seasonal population (housing) plus part-time inhabitants who use, or may be expected to use, public facilities or services, but are not residents. This includes tourists, migrant farm workers, and other short- term and long term visitors. Hotel motel population in the peak five months of the year for the unincorporated area shall be determined by using hotel occupancy data and hotel bed tax collections to estimate the average number of vacationers.
- (3) Permanent population plus seasonal population (facility) in the peak five months of the year shall equal the peak population (facility) for the unincorporated area. This data is then used to determine weighted average population for LOS determination.
- (4) The weighted average population assumes that five months of the year are peak population and the remaining seven are permanent. The permanent and peak populations are weighted accordingly to produce the weighted average population estimates. This is done by multiplying the appropriate permanent population by seven, and the appropriate peak population by five, and dividing the total by twelve.
- (5) Estimates and projections for the peak population and the weighted average population shall be calculated for countywide population and for unincorporated area population.
- 1.7.F. Every five years the staff shall analyze previous projections to determine the accuracy of the methodology and improve on it for future projections.

Martin County File: G:lprolects\lits\rlawton\colon\colongap Figures


Proposed Urban Service District - Figure 4-2



Disclaimer


"This Geographic Information System Map Product, received from Martin County
"COUNTY") in fulfilliment of a public records request is provided "as is"
without warranty of any kind, and the COUNTY expressly disclaims all express
and implied warranties, including but not limited to the implied warranties of
merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. The COUNTY does not
warrant, guarantee, or make any representations regarding the use, or the
results of the use, of the Information provided to you by the COUNTY in terms of
correctness, accuracy, reliability, timeliness or otherwise. The entire risk as
to the results and performance of any information obtained from the COUNTY is
entirely assumed by the recipient."






Martin County

Figure 11-1, Areas Currently Served By Regional Utilities



Martin County


Figure 11-2, Potential Service Areas



Indiantown Company

Waterside PUD CPA 21-11 & 22-12

FEMA Flood Map




FEMA Flood Zones





Soil Type Map





WULFERT AND DURBIN MUCK, TIDAL

WINDER SAND, DEPRESSIONAL

WAVELAND AND LAWNWOOD FINE SAND, DEPRESSIONAL

WAVELAND AND IMMOKALEE FINE SAND

WABASSO SAND

WABASSO AND OLDSMAR FINE SAND, DEPRESSIONAL

URBAN LAND

UDORTHENTS, 0-35% SLOPES

ST LUCIE-PAOLA-URBAN LAND COMPLEX, 0-8% SLOPES

SANIBEL MUCK

SAMSULA MUCK

SALERNO SAND

RIVIERA FINE SAND, DEPRESSIONAL

POMELLO SAND, 0-5% SLOPES



PINEDA AND RIVIERA FINE SAND

PAOLA AND ST LUCIE SAND, 8-20% SLOPES

PAOLA AND ST LUCIE SAND, 0 - 8% SLOPES

PALM BEACH-BEACHES COMPLEX, 0-8% SLOPES

OLDSMAR FINE SAND

OKEELANTA MUCK

NETTLES SAND

MALABAR FINE SAND, HIGH

LAWNWOOD AND MYAKKA FINE SAND

KESSON SAND, TIDAL

JUPITER SAND

JONATHAN SAND, 0-5% SLOPES

HONTOON MUCK



HOBE FINE SAND, 0-5% SLOPES

HALLANDALE SAND

GATOR AND TEQUESTA MUCK

FLORIDANA FINE SAND, DEPRESSIONAL

DUETTE FINE SAND

CHOBEE LOAMY SAND, DEPRESSIONAL

CANOVA MUCK

CANAVERAL SAND, 0-5% SLOPES

BOCA FINE SAND

BESSIE MUCK

BASINGER FINE SAND

ARENTS, ORGANIC SUBSTRATUM, 0-5% SLOPES

ARENTS, 0-2% SLOPES


ARCHBOLD SAND





Waterside PUD CPA 21-11 & 22-12

School Location Map





WATER AND SEWER AVAILABILITY WORKSHEET

This worksheet is for use by local governments submitting comprehensive plan amendments to determine the availability of potable water resources to serve proposes development.

1.	Date: _03/30/2023							
	Contact name: JORDAN HAGGERTY Phone: 561-270-6983 E-Mail: JORDAN HAGGERTY@KIMLEY-HORN.COM							
	Local government: UNINCORPORATED MARTIN COUNTY							
	Potable water supplier/source: MARTIN COUNTY UTILITIES							
	Wastewater Collection: MARTIN COUNTY UTILITIES							
2.	Infrastructure Information Water treatment plant permit number: 4431891 Permitting agency: FDEP							
	Permitted capacity of the water treatment plant(s):million gallons a day (mgd)							
	Are distribution lines available to serve the property? Yes_X_No							
	If not, indicate how and when the lines will be provided:							
	Are reuse distribution lines available to serve the property? Yes No _X If not, indicate if, how and when the lines will be provided: REUSE CONNECTION IS NOT PLANNED.							
	Wastewater treatment plant permit number: <u>FL0043214</u> Permitting agency: <u>FDEP</u>							
	Permitted capacity of the wastewater treatment plants:million gallons a day (mgd)							
	Are collection lines available to serve the property? Yes_Y_ No If not, indicate how and when the lines will be provided:							
3.	SFWMD Consumptive Use Permit (CUP) Information CUP number: 43-0270-W AND 43-00090-W Expiration date: 11/30/2035 AND 07/09/2029							
	Total CUP duration (years): 20 YEARS EACH.							
	CUP allocation in last year of permit:							
	Current status of CUP: In compliance Not in compliance							
	Allocations to other local governments: N/A							
	Reserved capacity: N/A							
4.	Consumptive Use Analysis A. Current year CUP allocation: Designate mgd Xor mgy							
	B. Consumption in the previous calendar year:							
	C. Reserved capacity or growth projection							
	D. Projected consumption by proposed comprehensive plan amendment areas .25 MGD 250 GPD X 1,000 UNITS = 250,000 GPD OR .25 MGD							

If the amount in E is zero or a available for future uses:	negative number, explain how potable water will be made

.955

WORKSHEET INSTRUCTIONS

1. General Information

Date: Enter worksheet completion date.

Contact name: Enter the contact information for the person who prepared the worksheet.

Local government: Enter your city of county

E. Amount available for all other future uses (A-B-C-D-E):

Potable water supplier and wastewater collection: If there are different suppliers for any proposed amendment areas, use additional work sheets.

2. Infrastructure Information

Permitted capacity of the water and wastewater treatment plant: obtain from the utility. Distribution lines: indicate if distribution lines are available to serve the property. If not available, indicate who will fund the improvements and when the improvements will be completed.

Reuse distribution lines: Indicates if reuse distribution lines are available to serve the property.

If not available, indicate if they will be provided. If the lines are to be provided, indicate who will fund the improvements and when the improvements will be completed.

3. SFWMD Consumptive Use Permit (CUP) Information

CUP information: Obtain from the utility.

Allocations to other local governments: If the supplier provides water to other local Governments, enter the names of the other local governments and the supply allocation for each.

Reserved capacity: Enter the amount of potable water capacity currently encumbered for developments that are approved but not yet constructed. This could be the amount reserved under your concurrency management system, but may include other encumbrances.

4. Consumptive Use Analysis

Designated mgd or mgy: Indicate which unit of measure is used. The figures may be cited in units of either million gallons per year (mgy) or million gallons per day (mgd), but you must be consistent throughout the worksheet.

A. Current-year CUP allocation: Provide the annual groundwater withdrawal allowed under SFWMD-issued CUP for the current calendar year. If you receive water from another local government, enter the allocation established by agreement or by the secondary user CUP by SFWMD. It is important to consider the duration of the CUP and the CUP allocation in the last year of permit. If your CUP allocation is less in the final-year than in the current year, consider using the final year figure as a more conservation approach for planning purposes.

- B. Consumption in the previous calendar year: This figure may be taken from the EN-50 forms (SFWMD), from FDEP monthly operating reports, or form other acceptable documentation. Cite your source.
- C. Reserved capacity or growth projection: Enter an amount based on your reserved capacity or growth projection. Check which alternative you selected. Attach the calculation for the alternative selected.
 Reserved capacity: Enter the amount of potable water capacity currently encumbered for developments that are approved but not yet constructed. This could be the amount reserved under your concurrency management system, but may include other encumbrances. If your supplier provides water to other local governments, add the amount of the previous year's allocation that was not used.
 Growth projection: Enter the water use attributable to this year's growth and cite your data source(s). Sources for growth projections include the comprehensive land use plan, the CUP, the most current SFWMD water supply assessment, or the utility's water supply plan. If your supplier provides water to other local governments, include the amount of the previous year's allocation that was not used.
- D. Projected consumption: Attach a description of formulas, including figures and assumptions, used to derive this figure. This worksheet may be used to analyze individual amendments or multiple amendments. If using a single worksheet for multiple amendments, include the projected consumption for all amendments. If using more than one worksheet, provide a separate summary sheet with the cumulative total for all worksheets. The project consumption should be based on new growth attributable to the proposed amendment. If the proposes change is due to annexation, it is presumed to be new growth unless there are data and analysis that identify the annexation as existing development or as part of the growth projection entered on line C. If the annexation is presumed to be new growth, the projected consumption should be calculated based on the maximum development potential of the amendment area. If the proposed change is not due to annexation is due to an annexation determined to be accounted for in the growth projection, calculate the difference in projected consumption based on the difference between the maximum development potential under the current designation and the proposed designation.
- E. Amount available for all other future uses: This line automatically calculates the amount available for all other future uses by subtracting lines B, C and D from A. If the amount in line E is zero or a negative number, explain how potable water will be made available for future development. For example a reuse system may be coming on line that will reduce per capita consumption of potable water.

SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT



WATER USE LETTER MODIFICATION

APPLICATION NUMBER: 151223-14 PERMIT NUMBER: 43-02720-W

DATE ISSUED: December 29, 2015 **EXPIRATION DATE**: November 30, 2035

PERMITTEE: DAVID NEILL

P O BOX 2547

FORT PIERCE, FL 34954

PROJECT NAME: INDUSTRIAL WELLS

PROJECT LOCATION: MARTIN COUNTY, \$18/T39S/R41E

S13,14,23,24/T39S/R40E

District staff has reviewed the information submitted in support of the referenced application for permit modification(s) and determined that the proposed activities are in compliance with the previous permit and the appropriate provisions of Rule 40E-2.331 (4)(a), Florida Administrative Code. The permit modification(s) include the following:

Move the location of proposed well 5 approximately 1,300 feet north.

Please understand that your permit remains subject to the 22 Limiting Conditions and all other terms of the permit authorization as previously issued.

Thomas Colios Section Leader

Water Use Bureau

leonor Colin

3301 Gun Club Road, West Palm Beach, Florida 33406. (561) 686-8800 www.sfwmd.gov

Application Number: 151223-14 Page 1 of 8

SPECIAL PERMIT CONDITIONS

- This permit is issued to: DAVID NEILL P O BOX 2547 FORT PIERCE, FL - 34954
- 2. This permit shall expire on November 30, 2035.
- Use classification is:

Industrial Water Supply

Source classification is:

Groundwater from: Surficial Aquifer System

Allocation:

Total annual allocation is 1.82 million gallons (MG). (4,986 GPD)

Total maximum monthly allocation is 0.15 million gallons (MG).

These allocations represent the amount of water required to meet the water demands as a result of a rainfall deficit during a drought with the probability of recurring one year in ten. The Permittee shall not exceed these allocations in hydrologic conditions less than a 1-in-10 year drought event. Compliance with the annual allocation is based on the quantity withdrawn over a 12-month time period. Compliance with the maximum monthly allocation is based on the greatest quantity withdrawn in any single month. The annual allocation expressed in GPD or MGD is for informational purposes only.

If the rainfall deficit is more severe than that expected to recur once every ten years, the withdrawals shall not exceed that amount necessary to continue to meet the reasonable-beneficial demands under such conditions, provided no harm to the water resources occur and:

- 1. All other conditions of the permit are met; and
- 2. The withdrawal is otherwise consistent with applicable declared Water Shortage Orders in effect pursuant to Chapter 40E-21, F.A.C.

Application Number: 151223-14 Page 2 of 8

Withdrawal facilities:

Groundwater - Proposed:

5 - 2" X 100' X 50 GPM Wells Cased To 80 Feet

- 7. The Permittee shall submit all data as required by the implementation schedule for each of the permit conditions to: SFWMD at www.sfwmd.gov/ePermitting, or Regulatory Support, MSC 9611, P.O. Box 24680, West Palm Beach, FL 33416-4680.
- 8. The Permittee must submit the appropriate application form incorporated by reference in Rule 40E-2.101, F.A.C., to the District prior to the permit expiration date in order to continue the use of water.
- 9. The Permittee shall secure a well construction permit prior to construction, repair, or abandonment of all wells, as described in Chapter 40E-3, F.A.C.
- 10. If at any time there is an indication that the well casing, valves, or controls leak or have become inoperative, repairs or replacement shall be made to restore the system to an operating condition. Failure to make such repairs shall be cause for filling and abandoning the well, in accordance with procedures outlined in Chapter 40E-3, F.A.C.
- 11. The Permittee shall submit to the District an updated "Summary of Groundwater (Well) Facilities" table ("Section IV Sources of Water", Water Use Permit Application Form 1379) within 90 days of completion of the proposed wells identifying the actual total and cased depths, pump manufacturer and model numbers, pump types, intake depths and type of meters.

Application Number: 151223-14 Page 3 of 8

STANDARD PERMIT CONDITIONS

All water uses authorized by this permit shall be implemented as conditioned by this
permit, including any documents incorporated by reference in a permit condition. The
District may revoke this permit, in whole or in part, or take enforcement action,
pursuant to Section 373.136 or 373.243, F.S., unless a permit modification has been
obtained to address the noncompliance.

The Permittee shall immediately notify the District in writing of any previously submitted material information that is later discovered to be inaccurate.

- 2. The Permittee is advised that this permit does not relieve any person from the requirement to obtain all necessary federal, state, local and special district authorizations.
- 3. The Permittee shall notify the District in writing within 30 days of any sale, transfer, or conveyance of ownership or any other loss of permitted legal control of the Project and/or related facilities from which the permitted consumptive use is made. Where Permittee's control of the land subject to the permit was demonstrated through a lease, the Permittee must either submit a new or modified lease showing that it continues to have legal control or documentation showing a transfer in control of the permitted system/project to the new landowner or new lessee. All transfers of ownership are subject to the requirements of Rule 40E-1.6107, F.A.C. Alternatively, the Permittee may surrender the consumptive use permit to the District, thereby relinquishing the right to conduct any activities under the permit.
- 4. Nothing in this permit should be construed to limit the authority of the District to declare a water shortage and issue orders pursuant to Chapter 373, F.S. In the event of a declared water shortage, the Permittee must adhere to the water shortage restrictions, as specified by the District. The Permittee is advised that during a water shortage, reports shall be submitted as required by District rule or order. The Permittee is advised that during a water shortage, pumpage, water levels, and water quality data shall be collected and submitted as required by District orders issued pursuant to Chapter 40E-21, F.A.C.
- 5. This permit does not convey to the Permittee any property rights or privileges other than those specified herein, nor relieve the permittee from complying with any applicable local government, state, or federal law, rule, or ordinance.
- 6. With advance notice to the Permittee, District staff with proper identification shall have permission to enter, inspect, observe, collect samples, and take measurements of permitted facilities to determine compliance with the permit conditions and permitted plans and specifications. The Permittee shall either accompany District staff onto the

Application Number: 151223-14 Page 4 of 8

property or make provision for access onto the property.

- 7. A. The Permittee may seek modification of any term of an unexpired permit. The Permittee is advised that Section 373.239, F.S., and Rule 40E-2.331, F.A.C., are applicable to permit modifications.
 - B. The Permittee shall notify the District in writing 30 days prior to any changes to the project that could potentially alter the reasonable demand reflected in the permitted allocation. Such changes include, but are not limited to, change in irrigated acreage, crop type, irrigation system, large users agreements, or water treatment method. Permittee will be required to apply for a modification of the permit for any changes in permitted allocation.
- 8. If any condition of the permit is violated, the permit shall be subject to review and modification, enforcement action, or revocation pursuant to Chapter 373, F.S.
- 9. The Permittee shall mitigate interference with existing legal uses that was caused in whole or in part by the Permittee's withdrawals, consistent with the approved mitigation plan. As necessary to offset the interference, mitigation will include pumpage reduction, replacement of the impacted individual's equipment, relocation of wells, change in withdrawal source, or other means.

Interference to an existing legal use is defined as an impact that occurs under hydrologic conditions equal to or less severe than a 1-in-10 year drought event that results in the:

A. Inability to withdraw water consistent with provisions of the permit, such as when remedial structural or operational actions not materially authorized by existing permits must be taken to address the interference; or

- B. Change in the quality of water pursuant to primary State Drinking Water Standards to the extent that the water can no longer be used for its authorized purpose, or such change is imminent.
- 10. The Permittee shall mitigate harm to the natural resources caused by the Permittee's withdrawals, as determined through reference to the conditions for permit issuance. When harm occurs, or is imminent, the District will require the Permittee to modify withdrawal rates or mitigate the harm. Harm, as determined through reference to the conditions for permit issuance includes:
 - A. Reduction in ground or surface water levels that results in harmful lateral movement

Application Number: 151223-14 Page 5 of 8

of the fresh water/salt water interface,

- B. Reduction in water levels that harm the hydroperiod of wetlands,
- C. Significant reduction in water levels or hydroperiod in a naturally occurring water body such as a lake or pond,
- D. Harmful movement of contaminants in violation of state water quality standards, or
- E. Harm to the natural system including damage to habitat for rare or endangered species.
- 11. The Permittee shall mitigate harm to existing off-site land uses caused by the Permittee's withdrawals, as determined through reference to the conditions for permit issuance. When harm occurs, or is imminent, the District will require the Permittee to modify withdrawal rates or mitigate the harm. Harm as determined through reference to the conditions for permit issuance, includes:
 - A. Significant reduction in water levels on the property to the extent that the designed function of the water body and related surface water management improvements are damaged, not including aesthetic values. The designed function of a water body is identified in the original permit or other governmental authorization issued for the construction of the water body. In cases where a permit was not required, the designed function shall be determined based on the purpose for the original construction of the water body (e.g. fill for construction, mining, drainage canal, etc.)
 - B. Damage to agriculture, including damage resulting from reduction in soil moisture resulting from consumptive use; or,
 - C. Land collapse or subsidence caused by reduction in water levels associated with consumptive use.

Application Number: 151223-14 Page 6 of 8

c: Div of Recreation and Park - District 5
Martin County Board of County Commissioners
Martin County Health Dept
South Martin Regional Utility

Application Number: 151223-14 Page 7 of 8

ADDRESSES

Div of Recreation and Park - District 5 - Ernest Cowan, FDEP Attn: - Ernest Cowan, FDEP 13798 SE Federal Highway Hobe Sound FL 33455 ernest.cowan@dep.state.fl.us

Martin County Board of County Commissioners Attn: PO Box 9000 Stuart FL 34995-9000 nvanvonno@martin.fl.us

Martin County Health Dept Attn: Well Construction 3441 SE Willoughby Blvd Stuart FL 34994 todd_reinhold@doh.state.fl.us

South Martin Regional Utility Attn: Mario Loaiza, P.E. PO Box 395 Hobe Sound FL 33475 mloaiza@tji.martin.fl.us

Application Number: 151223-14 Page 8 of 8

NOTICE OF RIGHTS

As required by Sections 120.569 and 120.60(3), Fla. Stat., the following is notice of the opportunities which may be available for administrative hearing or judicial review when the substantial interests of a party are determined by an agency. Please note that this Notice of Rights is not intended to provide legal advice. Not all of the legal proceedings detailed below may be an applicable or appropriate remedy. You may wish to consult an attorney regarding your legal rights.

RIGHT TO REQUEST ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

A person whose substantial interests are or may be affected by the South Florida Water Management District's (SFWMD or District) action has the right to request an administrative hearing on that action pursuant to Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Fla. Stat. Persons seeking a hearing on a SFWMD decision which affects or may affect their substantial interests shall file a petition for hearing with the Office of the District Clerk of the SFWMD, in accordance with the filing instructions set forth herein, within 21 days of receipt of written notice of the decision, unless one of the following shorter time periods apply: (1) within 14 days of the notice of consolidated intent to grant or deny concurrently reviewed applications for environmental resource permits and use of sovereign submerged lands pursuant to Section 373.427, Fla. Stat.; or (2) within 14 days of service of an Administrative Order pursuant to Section 373.119(1), Fla. Stat. "Receipt of written notice of agency decision" means receipt of written notice through mail, electronic mail, or posting that the SFWMD has or intends to take final agency action, or publication of notice that the SFWMD has or intends to take final agency action. Any person who receives written notice of a SFWMD decision and fails to file a written request for hearing within the timeframe described above waives the right to request a hearing on that decision.

If the District takes final agency action which materially differs from the noticed intended agency decision, persons who may be substantially affected shall, unless otherwise provided by law, have an additional Rule 28-106.111, Fla. Admin. Code, point of entry.

Any person to whom an emergency order is directed pursuant to Section 373.119(2), Fla. Stat., shall comply therewith immediately, but on petition to the board shall be afforded a hearing as soon as possible.

A person may file a request for an extension of time for filing a petition. The SFWMD may, for good cause, grant the request. Requests for extension of time must be filed with the SFWMD prior to the deadline for filing a petition for hearing. Such requests for extension shall contain a certificate that the moving party has consulted with all other parties concerning the extension and that the SFWMD and any other parties agree to or oppose the extension. A timely request for an extension of time shall toll the running of the time period for filing a petition until the request is acted upon.

FILING INSTRUCTIONS

A petition for administrative hearing must be filed with the Office of the District Clerk of the SFWMD. Filings with the Office of the District Clerk may be made by mail, hand-delivery, or e-mail. Filings by facsimile will not be accepted. A petition for administrative hearing or other document is deemed filed upon receipt during normal business hours by the Office of the District Clerk at SFWMD headquarters in West Palm Beach, Florida. The District's normal business hours are 8:00 a.m. – 5:00 p.m., excluding weekends and District holidays. Any document received by the Office of the District Clerk after 5:00 p.m. shall be deemed filed as of 8:00 a.m. on the next regular business day. Additional filing instructions are as follows:

• Filings by mail must be addressed to the Office of the District Clerk, P.O. Box 24680, West Palm Beach, Florida 33416.

Rev. 06/21/15

- Filings by hand-delivery must be delivered to the Office of the District Clerk. Delivery of a petition to the SFWMD's security desk does not constitute filing. It will be necessary to request that the SFWMD's security officer contact the Office of the District Clerk. An employee of the SFWMD's Clerk's office will receive and file the petition.
- Filings by e-mail must be transmitted to the Office of the District Clerk at clerk@sfwmd.gov. The filing date for a document transmitted by electronic mail shall be the date the Office of the District Clerk receives the complete document. A party who files a document by e-mail shall (1) represent that the original physically signed document will be retained by that party for the duration of the proceeding and of any subsequent appeal or subsequent proceeding in that cause and that the party shall produce it upon the request of other parties; and (2) be responsible for any delay, disruption, or interruption of the electronic signals and accepts the full risk that the document may not be properly filed.

INITIATION OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

Pursuant to Sections 120.54(5)(b)4. and 120.569(2)(c), Fla. Stat., and Rules 28-106.201 and 28-106.301, Fla. Admin. Code, initiation of an administrative hearing shall be made by written petition to the SFWMD in legible form and on 8 1/2 by 11 inch white paper. All petitions shall contain:

- 1. Identification of the action being contested, including the permit number, application number, SFWMD file number or any other SFWMD identification number, if known.
- 2. The name, address, any email address, any facsimile number, and telephone number of the petitioner and petitioner's representative, if any.
- 3. An explanation of how the petitioner's substantial interests will be affected by the agency determination.
- 4. A statement of when and how the petitioner received notice of the SFWMD's decision.
- 5. A statement of all disputed issues of material fact. If there are none, the petition must so indicate.
- 6. A concise statement of the ultimate facts alleged, including the specific facts the petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the SFWMD's proposed action.
- 7. A statement of the specific rules or statutes the petitioner contends require reversal or modification of the SFWMD's proposed action.
- 8. If disputed issues of material fact exist, the statement must also include an explanation of how the alleged facts relate to the specific rules or statutes.
- 9. A statement of the relief sought by the petitioner, stating precisely the action the petitioner wishes the SFWMD to take with respect to the SFWMD's proposed action.


MEDIATION

The procedures for pursuing mediation are set forth in Section 120.573, Fla. Stat., and Rules 28-106.111 and 28-106.401–.405, Fla. Admin. Code. The SFWMD is not proposing mediation for this agency action under Section 120.573, Fla. Stat., at this time.

RIGHT TO SEEK JUDICIAL REVIEW

Pursuant to Section 120.68, Fla. Stat., and in accordance with Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.110, a party who is adversely affected by final SFWMD action may seek judicial review of the SFWMD's final decision by filing a notice of appeal with the Office of the District Clerk of the SFWMD in accordance with the filing instructions set forth herein within 30 days of rendition of the order to be reviewed, and by filing a copy of the notice with the clerk of the appropriate district court of appeal.

Rev. 06/21/15 2



Application	Number:	151223-14
-------------	---------	-----------

Well ID Name	272585 Well-1	272586 Well-2	272587 Well-3	272588 Well-4	272589 Well-5	272887 5 - New Location	
Map Designator	1	2	3	4	5	Proposed Well 5	
FLUWID Number						·	
Well Field							
Existing/Proposed	Р	Р	Р	Р	Α	Р	
Well Diameter(Inches)	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Total Depth(feet)	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Cased Depth(feet)	80	80	80	80	80	80	
Facility Elev. (ft. NGVD) Screened Interval From							
То							
Pumped Or Flowing	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	
Pump Type Pump Int. Elev. Feet (NGVD)	Centrifugal	Centrifugal	Centrifugal	Centrifugal	Centrifugal	Centrifugal	
Feet (BLS)							
Pump Capacity(GPM)	50	50	50	50	50	50	
Year Drilled							
Planar Location	APPLICANT	APPLICANT	APPLICANT	APPLICANT	APPLICANT		
Source Feet East	888037	886595	884494	883399	884499	884328	
Feet North	998020	998045	997966	997994	999267	1000513	
Accounting Method	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Use Status	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Proposed But Never Constructed	Primary	
Water Use Type	Industrial	Industrial	Industrial	Industrial	Industrial	Industrial	
Aquifor	Curficial Aquif-	Curticial Aquifer	Curficial Aquif-	Curticial Aquifer	Curticial Aquifor	Curficial Aquifor	
Aquifer	Surficial Aquifer System	Surficial Aquifer System					

Exhibit No: 2

SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT



WATER USE LETTER MODIFICATION

APPLICATION NUMBER: 220217-7 PERMIT NUMBER: 43-00090-W
DATE ISSUED: March 7, 2022 EXPIRATION DATE: July 9, 2029

PERMITTEE: K L WATERSIDE L L C

701 S. OLIVE AVENUE, SUITE 104 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401

PROJECT NAME: K L WATERSIDE

PROJECT LOCATION: MARTIN COUNTY, \$13/T39S/R40E

S18/T39S/R41E

District staff has reviewed the information submitted in support of the referenced application for permit modification(s) and determined that the proposed activities are in compliance with the previous permit and the appropriate provisions of Rule 40E-2.331 (4)(a), Florida Administrative Code. The permit modification(s) include the following

- 1) A reduction in total Project acreage from 400 to 325 acres;
- 2) A reduction of irrigated acres from 400 to 298.93;
- 3) A decrease in monthly and annual allocations from 76.02 million gallons (MG) to 67.04 MG, and 455 MG to 436.80 MG, respectively. Additionally, the updated allocation includes a decrease in freeze protection allocation from 17.9 MG to 17.63 MG; and
- 4) The crop planting schedule was updated to reflect updated planting from 2 plantings per year to 3 plantings per year.

Within six months of permit issuance, the Permittee shall plug and abandon the following wells in accordance with Chapter 40E-3, F.A.C.: Well M-740 (MF-10) (well id: 229754)

Limiting Conditions and Limiting Condition Requirements were updated to remove reporting and calibration requirements for Well M-740 (MF-10).

Please understand that your permit remains subject to the 21 Limiting Conditions and all other terms of the permit authorization as previously issued.

Alberto J. Naya, P.G. Section Leader

Ulat J. May

Water Use Bureau

3301 Gun Club Road, West Palm Beach, Florida 33406. (561) 686-8800 www.sfwmd.gov

Application Number: 220217-7 Page 1 of 6

LIMITING CONDITIONS

- 1. This permit shall expire on July 9, 2029.
- 2. Application for a permit modification may be made at any time.
- 3. Water use classification:

Agricultural Irrigation

4. Source classification is:

Groundwater from:
Upper Floridan Aquifer

Surface Water from: SFWMD Canal (C-44)

5. Total annual allocation is 436.80 million gallons (MG). (1.20 MGD)

Total maximum monthly allocation is 67.04 million gallons (MG).

These allocations represent the amount of water required to meet the water demands as a result of rainfall deficit during a drought with the probability of recurring one year in ten. The Permittee shall not exceed these allocations in hydrologic conditions less than a 1 in 10 year drought event. If the rainfall deficit is more severe than that expected to recur once every ten years, the withdrawals shall not exceed that amount necessary to continue to meet the reasonable-beneficial demands under such conditions, provided no harm to the water resources occur and:

- (A) All other conditions of the permit are met; and
- (B) The withdrawal is otherwise consistent with applicable declared Water Shortage Orders in effect pursuant to Chapter 40E-21, F.A.C.
- 6. Pursuant to Rule 40E-1.6105, F.A.C., Notification of Transfer of Interest in Real Property, within 30 days of any transfer of interest or control of the real property at which any permitted facility, system, consumptive use, or activity is located, the permittee must notify the District, in writing, of the transfer giving the name and address of the new owner or person in control and providing a copy of the instrument effectuating the transfer, as set forth in Rule 40E-1.6107, F.A.C.

Application Number: 220217-7 Page 2 of 6

Pursuant to Rule 40E-1.6107 (4), until transfer is approved by the District, the permittee shall be liable for compliance with the permit. The permittee transferring the permit shall remain liable for all actions that are required as well as all violations of the permit which occurred prior to the transfer of the permit.

Failure to comply with this or any other condition of this permit constitutes a violation and pursuant to Rule 40E-1.609, Suspension, Revocation and Modification of Permits, the District may suspend or revoke the permit.

This Permit is issued to:

K L WATERSIDE LLC 701 S. OLIVE AVENUE, SUITE 104 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401

Withdrawal facilities:

Groundwater - Existing:

- 1 4" X 890' X 200 GPM Well Cased To 500 Feet
- 1 4" X 200 GPM Well With Unknown Total And Cased Depth

Surface Water - Existing:

- 1 18" x 40 HP X 10000 GPM Axial Flow Pump
- 1 10" x 125 HP X 1840 GPM Centrifugal Pump
- 8. Permittee shall mitigate interference with existing legal uses that was caused in whole or in part by the permittee's withdrawals, consistent with the approved mitigation plan. As necessary to offset the interference, mitigation will include pumpage reduction, replacement of the impacted individual's equipment, relocation of wells, change in withdrawal source, or other means.

Interference to an existing legal use is defined as an impact that occurs under hydrologic conditions equal to or less severe than a 1 in 10 year drought event that results in the:

(A) Inability to withdraw water consistent with provisions of the permit, such as when remedial structural or operational actions not materially authorized by existing permits must be taken to address the interference; or

Application Number: 220217-7 Page 3 of 6

- (B) Change in the quality of water pursuant to primary State Drinking Water Standards to the extent that the water can no longer be used for its authorized purpose, or such change is imminent.
- 9. Permittee shall mitigate harm to existing off-site land uses caused by the permittee's withdrawals, as determined through reference to the conditions for permit issuance. When harm occurs, or is imminent, the District will require the permittee to modify withdrawal rates or mitigate the harm. Harm caused by withdrawals, as determined through reference to the conditions for permit issuance, includes:
 - (A) Significant reduction in water levels on the property to the extent that the designed function of the water body and related surface water management improvements are damaged, not including aesthetic values. The designed function of a water body is identified in the original permit or other governmental authorization issued for the construction of the water body. In cases where a permit was not required, the designed function shall be determined based on the purpose for the original construction of the water body (e.g. fill for construction, mining, drainage canal, etc.)
 - (B) Damage to agriculture, including damage resulting from reduction in soil moisture resulting from consumptive use; or
 - (C) Land collapse or subsidence caused by reduction in water levels associated with consumptive use.
- 10. Permittee shall mitigate harm to the natural resources caused by the permittee's withdrawals, as determined through reference to the conditions for permit issuance. When harm occurs, or is imminent, the District will require the permittee to modify withdrawal rates or mitigate the harm. Harm, as determined through reference to the conditions for permit issuance includes:
 - (A) Reduction in ground or surface water levels that results in harmful lateral movement of the fresh water/salt water interface,
 - (B) Reduction in water levels that harm the hydroperiod of wetlands,
 - (C) Significant reduction in water levels or hydroperiod in a naturally occurring water body such as a lake or pond,
 - (D) Harmful movement of contaminants in violation of state water quality standards, or

Application Number: 220217-7 Page 4 of 6

- (E) Harm to the natural system including damage to habitat for rare or endangered species.
- 11. If any condition of the permit is violated, the permit shall be subject to review and possible modification, enforcement action, or revocation.
- 12. Authorized representatives of the District, with advance notice to the permittee, shall be permitted to enter, inspect, and observe the permitted system to determine compliance with permit conditions.
- 13. The Permittee is advised that this permit does not relieve any person from the requirement to obtain all necessary federal, state, local and special district authorizations.
- 14. The permit does not convey any property right to the Permittee, nor any rights and privileges other than those specified in the Permit and Chapter 40E-2, Florida Administrative Code.
- 15. Permittee shall submit all data as required by the implementation schedule for each of the limiting conditions to: SFWMD at www.sfwmd.gov/ePermitting, or Regulatory Support, 3301 Gun Club Road, West Palm Beach, FL 33406.
- 16. In the event of a declared water shortage, water withdrawal reductions will be ordered by the District in accordance with the Water Shortage Plan, Chapter 40E-21, F.A.C. The Permittee is advised that during a water shortage, pumpage reports shall be submitted as required by Chapter 40E-21, F.A.C.
- 17. Prior to the use of any proposed water withdrawal facility authorized under this permit, unless otherwise specified, the Permittee shall equip each facility with a District-approved operating water use accounting system and submit a report of calibration to the District, pursuant to Section 4.1, Basis of Review for Water Use Permit Applications.
 - In addition, the Permittee shall submit a report of recalibration for the water use accounting system for each water withdrawal facility (existing and proposed) authorized under this permit every five years from each previous calibration, continuing at five-year increments.
- 18. Monthly withdrawals for each withdrawal facility shall be submitted to the District

Application Number: 220217-7 Page 5 of 6

- quarterly. The water accounting method and means of calibration shall be stated on each report.
- 19. Permittee shall submit to the District a well survey that shall include the following: well cased depth, well total depth, and chloride ion concentration of the water in wells not having this information that are listed in the Well Description Table (Table A). This survey shall be submitted within six months of permit issuance.

.

- 20. If at any time there is an indication that the well casing, valves, or controls leak or have become inoperative, repairs or replacement shall be made to restore the system to an operating condition. Failure to make such repairs shall be cause for filling and abandoning the well, in accordance with procedures outlined in Chapter 40E-3, Florida Administrative Code.
- 21. Every ten years from the date of permit issuance the permittee shall submit a water use compliance report for review and approval by District Staff, which addresses the following:
 - (A) The results of an on-site irrigation efficiency evaluation that estimates the efficient use of water on the project site, based on the method of irrigation that was permitted. Based on the evaluation, the permittee shall identify and implement specific actions to achieve the efficient use of water for the duration of the permit. In the event that based on the onsite irrigation efficiency evaluation an additional water allocation may be necessary, the permittee shall apply for a modification of the permit if the permittee intends to utilize an additional allocation, or modify its operation to comply with the existing conditions of the permit.
 - (B) A comparison of the permitted allocation and the allocation that would apply to the project based on current District allocation rules. In the event the permit allocation is greater than the allocation provided for under District rule, the permittee shall apply for a letter modification to reduce the allocation consistent with District rules. In the event that the permit allocation is less than allowable under District rule, the permittee shall apply for a modification of the permit to increase the allocation if the permittee intends to utilize an additional allocation, or modify its operation to comply with the existing conditions of the permit.

NOTICE OF RIGHTS

As required by Chapter 120, Florida Statutes, the following provides notice of the opportunities which may be available for administrative hearing pursuant to Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes, or judicial review pursuant to Section 120.68, Florida Statutes, when the substantial interests of a party are determined by an agency. Please note that this Notice of Rights is not intended to provide legal advice. Some of the legal proceedings detailed below may not be applicable or appropriate for your situation. You may wish to consult an attorney regarding your legal rights.

RIGHT TO REQUEST ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

A person whose substantial interests are or may be affected by the South Florida Water Management District's (District) action has the right to request an administrative hearing on that action pursuant to Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes. Persons seeking a hearing on a District decision which affects or may affect their substantial interests shall file a petition for hearing in accordance with the filing instructions set forth herein within 21 days of receipt of written notice of the decision unless one of the following shorter time periods apply: (1) within 14 days of the notice of consolidated intent to grant or deny concurrently reviewed applications for environmental resource permits and use of sovereign submerged lands pursuant to Section 373.427, Florida Statutes; or (2) within 14 days of service of an Administrative Order pursuant to Section 373.119(1), Florida Statutes. "Receipt of written notice of agency decision" means receipt of written notice through mail, electronic mail, posting, or publication that the District has taken or intends to take final agency action. Any person who receives written notice of a District decision and fails to file a written request for hearing within the timeframe described above waives the right to request a hearing on that decision.

If the District takes final agency action that materially differs from the noticed intended agency decision, persons who may be substantially affected shall, unless otherwise provided by law, have an additional point of entry pursuant to Rule 28-106.111, Florida Administrative Code.

Any person to whom an emergency order is directed pursuant to Section 373.119(2), Florida Statutes, shall comply therewith immediately, but on petition to the board shall be afforded a hearing as soon as possible.

A person may file a request for an extension of time for filing a petition. The District may grant the request for good cause. Requests for extension of time must be filed with the District prior to the deadline for filing a petition for hearing. Such requests for extension shall contain a certificate that the moving party has consulted with all other parties concerning the extension and whether the District and any other parties agree to or oppose the extension. A timely request for an extension of time shall toll the running of the time period for filing a petition until the request is acted upon.

FILING INSTRUCTIONS

A petition for administrative hearing must be filed with the Office of the District Clerk. Filings with the Office of the District Clerk may be made by mail, hand-delivery, or e-mail. Filings by facsimile will not be accepted. A petition for administrative hearing or other document is deemed filed upon receipt during normal business hours by the Office of the District Clerk at the District's headquarters in West Palm Beach, Florida. The District's normal business hours are 8:00 a.m. – 5:00 p.m., excluding weekends and District holidays. Any document received by the Office of the District Clerk after 5:00 p.m. shall be deemed filed as of 8:00 a.m. on the next regular business day. Additional filing instructions are as follows:

• Filings by mail must be addressed to the Office of the District Clerk, 3301 Gun Club Road, West Palm Beach, Florida 33406.

Rev. 1/16/20 1

- Filings by hand-delivery must be delivered to the Office of the District Clerk. Delivery of a petition to
 the District's security desk does not constitute filing. It will be necessary to request that the District's
 security officer contact the Office of the District Clerk. An employee of the District's Clerk's office will
 receive and process the petition.
- Filings by e-mail must be transmitted to the Office of the District Clerk at <u>clerk@sfwmd.gov</u>. The filing
 date for a document transmitted by electronic mail shall be the date the Office of the District Clerk
 receives the complete document.

INITIATION OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

Pursuant to Sections 120.54(5)(b)4. and 120.569(2)(c), Florida Statutes, and Rules 28-106.201 and 28-106.301, Florida Administrative Code, initiation of an administrative hearing shall be made by written petition to the District in legible form and on 8 1/2 by 11 inch white paper. All petitions shall contain:

- 1. Identification of the action being contested, including the permit number, application number, District file number or any other District identification number, if known.
- 2. The name, address, any email address, any facsimile number, and telephone number of the petitioner, petitioner's attorney or qualified representative, if any.
- 3. An explanation of how the petitioner's substantial interests will be affected by the agency determination.
- 4. A statement of when and how the petitioner received notice of the District's decision.
- 5. A statement of all disputed issues of material fact. If there are none, the petition must so indicate.
- 6. A concise statement of the ultimate facts alleged, including the specific facts the petitioner contends warrant reversal or modification of the District's proposed action.
- 7. A statement of the specific rules or statutes the petitioner contends require reversal or modification of the District's proposed action.
- 8. If disputed issues of material fact exist, the statement must also include an explanation of how the alleged facts relate to the specific rules or statutes.
- 9. A statement of the relief sought by the petitioner, stating precisely the action the petitioner wishes the District to take with respect to the District's proposed action.

MEDIATION

The procedures for pursuing mediation are set forth in Section 120.573, Florida Statutes, and Rules 28-106.111 and 28-106.401–.405, Florida Administrative Code. The District is not proposing mediation for this agency action under Section 120.573, Florida Statutes, at this time.

RIGHT TO SEEK JUDICIAL REVIEW

Pursuant to Section 120.68, Florida Statutes, and in accordance with Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.110, a party who is adversely affected by final District action may seek judicial review of the District's final decision by filing a notice of appeal with the Office of the District Clerk in accordance with the filing instructions set forth herein within 30 days of rendition of the order to be reviewed, and by filing a copy of the notice with the appropriate district court of appeals via the Florida Courts E-Filing Portal.

Rev. 1/16/20 2




TABLE - A <u>Description Of Wells.</u>

Application No	ımber: 2202	217-7
----------------	-------------	-------

• •			
Well ID Name	229595 4	229754 5	230171 6
Map Designator	Well 4	M-740 (MF-10)	M-741
FLUWID Number		, ,	
Well Field			
Existing/Proposed	Е	E	E
Well Diameter(Inches)	4	4	4
Total Depth(feet)		993	890
Cased Depth(feet)		474	500
Facility Elev. (ft. NGVD)			
Screened Interval From			
То			
Pumped Or Flowing	F	F	F
Pump Type	None	None	None
Pump Int. Elev. Feet (NGVD)			
Feet (BLS)			
Pump Capacity(GPM)	200	0	200
Year Drilled			
Planar Location Source			
Feet East	885325	888070	883583
Feet North	997170	996650	998480
Accounting Method	None	None	None
Use Status	Standby	To be Plugged and Abandoned	Standby
Water Use Type	Freeze Protection	Freeze Protection	Freeze Protection
Aquifer	Upper Floridan Aquifer	Upper Floridan Aquifer	Upper Floridan Aquifer

TABLE - B

<u>Description Of Surface Water Pumps</u>

Application Number:	220217-7	
Pump ID	113001	113002
Name Man Designator	P1-main	P2-drip
Map Designator Facility Group	Pump 1	Pump 2
Existing/Proposed Pump Type	E Axial Flow	E Centrifugal
Diameter(Inches)	18	10
Pump Capacity(GPM)	10,000	1,840
Pump Horse Power	40	125
Two Way Pump?	N	N
Elevation (ft. NGVD)	12	12
Planar Location		
Source	DIGITIZED	DIGITIZED
Feet East Feet North	883178 1001116	883127 1001089
Accounting Method	Time Clock	Flow Meter
Use Status	Primary	Primary

Water Use Type

SFWMD Canal (C-44)

Irrigation

SFWMD Canal

Irrigation

(C-44)

Calculations Of Irrigation Requirements

APPLICATION NUMBER: 220217-7

RAINFALL STATION: Stuart CROP: Small Vegetables

IRRIGATION SYSTEM: Low-Volume/Canal Seepage LossSOIL TYPE: 0.8PARCEL ACREAGE: 298.93PARCEL NAME: IRR. MULTIPLIER: 2

	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
MEAN RAINFALL	2.40	2.72	3.57	2.76	4.95	6.58	6.53	5.71	8.05	6.69	2.83	2.56	55.35
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION	1.87	2.95	4.16	3.42	3.54	6.19	6.94	4.73	3.54	4.84	3.86	2.33	48.37
AVG. EFFECTIVE RAIN	1.09	1.30	1.76	1.35	2.26	3.35	3.47	2.73	3.43	3.15	1.41	1.19	26.49
DROUGHT RAINFALL	0.89	1.05	1.43	1.09	1.83	2.71	2.81	2.21	2.78	2.55	1.15	0.96	21.46
AVERAGE IRRIGATION	0.78	1.65	2.40	2.07	1.28	2.84	3.47	2.00	0.11	1.69	2.45	1.14	21.88
DROUGHT IRRIGATION	0.98	1.90	2.73	2.33	1.71	3.48	4.13	2.52	0.76	2.29	2.71	1.37	26.91

ANNUAL SUPPLEMENTAL CROP REQUIREMENT: 26.91 INCHES

ANNUAL SUPPLEMENTAL CROP WATER USE:

26.91 IN X 298.93 AC X 2 X 0.02715 MG/AC-IN = 436.80 MG

MAXIMUM MONTHLY SUPPLEMENTAL CROP REQUIREMENT: 4.13 INCHES

MAXIMUM MONTHLY SUPPLEMENTAL CROP WATER USE:

4.13 IN X 298.93 AC X 2 X 0.02715 MG/AC-IN = $67.04 \,\text{MG}$

TOTAL ANNUAL DEMAND: 436.80 MG
TOTAL MAXIMUM MONTHLY DEMAND: 67.04 MG

Requirement by Permit Condition Report

App No: 220217-7
Permit No: 43-00090-W
Project Name: K L WATERSIDE

Permit Condition No:	17	Permit Condi	ition Code: WUS	TD021-8	
Facility Name		Requirement Name	Col Freq	Sub Freq	Due Date
PUMP - P1-main		Calibration report for Pump 1-main	Every Five Years	Every Five Years	30-NOV-2026
PUMP - P2-drip		Calibration report for Pump 2-drip	Every Five Years	Every Five Years	30-NOV-2026
WELL - 4		Calibration report for Well 4	Every Five Years	Every Five Years	31-AUG-2022
WELL - 6		Calibration report for Well 6	Every Five Years	Every Five Years	31-AUG-2022
Permit Condition No:	18	Permit Condi	ition Code: WUS	TD022-1	
Facility Name		Requirement Name	Col Freq	Sub Freq	Due Date
PUMP - P1-main		Monthly withdrawal for PUMP P1-main	Monthly	Quarterly	31-JUL-2022
PUMP - P2-drip		Monthly withdrawal for PUMP P2-drip	Monthly	Quarterly	31-JUL-2022
WELL - 4		Monthly withdrawal for Well 4	Monthly	Quarterly	31-JUL-2022
WELL - 6		Monthly withdrawal for Well 6	Monthly	Quarterly	31-JUL-2022
Permit Condition No:	21	Permit Condi	ition Code: WUIF	RR006-2	
Facility Name		Requirement Name	Col Freq	Sub Freq	Due Date
PERMIT		Ten-Year Compliance Report for PERMIT	Every Ten Years	Every Ten Years	30-JUN-2029

STAFF REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

K L WATERSIDE

Application No: 220217-7 **Permit No:** 43-00090-W

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

- X Alberto Naya, P.G.
- X Courtney Priddy

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

- X Permittee K L Waterside L L C
- X Agent Osborn Engineering
- X Engr Consultant Osborn Engineering

GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

- X Div of Recreation and Park District 5
- X Martin County Board of County Commission
- X Martin County Health Dept
- X South Martin Regional Utility Town of Jupiter Island

Exhibit No:6

Morris Crady

Subject:

FW: Waterside Residential CPA 21-12

From: Leo Repetti < lrepetti@martin.fl.us>
Sent: Thursday, February 29, 2024 10:25 AM

To: Haggerty, Jordan < ">">">", James Christ ", James Christ@martin.fl.us">", James Christ@martin.fl.us

Cc: Jensen, Tom < Tom.Jensen@kimley-horn.com Subject: RE: Waterside Residential CPA 21-12

Jordan,

The position of Martin County Utilities for any new projects not currently available to serve is as follows:

The proposed project is outside the Martin County Utilities service boundary and therefore not included in our wastewater master plan build out. Martin County Utilities will need to evaluate infrastructure and plant capacity in order to be able to treat wastewater for this project. In addition to customary capital facility charges, the developer will be required to offset impacts through the payment of their proportionate share of costs of needed improvements. The scope and proportionate share of the developer contribution will not be known until the Martin County Utilities Wastewater Master Plan, which has not been initiated, has been completed.

The proposed project is outside the Martin County Utilities service boundary and therefore not included in our water master plan build out. Martin County Utilities will need to evaluate raw water, infrastructure, and plant capacity in order to provide finished water for this project. The project may be required to offset impacts to raw water supply by providing well sites. In addition to customary capital facility charges, the developer will be required to offset impacts through the payment their proportionate share of costs of needed improvements. The scope and proportionate share of the developer contribution will not be known until the Martin County Utilities Water Master Plan, which is currently underway, has been completed.

Within your resubmittal, you need to address the specific items from the staff report, acknowledging the commitment to resolving specific items and contributing your proportionate share of costs, funding the extension of utilizes to and within the project.

Thank you,

Leo Repetti, PE

Technical Services Administrator Martin County Utilities & Solid Waste

3473 SE Willoughby Blvd Suite 102 Stuart, Florida 34994 (772) 320-3065



MARTIN COUNTY

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS UTILITIES & SOLID WASTE DEPARTMENT PO Box 9000 Stuart, FL 34995-9000

DOUG SMITH STACEY HETHERINGTON Commissioner, District 2 HAROLD E. JENKINS II Commissioner, District 3 SARAH HEARD EDWARD V. CIAMPI

Commissioner, District 1 Commissioner, District 4

Commissioner, District 5

DON G. DONALDSON, P.E. County Administrator SARAH W. WOODS

WEBSITE

County Attorney **TELEPHONE** (772) 288-5400

www.martin.fl.us

This document may be reproduced upon request in an alternative format by contacting the County ADA Coordinator (772) 320-3131, the County Administration Office (772) 288-5400, Florida Relay 711, or by completing our accessibility feedback form at www.martin.fl.us/accessibility-feedback.

March 6, 2023

Rafael A Botero Kimley-Horn and Associates Inc. 1615 S Congress Ave. Ste 201 Delray Beach FL 33445

Re:

Kolter Residential

PCN # 13-39-40-000-003-00000-1

Potable Water and Wastewater Service Capacity

Dear Mr Botero:

This will confirm that Martin County Utilities has adequate capacity to provide wastewater service to the above property. It is the developer's responsibility to construct required wastewater infrastructure to and internal to the project. The county will provide service subject to execution of a service agreement and payment of appropriate fees and charges.

As this project is outside our current service boundary and master plan build out, Martin County Utilities will need to evaluate raw water capacity in order to provide finished water for this project. In addition to customary capital facility charges, the developer may be required to offset impacts through providing well sites, wells, raw water transmission mains, and / or treatment components. The scope and proportionate share of developer contribution will not be known until the well field study, which is currently underway, has been completed.

To this end, Martin County Utilities has no objection to submitting required applications to Growth management for the overall project.

Sincerely,

Leo Repetti PE Project Engineer

LR/sk



TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

FOR

KANNER/96TH ST INVESTMENTS LLC RESIDENTIAL LAND USE PLAN ANALYSIS

Prepared for:

Kanner/96th St Investments LLC

Prepared by:

O'Rourke Engineering & Planning 3725 SE Ocean Blvd., Suite 201 Stuart, Florida 34996 (772) 781-7918

> April 3, 2023 Revised March 19, 2024

> > MR22121.0

Prepared by:

O'Rourke Engineering & Planning Certificate of Authorization: #26869 3725 SE Ocean Blvd., Suite 201

Stuart, Florida 34996

772-781-7918

Professional Engineer

Susan E. O'Rourke, P.E.

Date signed and sealed: 03/19/2024

License #: 42684



April 3, 2023

Mr. Jim Harvey Kolter Land Partners 14025 Riveredge Drive, #175 Tampa, FL 33637

Re: Kanner/96th St Investments LLC - Residential Land Use Plan Analysis

Dear Mr. Harvey

O'Rourke Engineering & Planning has completed the traffic analysis of the proposed land use plan amendment for a change of 396.81 acres from Agricultural land use to 396.81 acres of Low Density Residential land use with a maximum unit count of 1,050 units. The parcels affected by the land use plan amendment are located on SR-76 (Kanner Highway) in Martin County, Florida. The steps in the analysis and the ensuing results are presented herein.

It has been a pleasure working with you, if you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Respectfully submitted,

O'ROURKE ENGINEERING & PLANNING

Susan E. O'Rourke, P.E.

Registered Civil Engineer - Traffic

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	1
ROADWAY NETWORK	3
MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE USE / TRIP GENERATION	4
PROJECT ASSIGNMENT	8
STUDY AREA	8
LONG RANGE ANALYSIS – 2040/2045	8
FIVE YEAR ANALYSIS – 2029	13
CONCLUSION	13
TABLES	
TABLE 1: Project Trip Generation – Existing TABLE 2: Trip Generation – Proposed Future Land Use TABLE 3: Proposed FLU – Existing FLU TABLE 4a: Percent Impact 2045 AM TABLE 4b: Percent Impact 2045 PM TABLE 5: Link Analysis 2045 TABLE 6: Five Year Trip Generation TABLE 7a: Percent Impact 2029	5 6 7 10 11 12 14 15
FIGURES	
FIGURE 1: Project Location FIGURE 2: Percent Assignment	2 9

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: Site Area/Roadway Network Data/Non-Motorized and Transit Data APPENDIX B: Martin County 2022 Roadway Level of Service Inventory Report and Martin County 2040 Roadway Level of Service Inventory Report

INTRODUCTION

O'Rourke Engineering & Planning was retained to prepare a traffic analysis for the proposed land use plan amendment of 396.81 total acres. The following components were addressed:

- Summary of the project description; existing land use and proposed land use
- Summary of road network
- Assessment of the change in trip generation
- Summary of 2029 traffic volumes
- Assessment of net change in 2040/2045 impact

Each of these components is outlined herein.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION


The proposed land use plan amendment involves parcels of land located on SR-76 (Kanner Highway) in Martin County, Florida. The project location is shown in **Figure 1**.

The existing future land use designation of the 396.81-acres of land consists of Agricultural land use.

The future land use of the 396.81-acre parcel would be amended to Low Density Residential. Low Density Residential Zoning allows for 5 dwelling units per acre allowing a total of 1,984 dwelling units. However, there is an accompanying CGMP text amendment to Policy 4.1B.2 limiting development to 1,050 single-family residential dwelling units.

The residential portion of the development will have access to SW 96th Street and SR 76.

The analysis of the project impacts in the five-year period and the long-range scenario, 2045 are discussed herein.



2

ROADWAY NETWORK

The study area was reviewed to determine the existing number and type of lanes, and the traffic control along the roadway. Each roadway is described below.

Citrus Boulevard (CR-76A) is two-lane north/south minor arterial roadway and serving as the connection to Port St. Lucie to the north.

SW 96th Street (CR-76A) is a two-lane minor arterial with a general east/west alignment.

SR-76 (Kanner Highway) is a six- lane, divided major arterial from I-95 to US-1. From CR-711 to Locks Road it is a four-lane divided roadway. It has a generally east/west alignment from west of I-95 to I-95 and then travels in a generally north/south alignment. It is a two-lanes along the project frontage.

CR-711 is a two-lane minor arterial with a primarily north/south alignment.

SR-9 (I-95) is a six-lane freeway with a primarily north/south alignment. It is included in the cost feasible plan as an eight-lane divided roadway.

Cove Road is a two-lane major arterial with a primarily east/west alignment. It is included in the 2045 cost feasible plan as a four-lane divided roadway.

Appendix A includes the roadway network information to include the county CIP, the 2045 Cost Feasible and Needs Network, and the non-motorized transit facilities.

MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE USE/TRIP GENERATION

To determine the worst-case scenario from a traffic standpoint, the trip generation for allowable uses under each existing and future land use were calculated. The Existing Future Land Use is Agricultural. The agriculture land use allows 1 unit per 20 acres, or up to 19 single-family dwelling units. The potential trip generation for the existing future land use is shown in **Tables 1a**, **1b**, and **1c** for the daily, AM peak hour and PM peak hours, respectively.

The 19 single family dwelling units on the agricultural use would generate 219 daily trips, 16 AM peak hour trips with 4 in and 12 out, and 21 PM peak hour trips with 13 in and 8 out.

The Proposed Future Land Use will be Low Density Residential, capped at 1,050 single-family dwelling units. **Tables 2a, 2b,** and **2c** summarizes the trip generation for the proposed future land use for the daily, AM peak hour and PM peak hours, respectively. **Table 3** summarizes the net change in trips.

As shown, the existing future land use generates 219 daily trips; 16 AM Peak hour trips and 21 PM Peak hour trips. Under the proposed future land use, the site generates 8,778 daily trips; 633 AM Peak hour trips and 906 PM peak hour trips. The change in trips between the Proposed and Existing future land uses is an increase of 8,559 daily trips, 617 AM peak hour trips and 885 PM peak hour trips.

Table 1 - Existing FLU Trip Generation

	12	
į	7	d
	Ç	i
į		1
	:	÷
•	÷	7
	đ	٥
,	c	S
	Ç	3
Ì	_	ď

Ladie la: Dally	-	Second Control of Cont							
Land Use	ITE Code	Intensity	Units	Trip Generation Rate	Direction	Directional Split	9	Gross Trips	
				1	In	Out	In	Out Total	Total
Single Family Detached	210	19	DO	Ln(T) = 0.92 Ln(X) + 2.68	20%	20%	110	109	219
TOTALS							110	109	219

Source: ITE 11th Edition Trip Generation Rates

Table 1b: AM Peak Hour

	The state of the s								
16	12	4							TOTALS
16	12	4	74%	79%	Ln(T) = 0.91 Ln(X) + 0.12	DO	19	210	Single Family Detached
Total	Out	In	Out	In					
8	Gross Trips	9	Directional Split	Direction	Trip Generation Rate	Units	Intensity	ITE Code	Land Use
				- Charles of the Contract of t	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAME	Contract of the last of the la		Company of the Compan	

Source: ITE 11th Edition Trip Generation Rates

Table 1c: PM Peak Hour

5

os Jue	TE Code	Intensity	Imite	Trin Congration Data	Direction	Directional Split	9	Gross Trips	[0]
AC PRINC	2000	THE CHOICE		THE SCHOLAGOU MAIS	III	Out	In	Out	Total
Single Family Detached	210	19	na	Ln(T) = 0.94 Ln(X) + 0.27	93%	37%	13	8	21
TOTALS							13	8	21

Source: ITE 11th Edition Trip Generation Rates

C6 - Table 1 - Trip Gen - Existing - 3.19.24

Table 2 - Proposed FLU Trip Generation

Table 2a: Daily

Single Family Detached 210 1,050 DU Ln(T) = 0.92 Ln(X) + 2.68 50% 50%	Land Use	ITE Code	Intensity	Units	Trip Generation Rate	Directional Split	Split	-	Gross Trips	
210 I,050 DU $Ln(T) = 0.92 Ln(X) + 2.68$ 50% 50%						Im	Out	In I	Out	Total
	Single Family Detached	210	1,050	ΩŒ	Ln(T) = 0.92 Ln(X) + 2.68		20%	4,389	4,389 4,389	8,778
	TOTALS							4,389	4,389 8,778	8,778

Source: ITE 11th Edition Trip Generation Rates

Table 2b: AM Peak Hour

Land Use	ITE Code	Intensity	Units	Trip Generation Rate	Directio	Directional Split	9	Gross Trips	10
					In	Out	In	Out	Total
Single Family Detached	210	1,050	DO	Ln(T) = 0.91 Ln(X) + 0.12	79%	74%	165	468	633
TOTALS							165	468	633

Source: ITE 11th Edition Trip Generation Rates

Table 2c: PM Peak Hour

Land Use	ITE Code	Intensity	Units	Trip Generation Rate	Directio	Directional Split	9	Gross Trips	
					In	Out	In	Out	Total
Single Family Detached	210	1,050	DO	Ln(T) = 0.94 Ln(X) + 0.27	%89	37%	571	335	906
TOTALS							571	335	906
Source: ITE 11th Edition Trip Generation Rates	Rates								

Table 3 Trip Generation Proposed FLU - Existing FLU

Table 3a- Daily

Description	Daily	Net New	Trips
Description	In	Out	Total
Proposed FLU	4,389	4,389	8,778
Existing FLU	110	109	219
Net Change	4,279	4,280	8,559

Table 3b- AM Peak Hour

Description	AM	Net New T	ſrips
20001.p.101	In	Out	Total
Proposed FLU	165	468	633
Existing FLU	4	12	16
Net Change	161	456	617

Table 3c - PM Peak Hour

Description	PM	Net New 1	Trips
	In	Out	Total
Proposed FLU	571	335	906
Existing FLU	13	8	21
Net Change	558	327	885

PROJECT ASSIGNMENT

The project traffic was distributed and assigned based on the assignment that was previously approved for the site. The resultant project percent assignment is shown in **Figure 2**.


STUDY AREA

The study area was defined as the area upon which the project traffic (the net increase in traffic) represented 2% or more on the roadway link. **Tables 4a and 4b** summarize the project % impact on the 2045 long range cost feasible roadway network for the AM and PM peak hour, respectively.

LONG RANGE ANALYSIS - 2045

To determine the impact of the change in traffic for the 2045 long term analysis, the projected 2040 daily volume from the Martin County 2040 Roadway Level of Service Inventory Report were converted to peak hour directional volumes using the K and D factors obtained from the FDOT for long range forecasts. The project traffic was then added to the peak hour directional volumes and compared to the cost feasible service capacities to determine the impacts of the land use plan amendment. As shown, all roads will operate at an acceptable level of service with the 2045 long range cost feasible network. **Tables 5a and 5b** summarize the 2040/2045 link analysis for the AM and PM peak hour, respectively.

Appendix B includes the Martin County 2040 Roadway Level of Service Inventory Report and 2045 network.



9

Segment	From	То	Dire	ection	2045 Lanes	Is Project Traffic 2% or More of Capacity?	LOS D Directional Peak Hour	Directional Peak Project Volume	Project Percent Assignment	Directional Percent Project of Capacity
SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	SR-710	CR-708	NB	IN	2L	no	740	8	5%	1.08%
	SR-710	CR-708	SB	OUT	2L	yes	740	23	5%	3.11%
	CR-708	Project Access	NB	IN	2L	yes	1,200	40	25%	3.33%
	CR-708	Project Access	SB	OUT	2L	yes	1,200	114	25%	9.50%
	Project Access	CR-711/CR-76A	NB	OUT	2L	yes	1,200	100	22%	8.33%
	Project Access	CR-711/CR-76A	SB	IN	2L	yes	1,200	35	22%	2.92%
	CR-711/CR-76A	Locks Rd	NB	OUT	4LD	yes	2,000	182	40%	9.10%
	CR-711/CR-76A	Locks Rd	SB	IN	4LD	yes	2,000	64	40%	3.20%
	Locks Rd	Jack James	NB	OUT	4LD	yes	2,000	182	40%	9.10%
	Locks Rd	Jack James	SB	IN	4LD	yes	2,000	64	40%	3.20%
	Jack James	Cove Rd	NB	OUT	6LD	yes	3,020	173	38%	5.73%
	Jack James	Cove Rd	SB	IN	6LD	yes	3,020	61	38%	2.02%
	Jack James	I-95 SB	NB	OUT	6LD	yes	3,020	173	38%	5.73%
	Jack James	I-95 SB	SB	IN	6LD	yes	3,020	61	38%	2.02%
	I-95 SB	I-95 NB	NB	OUT	6LD	yes	3,020	164	36%	5.43%
	I-95 SB	I-95 NB	SB	IN	6LD	no	3,020	58	36%	1.92%
	I-95 NB	Lost River Rd	NB	OUT	6LD	yes	3,020	119	26%	3.94%
	I-95 NB	Lost River Rd	SB	IN	6LD	no	3,020	42	26%	1.39%
	Lost River Rd	Cove Rd	NB	OUT	6LD	yes	3,020	91	20%	3.01%
	Lost River Rd	Cove Rd	SB	IN	6LD	no	3,020	32	20%	1.06%
	Cove Rd	Salerno Rd	NB	OUT	6LD	yes	3,020	64	14%	2.12%
	Cove Rd	Salerno Rd	SB	IN	6LD	no	3,020	23	14%	0.76%
	Salerno Rd	Indian St	NB	OUT	6LD		3,020	55	12%	1.82%
	Salerno Rd	Indian St	SB	IN	6LD	no	3,020	19		
	Indian St	SR-714	NB	OUT	6LD	no			12%	0.63%
	Indian St	SR-714 SR-714	SB			no	3,020	46	10%	1.52%
				IN	6LD	no	3,020	16	10%	0.53%
	SR-714 SR-714	SR-5 SR-5	NB	OUT	6LD	no	3,020	46	10%	1.52%
CD 76 A (Citation Dl. 4)			SB	IN	6LD	no	3,020	16	10%	0.53%
CR-76A (Citrus Blvd)	CR-726	SR-714	NB	OUT	2L	yes	1,200	78	17%	6.50%
OD 561 (061 63)	CR-726	SR-714	SB	IN	2L	yes	1,200	27	17%	2.25%
CR-76A (96th St)	CR-726	Project Access	EB	IN	2L	yes	1,200	35	22%	2.92%
	CR-726	Project Access	WB	OUT	2L	yes	1,200	100	22%	8.33%
	Project Access	Pennsylvania Ave	EB	OUT/IN	2L	yes	1,200	91	20%	7.58%
	Project Access	Pennsylvania Ave	WB	IN/OUT	2L	yes	1,200	91	20%	7.58%
	Pennsylvania Ave	SR-76	EB	OUT/IN	2L	yes	800	91	20%	11.38%
	Pennsylvania Ave	SR-76	WB	IN/OUT	2L	yes	800	91	20%	11.38%
CR-726 (Citrus Blvd)	Greenridge Ln	CR-76A	. NB	IN	2L	no	740	8	5%	1.08%
	Greenridge Ln	CR-76A	SB	OUT	2L	yes	740	23	5%	3.11%
SR-9 (I-95)	Bridge Rd	SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	NB	IN	8LF	no	7,320	10	6%	0.14%
	SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	High Meadow Ave	NB	OUT	8LF	no	7,320	46	10%	0.63%
CR-713	I-95	CR-714	NB	OUT	4LD	no	3,240	27	6%	0.83%
	I-95	CR-714	SB	IN	4LD	no	3,240	10	6%	0.31%
CR-714 (Martin Hwy)	CR-713	Mapp Rd	EB	IN	4LD	no	2,000	2	1%	0.10%
	CR-713	Mapp Rd	WB	OUT	4LD	no	2,000	5	1%	0.25%
	Florida's Turnpike	CR-713	EB	IN	4LD	no	2,000	8	5%	0.40%
	Florida's Turnpike	CR-713	WB	OUT	4LD	no	2,000	23	5%	1.15%
Cove Rd	SR-76	Willoughby Blvd	EB	OUT	4LD	no	1,800	27	6%	1.50%
	SR-76	Willoughby Blvd	WB	IN	4LD	no	1,800	10	6%	0.56%
CR-708 (Bridge Rd)	SR-76	CR-711	EB	OUT	2L	yes	740	91	20%	12.30%
	SR-76	CR-711	WB	IN	2L	yes	740	32	20%	4.32%
	CR-711	I-95	EB	OUT	2L	yes	740	55	12%	7.43%
	CR-711	I-95	WB	IN	2L	yes	740	19	12%	2.57%
	I-95	Powerline Ave	EB	OUT	2L	no	1,200	9	2%	0.75%
CR-711 (Pratt Whitney)	Palm Beach County	CR-708	NB	IN	2L	no	740	13	8%	1.76%
(Time Timeley)	Palm Beach County	CR-708	SB	OUT	2L		740	36	8%	4.86%
	CR-708	South Fork High School	NB	IN	2L 2L	yes	800		1%	0.25%
		Journ Fork Flight School	IND	IIN	L ZL	no	800	2	1 %	0.25%
		South Fork Wish Calast	c n	OTIT	21		900	,	10/	0.430/
	CR-708 South Fork High School	South Fork High School SR-76	SB NB	OUT	2L 2L	no no	800 800	5 2	1% 1%	0.63% 0.25%

In: 161 Out: 456

Segment	From	То	Dire	ection	2040 Lanes	Is Project Traffic 2% or More of Capacity?	LOS D Directional Peak Hour	Directional Peak Project Volume	Project Percent Assignment	Directional Percent Project of Capacity
SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	SR-710	CR-708	NB	IN	2L	yes	740	28	5%	3.78%
	SR-710	CR-708	SB	OUT	2L	yes	740	16	5%	2.16%
	CR-708	Project Access	NB	IN	2L	yes	1,200	140	25%	11.67%
	CR-708	Project Access	SB	OUT	2L	yes	1,200	82	25%	6.83%
	Project Access	CR-711/CR-76A	NB	OUT	2L	yes	1,200	72	22%	6.00%
	Project Access	CR-711/CR-76A	SB	IN	2L	yes	1,200	123	22%	10.25%
	CR-711/CR-76A	Locks Rd	NB	OUT	4LD	yes	2,000	131	40%	6.55%
	CR-711/CR-76A	Locks Rd	SB	IN	4LD	yes	2,000	223	40%	11.15%
	Locks Rd	Jack James	NB	OUT	4LD	yes	2,000	131	40%	6.55%
	Locks Rd	Jack James	SB	IN	4LD	yes	2,000	223	40%	11.15%
	Jack James	Cove Rd	NB	OUT	6LD	yes	3,020	124	38%	4.11%
	Jack James	Cove Rd	SB	IN	6LD	yes	3,020	212	38%	7.02%
	Jack James	I-95 SB	NB	OUT	6LD	yes	3,020	124	38%	4.11%
	Jack James	I-95 SB	SB	IN	6LD			212		
	I-95 SB	I-95 NB	NB	OUT	6LD	yes	3,020		38%	7.02%
	I-95 SB	I-95 NB				yes	3,020	118	36%	3.91%
	I-95 NB	Lost River Rd	SB	IN	6LD	yes	3,020	201	36%	6.66%
	I-95 NB	Lost River Rd	NB	OUT	6LD	yes	3,020	85	26%	2.81%
	Lost River Rd	Cove Rd	SB	IN	6LD	yes	3,020	145	26%	4.80%
			NB	OUT	6LD	yes	3,020	65	20%	2.15%
	Lost River Rd	Cove Rd	SB	IN	6LD	yes	3,020	112	20%	3.71%
	Cove Rd	Salemo Rd	NB	OUT	6LD	no	3,020	46	14%	1.52%
	Cove Rd Salerno Rd	Salemo Rd	SB	IN OUT	6LD	yes	3,020	78	14%	2.58%
	Salerno Rd	Indian St Indian St	NB SB	IN	6LD 6LD	no	3,020	39 67	12% 12%	1.29%
	Indian St	SR-714	NB	OUT	6LD	yes no	3,020	33	10%	2.22% 1.09%
	Indian St	SR-714	SB	IN	6LD	no	3,020	56	10%	1.85%
	SR-714	SR-5	NB	OUT	6LD	по	3,020	33	10%	1.09%
	SR-714	SR-5	SB	IN	6LD	по	3,020	56	10%	1.85%
CR-76A (Citrus Blvd)	CR-726	SR-714	NB	OUT	2L	yes	1,200	56	17%	4.67%
	CR-726	SR-714	SB	IN	2L	yes	1,200	95	17%	7.92%
CR-76A (96th St)	CR-726	Project Access	EB	IN	2L	yes	1,200	123	22%	10.25%
	CR-726	Project Access	WB	OUT	2L	yes	1,200	72	22%	6.00%
	Project Access	Pennsylvania Ave	EB	OUT/IN	2L	yes	1,200	65	20%	5.42%
	Project Access Pennsylvania Ave	Pennsylvania Ave SR-76	WB EB	IN/OUT OUT/IN	2L 2L	yes	1,200	65 65	20%	5.42%
	Pennsylvania Ave	SR-76	WB	IN/OUT	2L	yes yes	800	65	20%	8.13% 8.13%
CR-726 (Citrus Blvd)	Greenridge Ln	CR-76A	NB	IN	2L	yes	740	28	5%	3.78%
Ole 720 (Cidab Diva)	Greenridge Ln	CR-76A	SB	OUT	2L	yes	740	16	5%	2.16%
SR-9 (I-95)	Bridge Rd	SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	NB	IN	8LF	no	7,320	33	6%	0.45%
	Bridge Rd	SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	SB	OUT	8LF	no	7,320	20	6%	0.27%
	SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	High Meadow Ave	NB	OUT	8LF	no	7,320	33	10%	0.45%
	SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	High Meadow Ave	SB	IN	8LF	no	7,320	56	10%	0.77%
CR-713	I-95	CR-714	NB	OUT	4LD	no	3,240	20	6%	0.62%
CD 51404 (II)	I-95	CR-714	SB	IN	4LD	no	3,240	33	6%	1.02%
CR-714 (Martin Hwy)	CR-713 CR-713	Mapp Rd	EB WB	IN OUT	4LD	no	2,000	6	1%	0.30%
	Florida's Turnpike	Mapp Rd CR-713	EB	IN	4LD 4LD	no no	2,000	3 28	1% 5%	0.15% 1.40%
	Florida's Turnpike	CR-713	WB	OUT	4LD	no	2,000	16	5%	0.80%
Cove Rd	SR-76	Willoughby Blvd	EB	OUT	4LD	no	1,800	20	6%	1.11%
	SR-76	Willoughby Blvd	WB	IN	4LD	no	1,800	33	6%	1.83%
CR-708 (Bridge Rd)	SR-76	CR-711	EB	OUT	2L	yes	740	65	20%	8.78%
	SR-76	CR-711	WB	IN	2L	yes	740	112	20%	15.14%
	CR-711	I-95	EB	OUT	2L	yes	740	39	12%	5.27%
***************************************	CR-711	I-95	WB	IN	2L	yes	740	67	12%	9.05%
	I-95	Powerline Ave	EB	OUT	2L	no	1,200	7	2%	0.58%
CR-711 (Pratt Whitney)	Palm Beach County	CR-708	NB	IN	2L	yes	740	45	8%	6.08%
	Palm Beach County	CR-708	SB	OUT	2L	yes	740	26	8%	3.51%
	CR-708 CR-708	South Fork High School South Fork High School	NB SB	OUT	2L 2L	no no	800 800	6 3	1%	0.75%
	South Fork High School	SR-76	NB	IN	2L	no	800	6	1%	0.38%
** - * - * - * - * - * - * - * - * - *	South Fork High School		SB	OUT	2L	no	800	3	1%	0.38%

In: 558 Out: 327

_
\subseteq
2
\approx
١.
- 1
7
-4
~
2
0
2
C
Н
œ

7 CO40 Model K Factor D Factor Peack Hour Peak Directional Peak Project Percent Percent Project Opinectional Peak Project Percent Percent Project Percent Poinectional Peak Hour Peak Project Volume Assignment Assignment Of Capacity Directional Peak Hour Peak Peak Hour Peak Project Volume Assignment A					1000年 海海		1s Project										
Str 710 Ctr	Segment	From	ō	Directi	ion			2040 Model AADT	K Factor	D Factor	2040 Volume Peak Hour Peak Direction	LOS D Directional Peak Hour	Directional Peak Project Volume	Project Percent Assignment	Directional Percent Project of Capacity	Total Traffic (Peak Direction)	Does Project Meet Concurrency?
CR-708 Project Access S8 OUT 21 yes 3105 O.05 List 1200 1141 2.5% 9.50% 240 24	SR-76 (Kanner Hwv)	SR-710	CR-708	SB	TUO	7.1	yes	4221	0.09	0.45	171	740	23	2%	3.11%	194	Yes
Project Access		CR-708	Project Access	SB	TUO	77	yes	3105	60.0	0.45	126	1200	114	25%	9.50%	240	Yes
CR-711/CR-76A Lock Rd NB OUT 4LD yes 14021 0.05 654 2000 182 40% 9.10% 876 1335 Lock Rd Jock Rd Jock Rd Jock Rd 10.05 6.05 0.55 2788 2.00 173 38% 5.73% 2756 1765 Jock Rd Jock Rd NB OUT 6LD yes 53.296 0.09 0.55 1783 320 173 38% 5.73% 1766 Jock Rd HeS SB NB OUT 6LD yes 53.90 0.09 0.55 1293 3020 173 38% 5.73% 1766 Jock Rd Jest Rd OUT 6LD yes 53.30 0.09 0.45 2221 3020 169 38% 5.73% 1766 1766 176 1861 9.85 53.30 0.09 0.45 2281 3020 113 3286 1786 1766 1766 1766		Project Access	CR-711/CR-76A	NB	TUO	21	yes	3105	60.0	0.55	154	1200	100	22%	8.33%	254	Yes
Locis Rd Jack James NB OUT 4LD yes 553296 0.055 1153 2000 182 40% 910%s 1335 Jack James Cove Rd NB OUT 6LD yes 56330 0.09 0.55 1548 3020 173 38% 5.73% 1756		CR-711/CR-76A	Locks Rd	NB	TUO	4LD	yes	14021	0.09	0.55	694	2000	182	40%	9.10%	876	Yes
Jack lames Cove Rd NB OUT 61D yes 31,169 0.55 2788 3020 173 38% 5.73% 2961 Jack lames 1-65 kB NB OUT 6LD yes 31,169 0.69 0.55 159 3020 119 36% 5.43% 1736 1-95 kB 1-95 kB NB OUT 6LD yes 56330 0.09 0.45 128 3020 119 26% 5.43% 1736 L95 kB L95 kB Lost River Rd NB OUT 6LD yes 56330 0.09 0.45 1746 367 3.44% 2.40% 1766 2.43% 176 3020 64 147% 1.20% 1.20		Locks Rd	Jack James	NB	TUO	4LD	yes	23296	60.0	0.55	1153	2000	182	40%	9.10%	1335	Yes
		Jack James	Cove Rd	NB	TUO	079	yes	56330	60.0	0.55	2788	3020	173	38%	5.73%	2961	Yes
House Bota Hou		Jack James	I-95 SB	NB	TUO	QT9	yes	31,169	60.0	0.55	1543	3020	173	38%	5.73%	1716	Yes
Fig. 18 Fig.		1-95 58	1-95 NB	NB	TUO	QT9	yes	39,390	0.09	0.45	1595	3020	164	36%	5.43%	1759	Yes
Lost River Rd Cove Rd NB OUT 61D yes 55122 0.09 0.45 2273 3020 91 20% 3.04% 2.364 2.84 Cove Rd Salemo Rd NB OUT 6LD no 34310 0.09 0.45 1.746 3020 55 1.27% 1.87% 1.87 1.87 Salemo Rd Indian St NB OUT 6LD no 35510 0.09 0.45 1.36 3020 55 1.2% 1.87% 1.87 1.88 1.87 1.87 1.87 1.87 1.87 1.87 1.87 1.87 1.87 1.87 1.87 1.87 1.88 1.88 1.88 0.09 0.45 1.38 3020 46		1-95 NB	Lost River Rd	NB	TUO	QT9	yes	56330	60.0	0.45	2281	3020	119	76%	3.94%	2400	Yes
Cove Rd Salerno Rd NB OUT 6LD yes 43110 0.09 0.45 1746 3020 64 14% 2.12% 1810 1810 Salerno Rd Indian St NB OUT 6LD no 34748 0.09 0.45 136 3020 46 10% 1.52% 1571 Mallan St SR-714 NB OUT 6LD no 34224 0.09 0.45 1386 3020 46 10% 1.52% 1432 GR-714 SR-714 NB OUT 6LD no 34224 0.09 0.45 1386 3020 46 10% 1.52% 1432 GR-714 SR-714 NB OUT 2L yes 5895 0.09 0.55 420 1700 178 6.50% 370 GR-715 SR-76 NB OUT 2L yes 5501 0.55 248 740 23 58 310 36<		Lost River Rd	Cove Rd	NB	TUO	GLD	yes	56132	60.0	0.45	2273	3020	91	70%	3.01%	2364	Yes
Salierro Rd Inclan St NB OUT 61D no 35510 0.09 0.45 1516 3020 55 12% 12% 1578 1571 1588 1571 1588 1571 1588 1571 1588		Cove Rd	Salerno Rd	NB	TUO	QT9	yes	43110	60.0	0.45	1746	3020	64	14%	2.12%	1810	Yes
Median St SR 714 NB OUT 6LD no 35510 0.045 1438 3020 46 10% 1.52% 1484 1484 1484 1486 100% 1.52% 1484 1484 1484 1486 10% 1.52% 1484 1482 1484 <		Salerno Rd	Indian St	NB	TUO	QT9	no	37438	60.0	0.45	1516	3020	55	12%	1.82%	1571	Yes
RF-714 SR-5 NB OUT 6LD no 3422.4 0.09 0.45 1386 3020 46 10% 1.25% 1432 1432 CR-726 SR-714 NB-1 OUT 2.1 yes 5895 0.09 0.55 222 1.200 17% 6.50% 370 970 CR-726 Propertyceness WB OUT 2.1 yes 5601 0.55 248 740 2.7 8.37% 570 97 Greenridge In CR-746A SB OUT 2.1 yes 5575 0.09 0.55 248 740 27 270 271 SR-74 CR-741 EB OUT 2.1 yes 557 0.09 0.55 48 740 27 274 271 SR-74 EB OUT 2.1 yes 3949 0.55 136 740 36 8% 4.86% 274 274 Palm Beach County<		Indian St	SR-714	NB	TUO	QT9	ou	35510	60.0	0.45	1438	3020	46	10%	1.52%	1484	Yes
CR726 SR714 NB OUT 21 yes SSSS 0.09 0.55 220 720 78 17% 6.50% 370 78 370 37		SR-714	SR-5	NB	TUO	OT9	ou	34224	60.0	0.45	1386	3020	46	10%	1.52%	1432	Yes
CR-726 Project Access WB OUT 21 yes 8,009 0.55 400 1200 100 22% 83.3% 500 77 Greenridge In CR-75A SB OUT 21 yes 501 0.55 248 740 23 5% 121% 271 1 SR-76 GR-711 ISB OUT 21 yes 975 0.09 0.55 196 740 55 12% 743% 2.50 1.30%	P-764 (Citrus Blvd)	CR-726	SR-714	NB	TUO	21.	yes	5895	60.0	0.55	292	1200	78	17%	6.50%	370	Yes
Greenridge In CR-76A SB OUT 21 yes 5001 0.09 0.55 248 740 23 556 23156 271 2		CR-726	Project Access	WB	OUT	21	yes	8088	60'0	0.55	400	1200	100	22%	8.33%	200	Yes
Sp.76 CR.711 EB OUT 2.1 yes 975 0.09 0.55 48 740 91 20% 13.90%	CR-726 (Citrus Blvd)	Greenridge Ln	CR-76A	SB	OUT	77	yes	5001	0.09	0.55	248	740	23	2%	3.11%	271	Yes
Cit-711 1-55 EB OUT 21 yes 3949 0.055 1955 740 55 1.2% 7.43% 250 250 Palm Beach County CR-708 SB OUT 21 yes 3802 0.059 0.55 188 740 36 8% 4.86% 2.24 240 In the contract of the contract of the county In the county I	CR-708 (Bridge Rd)	SR-76	CR-711	EB	TUO	21	yes	975	60.0	0.55	48	740	91	70%	12.30%	139	Yes
Palm Beach County CR-708 SB OUT 21 yes 380.2 0.0.9 0.55 1.88 740 36 8% 4.86% 2.24 In Production S. Project Traffic Forecasting In: 16.1 1		CR-711	1-95	83	TUO	21	yes	3949	60.0	0.55	195	740	55	12%	7.43%	250	Yes
In FPOT QLOS & Project Traffic Forecasting In: Out:	CR-711 (Pratt Whitney)	Palm Beach County	CR-708	SB	TUO	21	yes	3802	60.0	0.55	188	740	36	%8	4.86%	224	Yes
	lote: K & D Factors from FDOT QLOS	S & Project Traffic Forecasting	Ça							-				Ë	191		
														Out	456		

able 50: Percent Impact - PW Peak Hour - 2040/2045	W Peak nour - 2040/2	040											The second secon			
Segment	From	ō.	Direction	uo	2045 T	ic.	2040/2045 Model	K Factor	D Factor	2040/2045 Volume Peak Hour Peak	LOS D Directional Peak Hour	Directional Peak Project Percen Project Volume	Project Percent Assignment	Directional Percent Project of Capacity	Total Traffic (Peak Direction)	Does Project Meet Concurrency?
						Capacity?				Direction	Control of Control					
SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	SR-710	CR-708	NB	N	77	yes	4,221	60.0	0.450	171	740	28	2%	3.78%	199	Yes
	CR-708	Project Access	NB	N	77	yes	3,105	60.0	0.450	126	1,200	140	72%	11.67%	592	Yes
	Project Access	CR-711/CR-76A	SB	Z	77	yes	3,105	60.0	0.550	154	1,200	123	22%	10.25%	77.7	Yes
	CR-711/CR-76A	Locks Rd	SB	Z	4LD	yes	14,021	60.0	0.550	694	2,000	223	40%	11.15%	917	Yes
	Locks Rd	Jack James	SB	z	4LD	yes	23,296	60.0	0.550	1153	2,000	223	40%	11.15%	1376	Yes
	Jack James	Cove Rd	SB	z	QT9	yes	56,330	60.0	0.550	2788	3,020	212	38%	7.02%	3000	Yes
	Jack James	I-95 SB	SB	Z	GLD	yes	31,169	60.0	0.550	1543	3,020	212	38%	7.02%	1755	Yes
	I-95 SB	I-95 NB	SB	Z	QT9	yes	39,390	60.0	0.550	1950	3,020	201	36%	6.66%	2151	Yes
	1-95 NB	Lost River Rd	SB	Z	019	yes	56330	60.0	0.450	2281	3,020	145	76%	4.80%	2426	Yes
	Lost River Rd	Cove Rd	SB	Z	QT9	yes	56132	60.0	0.450	2273	3,020	112	20%	3.71%	2385	Yes
	Cove Rd	Salerno Rd	SB	z	QT9	kes	43,110	60.0	0.450	1746	3,020	78	14%	2.58%	1824	Yes
	Salerno Rd	Indian St	SB	z	079	yes	37,438	60.0	0.450	1516	3,020	29	12%	2.22%	1583	Yes
	Indian St	SR-714	SB	z	079	Ou	35,510	60.0	0.450	1438	3,020	56	10%	1.85%	1494	Yes
	SR-714	SR-5	SB	z	QT9	ou	34,224	60.0	0.450	1386	3,020	56	10%	1.85%	1442	Yes
CR-76A (Citrus Blvd)	CR-726	SR-714	SB	Z	77	yes	5,895	60.0	0.550	797	1,200	95	17%	7.92%	387	Yes
CR-76A (96th St)	CR-726	Project Access	EB	Z	77	yes	880'8	60.0	0.550	400	1,200	123	22%	10.25%	523	Yes
	Project Access	Pennsylvania Ave	EB	OUT/IN	77	sek	880'8	60.0	0.450	328	1,200	65	70%	5.42%	393	Yes
	Project Access	Pennsylvania Ave	WB	IN/OUT	77	yes	8,088	60.0	0.550	400	1,200	65	20%	5.42%	465	Yes
	Pennsylvania Ave	SR-76	E8	OUT/IN	77	yes	11,365	60.0	0.550	563	800	65	70%	8.13%	628	Yes
	Pennsvlvania Ave	SR-76	WB	IN/OUT	21	yes	11,365	60.0	0.550	563	800	65	70%	8.13%	628	Yes
CR-726 (Citrus Blvd)	Greenridge Ln	CR-76A	NB	z	21	yes	5,001	60.0	0.550	248	740	28	2%	3.78%	276	Yes
Bridge Road	SR-76	CR-711	WB	z	77	yes	975	60.0	0.450	39	740	112	20%	15.14%	151	Yes
	CR-711	1-95	WB	z	71	yes	3,949	0.09	0.450	160	740	29	12%	9.05%	227	Yes
CR-711 (Pratt Whitney)	Palm Beach County	CR-708	NB	2	77	yes	3,802	60.0	0.550	188	740	45	8%	6.08%	233	Yes

Out:

FIVE YEAR ANALYSIS - 2029

For KL Waterside, no more than 600 single family dwelling units are anticipated to occur prior to year-end of 2029. The trip generation for the 5-year analysis is shown in **Table 6a, 6b,** and **6c** for the daily, AM peak hour and PM peak hours, respectively. As shown, the project could generate 5,246 daily trips, 546 AM peak hour trips, and 535 PM peak hour trips in the first five years of development.

To determine the study area for the 5-year analysis, the 5-year project traffic was compared to the existing plus committed roadway network. The study area is defined as the area upon which the project traffic (the net increase in traffic) represented 2% or more on the roadway link. **Table 7a** and **7b** summarize the project % impact on the existing plus committed roadway network.

To develop total traffic estimates for 2029, existing 2022 traffic was grown to 2029 using historic growth rates. The project traffic was then added to achieve the 2029 total traffic volumes. These volumes were then compared to the capacity of the roadway network (existing plus committed network). The 2022 Martin County Roadway Level of Service Inventory Report was used as the source of the existing 2022 AADT, peak hour data and growth rate. **Table 8a and Table 8b** show the link analysis for the total traffic conditions with the proposed land use plan amendment in place in 2029. Appendix B provides the Martin County 2022 Roadway Level of Service Inventory Report.

As shown all links will operate at acceptable levels of service on the existing plus committed roadway network.

CONCLUSION

The proposed land use plan amendment will not require improvements beyond the programmed and planned roadway network.

The analysis demonstrates that the roadway has sufficient infrastructure funded in the next five years and the 2045 long range to support the project. Therefore, the project satisfies the requirements for a Land Use Plan Amendment.

Table 6 - 5-Year Trip Generation

~
=
a
7.1
Sa
0
3
a
[]

A abit va. Dani									
Land Use	ITE Code	TE Code Intensity	Units	Trip Generation Rate	Directio	Directional Split	9	Gross Trips	70
				•	In	Out	In	Out	Total
Single Family Detached	210	009) DO	Ln(T) = 0.92 Ln(X) + 2.68	20%	20%	2,623	2,623	5,246
TOTALS							2,623	2,623	5,246

Source: ITE 11th Edition Trip Generation Rates

Table 6b: AM Peak Hour

Land Use	ITE Code	Intensity	Units	Trip Generation Rate	Direction	Directional Split	ن	Gross Trips	
		•		4	In	Out	In	Out Total	Total
Single Family Detached	210	009	DO 009	T = 0.91(X) + 0.12	25%	75%	137	409	546
TOTALS							137	409	546

Source: ITE 11th Edition Trip Generation Rates

Table 6c: PM Peak Hour

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1.460001401	112240	This Consudian Date	Directional Split	nal Split	9	Gross Trips	
гана озе	11E Code	Intensity	CILIES	I III) Generation Nate	In	Out	In	Out	Total
Single Family Detached	210	009	600 DU	Ln(T) = 0.94 Ln(X) + 0.27	63%	37%	337	198	535
TOTALS							337	198	535

Source: ITE 11th Edition Trip Generation Rates

Table 7: 5-Year Percent Impact - AM Peak Hour

Segment	From	То	Lanes E+C	Is Project Traffic 2% or More of Capacity?	LOS D Directional Peak Hour (E+C)	Directional Peak Project Volume	Project Percent Assignment	Directional Percent Project of Capacity
Salerno Rd	SR - 76	Willoughby	2L	no	880	8	2%	0.91%
SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	SR-710	CR-708	2L	yes	730	20	5%	2.74%
	CR-708	Project Access	2L	yes	1,160	102	25%	8.79%
	Project Access	CR-711/CR-76A	2L	yes	1,160	90	22%	7.76%
	CR-711/CR-76A	Locks Rd	4LD	yes	2,000	164	40%	8.20%
	Locks Rd	Jack James	4LD	yes	2,000	164	40%	8.20%
	Jack James	Cove Rd	6LD	yes	3,020	155	38%	5.13%
North Maria Ma	Cove Rd	Salerno Rd	6LD	no	3,020	57	14%	1.89%
	Salerno Rd	Indian St	6LD	no	3,020	49	12%	1.62%
	Indian St	SR-714	6LD	no	3,020	41	10%	1.36%
CR-76A (Citrus Blvd)	CR-726	SR-714	2L	yes	1,160	70	17%	6.03%
Citrus Blvd	CR-714	Port St. Lucie Blvd	2L	yes	1,160	25	6%	2.16%
CR-76A (96th St)	CR-726	Pennsylvania Ave	2L	yes	1,160	90	22%	7.76%
	Pennsylvania Ave	SR-76	2L	yes	800	82	20%	10.25%
CR-726 (Citrus Blvd)	Greenridge Ln	CR-76A	2L	yes	730	20	5%	2.74%
	SR-710	Greenridge Ln	2L	no	730	12	3%	1.64%
Willoughby Blvd	Cove Rd	Salerno Rd	2L	no	880	8	2%	0.91%
I-95	Bridge Rd	SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	6LF	no	5,620	25	6%	0.44%
	SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	High Meadow Ave	6LF	no	5,620	41	10%	0.73%
CR-713 (High Meadow Ave)	I-95	CR-714	2L	yes	1,200	25	6%	2.08%
SR-714 (Martin Highway)	I-95	Citrus Blvd	2L	yes	1,160	25	6%	2.16%
	Citrus Blvd	Florida's Turnpike	4LD	no	2,000	12	3%	0.60%
CR-714 (Martin Hwy)	CR-713	Mapp Rd	4LD	no	2,000	4	1%	0.20%
	Florida's Turnpike	CR-713	4LD	no	2,000	20	5%	1.00%
Cove Rd	SR-76	Willoughby Blvd	2L	yes	880	25	6%	2.84%
	Willoughby Blvd	SR-5	2L	no	880	16	4%	1.82%
CR-708 (Bridge Rd)	SR-76	CR-711	2L	yes	730	82	20%	11.23%
	CR-711	I-95	2L	yes	730	49	12%	6.71%
	I-95	Powerline Rd	2L	no	1,160	8	2%	0.69%
CR-711 (Pratt Whitney)	South Fork High School	SR-76	2L	110	800	4	1%	0.50%

Source: Martin County 2022 Roadway Level of Service and Inventory Report

Out: 409 Years Grown: 7

C6 - Tables 7-8 - 5-Year (1)

Total Control of the							Section 1995			-	-			
				Is Project		2021 Volume		2028 Volume	0 SO1		1		T. 4-1-1	4
	, and a	4	Lanes	Traffic 2% or	2021	Peak Hour	Growth	Peak Hour	Directional	Deak Project	Project	Dercent Project	(Peak	Meet Meet
Segment		2	E+C	More of	AADT	Peak	Rate	Peak	Peak Hour	Volume	Assignment	of Capacity	Direction	Concurrency?
				Capacity?		Direction		Direction	(E+C)		9			
SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	SR-710	CR-708	77	sək	3,692	190	1.012	207	730	22	2%	3.01%	229	Yes
	CR-708	Project Access	21	yes	3,093	169	1.005	175	1160	111	25%	9.57%	286	Yes
	Project Access	CR-711/CR-76A	2r	yes	3,093	169	1.005	175	1160	86	22%	8.45%	273	Yes
	CR-711/CR-76A	Locks Rd	4LD	yes	13,064	810	1.009	862	2000	178	40%	8.90%	1040	Yes
	Locks Rd	Jack James	4LD	yes	18,816	006	1.005	932	2000	178	40%	8.90%	1110	Yes
	Jack James	Cove Rd	QT9	yes	47,218	2361	1.015	2620	3020	169	38%	5.60%	2789	Yes
	Cove Rd	Salerno Rd	9TD	yes	35,531	1581	1.018	1791	3020	62	14%	2.05%	1853	Yes
	Salerno Rd	Indian St	GLD	2	32,389	1454	1.028	1764	3020	53	12%	1.75%	1817	Yes
CR-76A (Citrus Blvd)	CR-726	SR-714	21	yes	4,629	219	1.047	302	1160	75	17%	6.47%	377	Yes
Citrus Blvd	CR-714	Port St. Lucie Blvd	21	yes	6,214	510	1.066	798	1160	27	9%	2.33%	825	Yes
CR-76A (96th St)	CR-726	Pennsylvania Ave	77	sək	4,323	203	1.034	257	1160	86	22%	8.45%	355	Yes
	Pennsylvania Ave	SR-76	77	yes	8,572	420	1.014	463	800	89	20%	11.13%	552	Yes
CR-726 (Citrus Blvd)	Greenridge Ln	CR-76A	717	yes	2,816	141	1.044	191	730	22	2%	3.01%	213	Yes
	SR-710	Greenridge Ln	77	2	3,039	152	1.035	193	730	13	3%	1.78%	206	Yes
CR-713 (High Meadow Ave)	1-95	CR-714	77	yes	13,858	968	1.016	1001	1200	27	%9	2.25%	1028	Yes
SR-714 (Martin Highway)	1-95	Citrus Blvd	77	yes	14,739	732	1.043	983	1160	27	%9	2.33%	1010	Yes
Cove Rd	SR-76	Willoughby Blvd	77	yes	13,725	883	1.007	927	880	27	%9	3.07%	954	Yes (1)
	Willoughby Blvd	SR-5	77	yes	15,299	694	1.005	719	880	18	4%	2.05%	737	Yes
CR-708 (Bridge Rd)	SR-76	CR-711	7F	yes	1,110	90	1.080	154	730	68	20%	12.19%	243	Yes
	CR-711	1-95	77	yes	4,769	300	1.080	514	730	53	12%	7.26%	567	Yes

Source: Martin County 2022 Roadway Level of Service and Inventory Report (1) Existing failure


409

Out: Years Grown:

APPENDIX A

Roadway Network Data/Non-Motorized and Transit Data

Proposed Future Land Use Map










Table 7-1: Highway/Roadway Projects Prioritization

Priority	AND THE	Currently	Funded		Not Applicable		Andres 2	Tier 1	-tipa Connection			Tier 2		***************************************	Tier 3			Tier 4	
		5	Œ		Api			,-				ļ			-			F	
Ranking	ПР	TIP	TIP	TIP	2	-	*	2		4	2	2	2	က	т	m	4	4	4
Total Score	Under Construction	Funded	Funded	Funded	Privately Funded	39	39	35	Þ	ī	36	36	36	33	33	33	32	30	29
Length (miles)	0.88	0.84	4.32	2.64	3.00	1,07	1.12	2.13			0.84	2.64	1.15	2.00	0.37	1,33	0.35	1.40	1.42
Future Lanes	4	,	4	,	4	4	4	7	ı	,	2	4	ව	4	4	9	4	4	9
Existing Lanes	2	,	2	,	0	2	2	2		,	0	2	7	23	7	4	2	2	4
Project Description	Highway Capacity	PD&E Study	PD&E Study	PD&E Study	New 4 Lane Road	Widen from 2L to 4L	Widen from 2L to 4L	Widen from 2L to 4L	Intersection Modification	Roadway Realignment	New 2 Lane Road	Widen from 2L to 4L	Widen from 4L to 6L	Widen from 2L to 4L	Widen from 2L to 4L	Widen from 4L to 6L	Widen from 2L to 4L	Widen from 2L to 4L	Widen from 4L to 6L
To	Martin Downs Boulevard	SR-5/US-1/Federal Highway	SR-5/US-1/Federal Highway	CR-714/Martin Highway	St. Lucie County Line	SR-5/US-1/Federal Highway	CR-A1A	Willoughby Boulevard		east SE126th Blvd. (Okeechobee County)	SR-5/US-1/Federal Highway	CR-714/Martin Highway	SE Osprey St	US-1/Federal Highway	NW Dixie Hwy	SW Palm City Rd	Narth County Line	NE Causeway Blvd	Kanner Hwy
From	CR-76A/Citrus Boulevard	SR-714/ Monterey Road	SR-76/Kanner Highway	-95	SR-714/Martin Highway	Willoughby Boulevard	SR-5/US-1/Federal Highway	SR-76/Kanner Highway	at SW Joan Jefferson Way	Approximately 1200 feet east of SR-710	onterey Road		SE Seabranch Blvd	Powerline Ave	NW Wright Blvd	SW Matheson Ave	Whisper Bay Terrace	North County Line	SW Mapp Rd
Facility	SR-714/Martin Highway	4196693 Willoughby Boulevard		CR-713/High Meadow Avenue 1-95	Village Parkway Extension	Cove Road	Cove Road	Cove Road¹	SR-5/US-12	CR-714/Martin Highway ³	Willoughby Boulevard	CR-713/High Meadow Avenue 1-95	Federal Highway/US 1	SE Bridge Rd	SE Green River Pkwy	SW Martin Downs Blvd	SW Murphy Rd	S Ocean Dr	Martin Highway
Ol deM	R-1	4196693	4417001 Cove Road	4416991	R-3	R-5	R-6	A	R-15 S	R-16	R-2 V	R-7 C	R-8 F	R-10 S	R-11 S	R-13 S	R-14 S	R-9 S	R-12 N


Prioritization Methodology


1. Project prioritized using a total 15 criteria relative to the goals and objectives of the 2045 LRTP.


2. Each project was assigned points on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 being the lowest and 4 indicating the highest. In all cases a higher score indicated better performance compared to a

3. Projects overlapping with hurricane evacuation route(s), those in vulnerable areas as it relates to extreme weather events, King tides and sea level rise (SLR), and affecting Community Redevelopment Areas (CRAs) were assigned extra points.

¹ Moved from Tier 2 to Tier 1 since the project, R-4 is contiguous with R-5. Further, construction projects on Cove Road and would be implemented in synchronization.
² SR-5/US-1 at SW Joan Jefferson Way (FM # 4383452) included in Martin MPO's TIP, FY 2020/21 - FY2024/25 is one of top priority projects (Tier 1).
³ CR-714/Martin Highway realignment project to enhance safety is one of top priority projects (Tier 1) for Martin MPO. Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District One completed SR-710 PD&E Study from US 441 to SW Martin Highway in Okeechobee and Martin Counties in 2010 and amended in Nov. 2018.







APPENDIX B

Martin County 2040
Roadway Level of Service Inventory Report
and
Martin County 2022
Roadway Level of Service Inventory Report

Road Name	From	O_	Туре	Generalized Service Capacity	2022 Average Annual Daily Traffic	2022 Peak Hour Directional Volume	2022 Generalized LOS	Avg. Annual Growth Rate
Baker Rd	SR-5	CR-723	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	5,256	241	O	%9:0
Berry Ave	Golden Bear Wy	CR-714	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	3,351	169	O	0.5%
Berry Ave	CR-714	Sunset Tr	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	1,868	94	O	0.5%
Britt Rd	Pine Lake Dr	SR-5	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	4,333	209	O	0.5%
Citrus Blvd.	CR-714 (Martin Hwy)	Port St. Lucie Blvd.	Transitional 2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow	1160	5,931	476	A/B	3.6%
Commerce Ave	Salerno Rd	Monroe St	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	6,272	464	TCEA	0.5%
Commerce Ave	Monroe St	Indian St	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	6,474	406	D	0.5%
Country Club Dr	Palm Beach County	Island Way	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	2,594	144	υ	0.5%
Country Club Dr	Island Way	Little Club Dr	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	3,338	163	O	0.5%
B-1	Little Club Dr	SR-5	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	2,397	136	O	0.5%
Cove Rd	SR-76	Willoughby Blvd	Class I: 2-Ln Undivided	880	14,117	903	Щ	0.5%
Cove Rd	Willoughby Blvd	SR-5	Class I: 2-Ln Undivided	880	16,254	748	O	2.2%
Cove Rd	SR-5	CR-A1A	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	11,234	482	TCEA	0.5%
Cove Rd	CR-A1A	End	2-Ln Undivided Non- State	675	5,463	242	TCEA	0.5%
CR-609 (Allapattah Rd)	SR-710	CR-714	Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided	730	2,147	109	A/B	4.6%
CR-609 (Allapattah Rd)	CR-714	St Lucie County	Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided	730	2,043	116	A/B	3.0%
CR-707 (Beach Rd)	Palm Beach County	CR-708	2-Ln Undivided Non- State	675	1,711	122	υ	0.5%
CR-707 (Dixie Hwy)	CR-723/CR-707	CR-707 (Indian River Dr)	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	5,854	242	TCEA	2.0%
CR-707 (Indian River Dr)	CR-707 (Dixie Hwy)	CR-707A (Jensen Beach Blvd.)	2-Ln Undivided Non- State	675	10,577	671	О	0.5%
CR-707 (Indian River Dr)	CR-707A	SR-732	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	6,760	393	TCEA	4.4%
CR-707 (Indian River Dr)	SR-732	St. Lucie County	2-Ln Undivided Non- State	675	8,224	553	O	7.2%
CR-707A (Jensen Beach Blvd)	CR-723	Skyline Dr	Class II: 4-Ln Divided	1630	20,058	888	۵	0.5%
Segments with shaded I OS require additional analysis.	al analysis.							1 of 8

Segments with shaded LOS require additional analysis. The peaks are: Cove Road (PW/EB)

1 of 8 Effective May 16, 2023

CR-707A (Jensen Beach Blvd) Skyline Dr CR-707A (Jensen Beach Blvd) Pineapple Wy CR-708 (Bridge Rd) SR-76 CR-708 (Bridge Rd) CR-711 CR-708 (Bridge Rd) I-95 CR-708 (Bridge Rd) Powerline Ave CR-708 (Bridge Rd) SR-5 CR-708 (Bridge Rd) CR-714 CR-708 (Bridge Rd) GR-541A	Pineapple Way CR-707 CR-711 I-95 Powerline Ave SR-5 CR-A1A Gomez Ave CR-707 CR-708	Class II: 4-Ln Divided 2-Ln Undivided Non-State Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided Transitional 2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow Class I: 2-Ln Undivided Non-State 2-Ln Undivided Non-State State	1630 675 730 730 1160 880	18,083	780	٥	0.5%
	CR-707 CR-711 I-95 Powerline Ave SR-5 CR-A1A Gomez Ave CR-707 CR-708	2-Ln Undivided Non-State Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided Transitional 2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow Class I: 2-Ln Undivided Non-State 2-Ln Undivided Non-State State State State	675 730 730 1160 880	8,761	CCC		
	CR-711 I-95 Powerline Ave SR-5 CR-A1A Gomez Ave CR-707	Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided Transitional 2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow Class I: 2-Ln Undivided Non- State 2-Ln Undivided Non- State State State	730 730 1160 880		362	TCEA	0.5%
	Powerline Ave SR-5 CR-A1A Gomez Ave CR-707	Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided Transitional 2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow Class I: 2-Ln Undivided 2-Ln Undivided Non- State 2-Ln Undivided Non- State State State	730	066	57	A/B	1.8%
	SR-5 CR-A1A Gomez Ave CR-707 CR-708	Transitional 2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow Class I: 2-Ln Undivided 2-Ln Undivided Non- State 2-Ln Undivided Non- State State State	1160	3,444	208	A/B	0.5%
	SR-5 CR-A1A Gomez Ave CR-707 CR-708	Class I: 2-Ln Undivided 2-Ln Undivided Non-State 2-Ln Undivided Non-State	880	8,524	341	A/B	0.5%
	CR-A1A Gomez Ave CR-707	2-Ln Undivided Non- State 2-Ln Undivided Non- State		8,932	339	TCEA	0.5%
	Gomez Ave CR-707 CR-708	2-Ln Undivided Non- State	675	8,340	514	TCEA	0.5%
	CR-707 CR-708		675	8,046	378	TCEA	%9:0
	CR-708	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	5,283	255	υ	2.0%
CR-711 (Pratt Whitney Rd) Palm Beach County		Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided	730	4,283	318	၁	2.9%
CR-711 (Pratt Whitney Rd) CR-708	South Fork High School	Class I: Transitional 2- Ln Undivided	800	4,922	260	ပ	2.9%
CR-711 (Pratt Whitney Rd) South Fork High School	ol SR-76	Class I: Transitional 2- Ln Undivided	800	6,092	291	၁	2.0%
CR-713 (High Meadow Ave)	CR-714	2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow	1200	13,990	963	D	1.4%
CR-713 (High Meadow Ave) CR-714	SR-714	Class I: 2-Ln Undivided	880	10,961	585	υ	%5.0
CR-713 (High Meadow Ave) SR-714	Murphy Rd	2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow	1200	11,737	713	ပ	0.5%
CR-714 (Martin Hwy) SR-710	Fox Brown Rd	Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided	730	3,884	181	A/B	5.2%
CR-714 (Martin Hwy) Fox Brown Rd	CR-609	Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided	730	4,301	183	A/B	%0.9
CR-714 (Martin Hwy) CR-609	1-95	Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided	730	6,598	301	U	2.6%
CR-714 (Martin Hwy) Florida's Turnpike	CR-713	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	22,061	1,456	U	1.3%
CR-714 (Martin Hwy) CR-713	Mapp Rd	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	23,197	1,351	U	%6:0
CR-714 (Veteran's Memorial Bridge) Mapp Rd	SR-76	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	24,463	1,518	O	1.2%
CR-723 (Savanna Rd) CR-707	NE 24th St	Class I: 2-Ln Undivided	880	8,575	389	ပ	0.5%

Road Name	From	То	Туре	Generalized Service Capacity	Average Annual Daily Traffic	2022 Peak Hour Directional Volume	2022 Generalized LOS	Avg. Annual Growth Rate
CR-723 (Savanna Rd)	NE 24th St	CR-707A (Jensen Beach Blvd.)	Class I: 2-Ln Undivided	880	8,982	430	υ	0.5%
CR-726 (Citrus Blvd)	SR-710	Greenridge Ln	Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided	730	2,724	124	A/B	0.5%
CR-726 (Citrus Blvd)	Greenridge Ln	CR-76A	Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided	730	2,748	136	A/B	1.9%
CR-76A (Citrus Blvd.)	CR-726	SR-714	Transitional 2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow	1160	4,588	223	A/B	2.2%
CR-76A (SW 96th St)	CR-726	Pennsylvania Ave	Transitional 2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow	1160	4,380	255	A/B	3.8%
CR-76A (SW 96th St)	Pennsylvania Ave	SR-76	Class I: Transitional 2- Ln Undivided	800	8,677	405	ပ	1.7%
CR-A1A (Dixie Hwy)	SR-5	CR-708	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	4,200	216	TCEA	%8.9
CR-A1A (Dixie Hwy)	CR-708	Osprey St	Class I: 2-Ln Undivided	880	7,495	487	TCEA	%5.0
CR-A1A (Dixie Hwy)	Osprey St	Heritage Blvd	2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow	1200	6,843	313	A/B	%8.0
CR-A1A (Dixie Hwy)	Heritage Blvd	Cove Rd	2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow	1200	7,401	341	A/B	%5.0
CR-A1A (Dixie Hwy)	Cove Rd	Salerno Rd	Class II: 2-Ln Divided	790	11,666	562	TCEA	0.5%
CR-A1A (Dixie Hwy)	Salerno Rd	St. Lucie Blvd	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	16,701	785	TCEA	0.5%
CR-A1A (Dixie Hwy)	St Lucie Blvd	Jefferson St	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	13,545	869	D	0.5%
CR-A1A (Dixie Hwy)	Jefferson St	Indian St	Class II: 4-Ln Divided	1630	16,209	722	TCEA	0.5%
CR-A1A (Dixie Hwy)	Indian St	SR-714	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	14,337	738	υ	0.5%
CR-A1A (Dixie Hwy)	SR-714	SE Fifth St	2-Ln Undivided Non- State	675	6,545	314	၁	0.5%
Farm Rd	Dr Martin Luther King Jr Dr	Palm Wy	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	3,128	127	ပ	4.9%
Fox Brown Rd	SR-710	CR-714	Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided	730	433	23	A/B	%8.9
Goldenrod Rd	Britt Rd	SR-732	Class II: 4-Ln Divided	1630	5,161	252	A/B	1.6%
Goldenrod Rd	SR-732	SR-5	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	009'9	318	U	0.5%
Goldenrod Rd	SR-5	Westmoreland Blvd	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	4,449	299	U	%9.0
Gomez Ave	CR-708	Crossrip St	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	3,402	159	O	0.5%
Segments with shaded LOS require additional analysis.	iltional analysis.						田	3 of 8 Effective Mav 16, 2023

Road Name	From	То	Туре	Generalized Service Capacity	2022 Average Annual Daily Traffic	2022 Peak Hour Directional Volume	2022 Generalized LOS	Avg. Annual Growth Rate
Gomez Ave	Crossrip St	Osprey St	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	1,248	56	O	3.7%
Green River Parkway	Dixie Hwy	Baker Rd	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	7,474	384	D	2.7%
Green River Parkway	Baker Rd	SR-732	Class I: 2-Ln Undivided	880	8,946	616	O	2.2%
Green River Parkway	SR-732	St. Lucie County	2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow	1200	9,512	390	A/B	3.2%
Horseshoe Point Rd	CR-A1A	Kubin Ave	2-Ln Undivided Non- State	675	5,670	257	O	0.5%
Indian St	SR-76	Willoughby Blvd	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	30,577	1,699	O	1.6%
Indian St	Willoughby Blvd	SR-5	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	29,608	1,393	O	1.1%
Indian St	SR-5	Commerce Ave	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	22,586	1,049	O	0.5%
Indian St	Commerce Ave	CR-A1A	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	23,893	1,051	O	0.5%
B-4	CR-A1A	St Lucie Blvd	2-Ln Undivided Non- State	675	6,293	317	TCEA	0.5%
Indian River Dr	Palmer St	CR-707	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	7,568	462	۵	1.1%
Island Way	Palm Beach County	Jupiter Road	Transitional 2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow	1160	4,995	297	A/B	3.7%
Island Way	Jupiter Road	Country Club Dr	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	4,808	234	O	0.5%
Jack James Rd	SR-76	Blue Water Wy	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	4,093	268	O	8.0%
Lares St	CR-708	CR-A1A	2-Ln Undivided Non- State	675	2,649	147	TCEA	0.5%
Little Club Wy	Country Club Dr	Wooden Bridge Wy	2-Ln Undivided Non- State	675	2,139	111	O	0.5%
Locks Rd	Canal St	SR-76	2-Ln Undivided Non- State	675	3,588	204	υ	0.5%
MacArthur Blvd	Sailfish Point	SR-A1A	2-Ln Undivided Non- State	675	5,917	384	D	2.4%
Mapp Rd	South End	CR-714	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	5,342	263	O	0.5%
Mapp Rd	CR-714	SR-714	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	11,431	545	TCEA	0.5%
Mapp Rd	SR-714	Matheson Ave	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	4,712	262	O	0.5%
Mapp Rd	Matheson Ave	North End	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	7,452	340	O	0.5%
Cair 1902 OO I becker	منصافيات المصائنات							4 of 8

4 of 8 Effective May 16, 2023

Segments with shaded LOS require additional analysis. The peaks are: Cove Road (PM/EB)

Road Name	From	To	Туре	Generalized Service Capacity	Average Annual Daily Traffic	Peak Hour Directional Volume	2022 Generalized LOS	Avg. Annual Growth Rate
Market PI	SR-5	Commerce Ave	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	4,716	278	၁	1.6%
Matheson Ave	SR-714	Mapp Rd	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	908'9	313	၁	2.6%
Monroe St	SR-5	Commerce Ave	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	1,654	102	ပ	0.5%
Murphy Rd	Mapp Rd	High Meadow Ave	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	5,422	281	၁	0.5%
Murphy Rd	High Meadow Ave	St Lucie County	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	8,515	682	D	0.5%
Ocean Blvd	Flagler Ave	Palm Beach Rd	Class II: 2-Ln Divided	790	8,750	525	Q	0.5%
Ocean Blvd	Palm Beach Rd	SR-714	4-Ln Divided Non-State	1465	11,275	586	O	%9:0
Osprey St	SR-5	CR-A1A	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	6,087	253	O	4.7%
Osprey St	CR-A1A	Gomez Ave	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	2,074	100	O	2.7%
Palm Beach Rd	SR-714	Ocean Blvd	2-Ln Divided Non-State	710	8,026	435	D	0.5%
Palm City Rd	SR-714	SR-5	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	7,419	391	٥	0.5%
Palmer St	CR-707	Indian River Dr	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	3,599	242	၁	4.6%
Pineapple Wy	CR-707A(Jensen Beach Blvd.)	SR-732	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	986'6	298	TCEA	0.5%
Pomeroy St	SR-76	Willoughby Blvd	Class II: 2-Ln Divided	790	10,016	290	D	3.3%
Pomeroy St	Willoughby Blvd	SR-5	Class II: 2-Ln Divided	790	000'6	450	D	0.5%
Salerno Rd	SR-76	Willoughby Blvd	Class I: 2-Ln Undivided	880	8,267	434	O	1.0%
Salerno Rd	Willoughby Blvd	SR-5	Class II: 2-Ln Divided	790	9,348	535	D	0.5%
Salerno Rd	SR-5	Commerce Ave	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	9,215	430	TCEA	0.5%
Salerno Rd	Commerce Ave	CR-A1A	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	7,533	335	TCEA	0.5%
Seabranch Blvd	Doubletree Dr	SR-5	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	6,122	303	O	0.5%
Sewalls Pt Rd	SR-A1A	Palmer St	2-Ln Undivided Non- State	675	9,978	448	Q	1.1%
Skyline Dr	CR-707A	CR-707	2-Ln Undivided Non- State	675	2,654	131	O	6.5%

	Road Name	From	70	Туре	Generalized Service Capacity	2022 Average Annual Daily Traffic	2022 Peak Hour Directional Volume	2022 Generalized LOS	Avg. Annual Growth Rate
	SR-5 (US-1)	Palm Beach County	CR-A1A	Transitional 4-Ln Uninter /Divided Flow	3120	21,987	942	A/B	0.5%
	SR-5 (US-1)	CR-A1A	CR-708	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	16,899	872	υ	0.5%
	SR-5 (US-1)	CR-708	Osprey St	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	24,631	1,542	O	0.5%
l	SR-5 (US-1)	Osprey St	Seabranch Blvd	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	25,721	1,152	O	1.7%
	SR-5 (US-1)	Seabranch Blvd	Cove Rd	Class I: 6-Ln Divided	3020	30,025	1,404	O	0.5%
1	SR-5 (US-1)	Cove Rd	Salerno Rd	Class I: 6-Ln Divided	3020	31,755	1,458	O	0.5%
1	SR-5 (US-1)	Salerno Rd	Monroe St	Class I: 6-Ln Divided	3020	40,006	1,734	O	0.5%
1	SR-5 (US-1)	Monroe St	Indian St	Class I: 6-Ln Divided	3020	39,740	1,621	O	0.5%
1	SR-5 (US-1)	Indian St	SR-714	Class I: 6-Ln Divided	3020	45,150	2,202	O	0.5%
B-6	SR-5 (US-1)	SR-714	SR-5A	Class I: 6-Ln Divided	3020	36,532	1,662	O	0.5%
1	SR-5 (US-1)	SR-5A(Cut-off Rd)	SR-76	Class I: 6-Ln Divided	3020	34,638	1,513	O	%5.0
[SR-5 (US-1)	SR-76	Palm City Rd	Class II: 6-Ln Divided	2520	47,422	2,011	D	0.5%
	SR-5 (US-1)	Palm City Rd	Joan Jefferson Wy	Class II: 6-Ln Divided	2520	50,263	2,364	D	0.5%
1	SR-5 (US-1)	Joan Jefferson Wy	Wright Blvd	Class I: 6-Ln Divided	3020	58,659	2,809	O	0.5%
1	SR-5 (US-1)	Wright Blvd	Baker Rd	Class I: 6-Ln Divided	3020	51,911	2,299	υ	0.5%
1	SR-5 (US-1)	Baker Rd	Britt Rd	Class I: 6-Ln Divided	3020	47,008	2,192	O	0.5%
1	SR-5 (US-1)	Britt Rd	SR-732	Class I: 7-Ln Divided	3530	56,191	3,068	O	0.5%
1	SR-5 (US-1)	SR-732	Westmoreland Blvd	Class I: 8-Ln Divided	4040	63,287	2,896	υ	0.5%
1	SR-5 (US-1)	Westmoreland Blvd	St Lucie County	Class I: 8-Ln Divided	4040	62,019	2,679	O	0.5%
1	SR-710 (Warfield Blvd)	Okeechobee County	Fox Brown Rd	Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided	670	7,244	273	O	3.9%
1	SR-710 (Warfield Blvd)	Fox Brown Rd	CR-609 (Allapattah)	2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow	890	8,600	323	A/B	3.2%
I	SR-710 (Warfield Blvd)	CR-609 (Allapattah)	Van Buren	Class I: 2-Ln Undivided	870	13,836	802	O	3.7%
lo di	Segments with shaded LOS require additional analysis	analysis.							6 of 8

6 of 8 Effective May 16, 2023

Segments with shaded LOS require additional analysis. The peaks are: Cove Road (PM/EB)

Pack Statistic Humbing Boxy) Vani Busent CRE726 CRE7					Guandas	Daily Traffic	Volume	SOT	Rate
SR-710 (Warfield Blwd) CR-728 SR-78 (Kanner) Transitioner Livening 2470 12,448 572 SR-710 (Warfield Blwd) SR-76 Palin Beach County Transitioner Livening 2470 9,777 407 SR-714 (Warfin Hwy) Leps CR-76A (Chtus) CR-76A (Chtus) Floride's Tumpike 2000 22,166 1,770 SR-714 (Warfin Hwy) CR-76A (Chtus) Floride's Tumpike CR-76A (Chtus) Floride's Tumpike 2000 22,166 1,770 SR-714 (Warfin Hwy) CR-77A (Warfin Hwy) CR-77A (Charlin Hwy) CR-77A CR-77A 1,770 2000 22,166 1,770 SR-714 (Martin Hwy) CR-77A (Martin Hwy) CR-77A Malpheson Ave CR-77A CR-77A 1,770 2000 22,166 1,770 SR-714 (Martin Chy Bridge) Malpheson Ave Willoughty Blwd CR-77A CR-77A <td< td=""><td></td><td>n Buren</td><td>CR-726 (Citrus)</td><td>Class II: 4-Ln Divided</td><td>1710</td><td>13,836</td><td>802</td><td>D</td><td>3.7%</td></td<>		n Buren	CR-726 (Citrus)	Class II: 4-Ln Divided	1710	13,836	802	D	3.7%
SRY14 (Martin Hwy) SR.76 Pelm Beach County Transitional Flowing 170 (47) 407 407 SRY14 (Martin Hwy) SR.744 (Martin Hwy) CR.764 (Cittus) CR.764 (Cittus) Transitional 2-0 Uniform 11,70 14,883 744 SR.714 (Martin Hwy) CR.713 Florida's Tumplike CR.713 Matheson Ave CR.713 1,770 22,166 1,170 SR.714 (Martin Hwy) CR.713 Matheson Ave CR.713 Matheson Ave CR.713 1,773 200 23,164 1,770 SR.714 (Martin Hwy) CR.713 Matheson Ave CR.713 Matheson Ave CR.713 CR.81 1,770 200 23,174 1,770 SR.714 (Martin Cry, Birdige) Matheson Ave CR.714 Matheson Ave CR.714 Matheson Ave CR.81 1,773 200 23,174 1,773 SR.714 (Montinery Rol) Matheson Ave Milloughty Bloyd CR.81 CR.81 1,784 1,773 SR.714 (Montinery Rol) SR.72 CR.81 SR.74 CR.81 CR.81 1,78		-726	SR-76 (Kanner)	Transitional 4-Ln Uninter /Divided Flow	2470	12,449	572	A/B	4.3%
SR-714 (Mearin Hwy) 195 CR-76A (Citus) CR-76A (Citus) Tonda's Tumplike Tonda's L-LL Divised 1100 22,166 1,170 SR-714 (Mearin Hwy) CR-776A (Citus) Florida's Tumplike CR-713 CR-713 1,170 22,166 1,170 SR-714 (Mearin Downs Bivd) Florida's Tumplike CR-713 Methrason Ave CR-713 Methrason Ave 1,987 909 1,770 SR-714 (Mearin Downs Bivd) CR-713 Methrason Ave Methrason Ave Methrason Ave 1,987 1,773 1,770 SR-714 (Mearin Downs Bivd) Methrason Ave Methrason Ave Methrason Ave Methrason Ave 1,887 1,774 1,703 SR-714 (Montracy Rol) Milloughby Bivd Class I: 4Ln Divised 2000 24,714 1,247 SR-714 (Montacy Rol) Montacy Extension SR-5 CR-84 Montacy Extension SR-5 1,247 1,247 SR-714 (Montacy Rol) Montacy Extension SR-5 CR-84 CR-84 1,766 1,262 1,264 SR-714 (Montacy Rol) SR-5		-76	Palm Beach County	Transitional 4-Ln Uninter /Divided Flow	2470	9,077	407	A/B	7.2%
SR-714 (Martin Downs Blvd) CR-736 (Clfrus) Florida's Tumpike CR-713 Class I: 4-In Divided 2000 22,166 1,170 SR-714 (Martin Downs Blvd) Florida's Tumpike CR-713 Mathason Ave CR-713 Class I: 4-In Divided 2000 28,673 1,474 SR-714 (Martin Downs Blvd) Mathason Ave Mathason Ave Mathason Ave Class I: 4-In Divided 2000 22,124 1,703 SR-714 (Martin Downs Blvd) Mathason Ave SR-76 Willoughby Blvd Willoughby Blvd Villoughby Blvd 1,703 22,124 1,703 SR-714 (Montarey Rd) Willoughby Blvd Montarey Extension SR-76 Class I: 4-In Divided 2000 24,714 1,247 SR-714 (Montarey Rd) Montarey Extension SR-76 CR-744 Class I: 4-In Divided 2000 1,7662 886 SR-714 (Montarey Rd) Montarey Extension SR-74 CR-744 SR-74 1,7662 1,247 SR-714 (Montarey Rd) GR-A1A SR-A1A Class I: 4-In Divided 1200 1,276 1,247 SR-72		10	CR-76A (Citrus)	Transitional 2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow	1160	14,983	744	U	3.8%
SR-714 (Martin Downs Blvd) CR-713 CR-713 CR-818 CR-819 CR-819 CR-819 CR-914 Step Step <td></td> <td>-76A (Citrus)</td> <td>Florida's Turnpike</td> <td>Class I: 4-Ln Divided</td> <td>2000</td> <td>22,166</td> <td>1,170</td> <td>O</td> <td>1.7%</td>		-76A (Citrus)	Florida's Turnpike	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	22,166	1,170	O	1.7%
SR-714 (Martin Downrs Blvd) CR-713 Mathreson Ave Cleast I: 4Ln Divided 2000 28,583 1,474 SR-714 (Martin Downrs Blvd) Mathreson Ave Mapp Rd SR-76 Cleast I: 4Ln Divided 2000 32,124 1,703 SR-714 (Martin Downs Blvd) Mapp Rd SR-76 Willoughby Blvd Monterey Extension SR-76 2,2584 1,098 SR-714 (Monterey Rd) Willoughby Blvd Monterey Extension SR-76 Cleast I: 4Ln Divided 2000 24,714 1,247 SR-714 (Monterey Rd) Monterey Extension SR-76 Cleast I: 4Ln Divided 1900 22,403 1,247 SR-714 (Monterey Rd) Monterey Extension SR-74 CR-A1A SR-A1A 2000 22,403 1,247 SR-714 (Monterey Rd) GR-714 (Monterey Rd) SR-74 SR-A1A SR-A1A 2000 22,403 1,247 SR-722 (Jensen Beach Blvd) GR-707 SR-A1A Green River Plwy Green River Plwy Green River Plwy CR-708 1,247 93 SR-732 (Jensen Beach Blvd) GR-710 <t< td=""><td></td><td>rida's Turnpike</td><td>CR-713</td><td>Class I: 4-Ln Divided</td><td>2000</td><td>19,874</td><td>606</td><td>O</td><td>0.5%</td></t<>		rida's Turnpike	CR-713	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	19,874	606	O	0.5%
SR-714 (Martin Downs BWd) Matheson Ave Mapp Rd Class I: 4-In Dwided 2000 32,124 1703 SR-714 (Partin Downs BWd) Mapp Rd SR-76 Class I: 4-In Dwided 2000 35,049 1,665 SR-714 (Monterey Rd) Willoughby Blwd Willoughby Blwd Monterey Extension SR-5 Class I: 4-In Dwided 2000 22,564 1,088 SR-714 (Monterey Rd) Monterey Extension SR-5 CR-A1A CR-A1A 1,247 1,247 SR-714 (Monterey Rd) SR-714 (Monterey Rd) SR-5 CR-A1A CR-A1A 22,403 1,247 SR-714 (Monterey Rd) SR-74 (Monterey Rd) SR-74A CR-A1A 24,114 1,7662 866 SR-732 (Causeway Blwd) CR-A1A SR-A1A 24,114 1,767 1,264 SR-732 (Jensen Beach Blwd) Green Rlver Pkwy Green Rlver Pkwy CR-723 Class I: 4-In Dwided 100 25,282 1,264 SR-76 (Kanner Hwy) SR-15 Green Rlver Pkwy Green Rlver Pkwy CR-709 Uninterrupted Rusi 730 2,317		-713	Matheson Ave	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	28,563	1,474	O	%5.0
SR-714 (Monterey Rd) Mapp Rd SR-76 Class I: 4Ln Divided 2000 35,049 1,865 SR-714 (Monterey Rd) SR-714 (Monterey Rd) Willoughby Blvd Willoughby Blvd Monterey Extension Class I: 4Ln Divided 2000 24,714 1,098 SR-714 (Monterey Rd) Monterey Extension SR-5 Class I: 4Ln Divided 2000 71,662 866 SR-714 (Monterey Rd) SR-5 CR-A1A CR-A1A SR-A1A 1,247 1,247 SR-714 (Monterey Rd) SR-73 (Monterey Rd) CR-A1A SR-A1A Class I: 4Ln Divided 150 22,403 1,247 SR-732 (Jensen Beach Blvd) SR-5 SR-A1A Class I: 4Ln Divided 190 1,264 1,090 SR-732 (Jensen Beach Blvd) SR-5 Green River Pkwy Green River Pkwy CR-723 Class I: 4Ln Divided 2000 24,284 1,090 SR-76 (Kanner Hwy) SR-76 (Kanner Hwy) SR-710 CR-714 (R-76A CR-714 (R-76A 1,090 1,98 1,98 SR-76 (Kanner Hwy) CR-708 CR-714 (R-76A CR-714 (R	1	theson Ave	Mapp Rd		2000	32,124	1,703	O	%5.0
SR.714 (Montlerey Rd) SR.76 Willoughby Blvd Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 22,564 1,088 SR.714 (Montlerey Rd) Willoughby Blvd Montlerey Extension Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 24,714 1,247 SR.714 (Montlerey Rd) SR-744 (Montlerey Rd) SR-5 CR-A1A CR-A1A 1,562 886 SR-714 (Montlerey Rd) SR-744 (Montlerey Rd) SR-744 (Montlerey Rd) CR-A1A CR-A1A 1,247 1,247 SR-732 (Causewey Blvd) CR-A1A SR-A1A CR-A1A CR-A1A CR-A1A CR-A1A 1,327 1,247 SR-732 (Jensen Beach Blvd) CR-707 SR-A1A CR-A1A CR-A1A CR-A1A CR-A1A CR-A1A CR-A1A 1,370 1,371 781 SR-732 (Jensen Beach Blvd) Green River Pkwy Green River Pkwy CR-723 Class I: 4-Ln Divided 730 2,317 93 SR-76 (Kanner Hwy) SR-76 (Kanner Hwy) SR-710 CR-708 Locks Rd CR-711/CR-76A CR-708 CR-711/CR-76A CR-708 CR-711/CR-76A CR-708 </td <td></td> <td>pp Rd</td> <td>SR-76</td> <td>Class I: 4-Ln Divided</td> <td>2000</td> <td>35,049</td> <td>1,865</td> <td>υ</td> <td>0.5%</td>		pp Rd	SR-76	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	35,049	1,865	υ	0.5%
Willoughby Blvd Monterey Extension Class I: 4Ln Divided 2000 24,714 1,247 SR-5 CR-A1A Class II: 4Ln Divided 2000 17,662 886 SR-5 CR-A1A CR-A1A Class II: 4Ln Divided 1630 22,403 1,247 CR-A1A SR-A1A Class II: 4Ln Divided 1910 23,275 1,247 CR-A1A SR-A1A Class II: 4Ln Divided 1200 13,701 781 SR-5 Green River Pkwy Class I: 4Ln Divided 2000 25,282 1,264 Green River Pkwy CR-723 Class I: 4Ln Divided 200 24,284 1,090 SR-15 SR-710 Uninterrupted Rural 730 2,317 93 SR-710 CR-708 Uninterrupted Rural 730 3,800 165 CR-708 CR-711/CR-76A Translitional 2-Ln Uninterrupted Rural 1160 3,369 198 CR-711/CR-76A Locks Rd Locks Rd Locks Rd 13,751 853 Locks Rd Jack James	SR-714 (Monterey Rd)	-76		Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	22,584	1,098	O	0.5%
Reduction SR-5 Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 17,662 886 SR-5 CR-41A Class I: 4-Ln Divided 1630 22,403 1,247 CR-A1A SR-A1A Class I: 4-Ln Divided 1910 23,275 1,247 CR-707 SR-A1A 2-Ln Uninter /Undivided 1200 13,701 781 SR-5 Green River Pkwy Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 25,282 1,284 SR-15 Green River Pkwy CR-723 Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 24,284 1,090 SR-15 SR-710 Uninterrupted Rural 730 2,317 93 SR-710 CR-708 CR-710K-76A Uninterrupted Rural 730 3,800 165 CR-708 CR-711/CR76A CR-711/CR76A Crocks Rd Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 13,751 853 Locks Rd Jack James Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 19,109 914		loughby Blvd	Monterey Extension	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	24,714	1,247	U	0.5%
SR-5 CR-41A Class II: 4-Ln Divided 1630 22,403 1,247 CR-A1A SR-A1A Class I: 4-Ln Divided 1910 23,275 1,327 SR-5 GR-en River Pkwy 2-Ln Uninter /Undivided 1200 13,701 781 Green River Pkwy Green River Pkwy Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 25,282 1,264 SR-15 GR-723 Uninterrupted Rural 730 24,284 1,090 SR-16 SR-710 Uninterrupted Rural 730 2,317 93 GR-708 Transitional 2-Ln Undivided 730 3,369 165 GR-711/CR-76A Transitional 2-Ln Undivided 2000 13,751 853 Locks Rd Locks Rd Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 13,751 853		nterey Extension	SR-5		2000	17,662	886	U	3.2%
CR-A1A SR-A1A Class I: 4-In Divided Flow 1910 23,275 1,327 CR-707 SR-A1A 2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Group Flow 1200 13,701 781 SR-5 Green River Pkwy Class I: 4-Ln Divided Class I: 4-Ln Divided Group Flow CR-728 1,264 SR-15 SR-710 Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided Flow 730 24,284 1,090 SR-710 CR-708 Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided Flow 730 3,800 165 CR-708 CR-711/CR-76A Transitional 2-Ln Uninter Undivided Flow 1160 3,369 198 Locks Rd Locks Rd Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 13,751 853 Locks Rd Jack James Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 19,109 914		-5	CR-A1A		1630	22,403	1,247	D	2.6%
CR-707 SR-A1A 2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow 1200 13,701 781 SR-5 Green River Pkwy Green River Pkwy Class I: 4-Ln Divided Capped Rural Logon 25,282 1,264 SR-15 SR-710 Uninterrupted Rural Logon 730 24,284 1,090 SR-710 CR-708 Uninterrupted Rural Logon 730 2,317 93 CR-708 CR-711/CR-76A Transitional 2-Ln Uninter Logon 730 3,800 165 CR-711/CR76A Locks Rd Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 13,751 853 Locks Rd Jack James Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 19,109 914		:-A1A	SR-A1A	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	1910	23,275	1,327	O	%8:9
SR-5 Green River Pkwy Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 25,282 1,264 Green River Pkwy CR-723 Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 24,284 1,090 SR-15 SR-710 Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided Flow Undivided Flow 730 3,800 165 CR-708 CR-711/CR-76A Transitional 2-Ln Undivided Flow Undivi		-707	SR-A1A	2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow	1200	13,701	781	Ο	%9:0
Green River Pkwy CR-723 Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 24,284 1,090 SR-15 SR-710 Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided 730 2,317 93 SR-710 CR-708 Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided Rural Uninterrupted Rural Uninterrupted Rural Uninterrupted Rural Uninterrupted Rural Rural Uninterrupted Rural Rural Uninterrupted Rural Rur		-5	Green River Pkwy	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	25,282	1,264	Ο	0.5%
SR-710 Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided SR-710 CR-708 Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided Flow / Uninterrupted Rural Flow / Undivided		een River Pkwy	CR-723	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	24,284	1,090	O	0.5%
SR-710 CR-708 Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided Flow 730 3,800 165 CR-708 CR-711/CR-76A Transitional 2-Ln Uninter / Undivided Flow 1160 3,369 198 CR-711/CR76A Locks Rd Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 13,751 853 Locks Rd Jack James Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 19,109 914		-15	SR-710	Uninterrupted Rural Hwy: 2-Ln Undivided	730	2,317	93	A/B	2.3%
CR-708 CR-711/CR-76A Transitional 2-Ln Uninter / Undivided Flow 1160 3,369 198 CR-711/CR76A Locks Rd Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 13,751 853 Locks Rd Jack James Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 19,109 914		-710	CR-708		730	3,800	165	A/B	2.2%
CR-711/CR76A Locks Rd Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 13,751 853 Locks Rd Jack James Class I: 4-Ln Divided 2000 19,109 914		-708	CR-711/CR-76A	Transitional 2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow	1160	3,369	198	A/B	5.3%
		-711/CR76A	Locks Rd	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	13,751	853	O	1.6%
	SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	cks Rd	Jack James	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	19,109	914	၁	0.5%

Road Name	From	То	Type	Generalized Service Capacity	2022 Average Annual Daily Traffic	2022 Peak Hour Directional Volume	2022 Generalized LOS	Avg. Annual Growth Rate
SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	Jack James	Cove Rd	Class I: 6-Ln Divided	3020	48,621	2,628	O	1.4%
SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	Cove Rd	Salerno Rd	Class I: 6-Ln Divided	3020	38,333	1,863	O	4.8%
SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	Salerno Rd	Indian St	Class I: 6-Ln Divided	3020	35,201	1,562	O	4.5%
SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	Indian St	SR-714	Class I: 6-Ln Divided	3020	25,146	1,125	O	4.4%
SR-76 (Kanner Hwy)	SR-714	SR-5	Class I: 6-Ln Divided	3020	25,747	1,030	O	0.7%
SR-A1A (Ocean Blvd)	SR-714	St Lucie Blvd	Class II: 4-Ln Divided	1630	20,755	1,210	D	1.7%
SR-A1A (Ocean Blvd)	St Lucie Blvd	Sewalls Point Rd	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	23,410	955	O	2.1%
SR-A1A (Ocean Blvd)	Sewalls Point Rd	Macarthur Blvd	Class I: 2-Ln Divided	925	14,046	780	O	2.3%
SR-A1A (Ocean Blvd)	MacArthur Bivd	SR-732	2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow	1200	8,390	408	A/B	0.5%
SR-A1A (Ocean Blvd)	SR-732	St Lucie County	2-Ln Uninter /Undivided Flow	1200	15,211	882	O	0.5%
St Lucie Blvd	CR-A1A	Indian St	2-Ln Undivided Non- State	675	3,480	183	O	3.1%
St Lucie Blvd	Indian St	SR-A1A	2-Ln Undivided Non- State	675	6,454	363	О	0.5%
Westmoreland Blvd	St Lucie County	SR-5	Class II: 2-Ln Divided	790	10,992	554	O	0.5%
Willoughby Blvd	Cove Rd	Salerno Rd	Class I: 2-Ln Undivided	880	4,108	236	O	1.1%
Willoughby Blvd	Salerno Rd	Pomeroy St	Class I: 2-Ln Undivided	880	9,017	474	O	0.5%
Willoughby Blvd	Pomeroy St	Indian St	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	10,354	533	O	0.5%
Willoughby Blvd	Indian St	SR-714	Class I: 4-Ln Divided	2000	10,059	492	O	0.5%
Wright Blvd	SR-5	Dixie Highway	Class II: 2-Ln Undivided	750	10,146	497	D	1.2%
This designant may be represed upon required upon alternative format by contacting the County ADA Coordinator (772) 320-3131, the County Administration Office (772) 288-5400, Florida Relay 711, or by	or recurse in a sternative format	Soord Soluty ADA Coord	nator (772) 320-3131, the	County Adminis	stration Office	e (772) 288-540	00, Florida Relay	711, or by

This document may be reproduced upon request in an alternative format by contacting the County ADA Coordinator (772) 320-3131, the County Administration Office (772) 288-5400, Florida Relay 711, or by completing our accessibility feedback form at www.martin.fl.us/accessibility-feedback

Š	0.45	120	8	0.61	60	320	0.50	9	024	620	200	8	9	80	237	021	S S	2	130	8	0 69	25	050	900	80	50	820	8	050	97.0	500	22.0	0.27
Projected 2040 Daily Volume	7360	3125	8	2000	232	8723	2007	2002	3861	2523	8	27205	17688	14227	55	2395	:176	523	550	14270	7504	255	1003	78.357	13975	978	3000	3207	828	2003	888	85	2000
Drowth Rates	1,86%	2020	722	35%	457%	175%	*300	5020	4229	2000	2000	1.0%	7000	2000	1050	370%	21.57	303	1.54%	19 P	: 47%	200	0.78%	103	137%	233	2 10%	0.03%	76:90	1343	1 18%	100	75%
Armal Model Growth Tate	1.65%	1000	782%	35%	457%	179%	\$69.7	250	6834	200	900%	1.15%	0.000	0.59%	-103%	370%	27.57%	1.02%	1.51%	1.35%	1675	1.85%	0.75%	1.075	1,37%	1,00%	1	1	1	1	1	1	1,75%
Model Growth Rate (30 Years)	ST4%	5000	23451%	107 12%	137 24%	53814	467.01	15.12%	10.57%	-2 (20%	5000	25.55	29 89%	17.75%	30 SEX	110.50%	201.05%	300%	36%	41 72%	402	867.88	23.29%	20.45%	41 05%	50.30%	236%	20,45%	15 40%	40.32%	36.79%	43.50%	83.76%
Model 2003 AAUT	12,435	¥	1,600	4650	13575	14074	14074	1226	ă A	1633	NA I	i sisi	1 780	200	8	1 28	12312	7781	ă I	27.0	£ 553	13574	2003;	17564	at a	4004	9588	22022	2002	813	03%	2829	8
Elodel Sans		2	ğ	22.0	225	85	1170	1 19	5555	14627	N/A	1407.61	10613	11154	331	2218	89	72	23	9009	3006	8	13203	13405	2030	800	11254	0.00	20271	89	19	9	8
Performal Performal Occupit Prote (Linear	Angression 0.25%	0 20%	0.00%	9000	9,000	0.10%	2,000	404	0.00%	411%	1920	2500	2112	0.16%	smo-	0.14%	CON	2112	5000	9220	0.00%	200	003%	4000	-0.24%	9,020	4000	0.29%	42.25%	5225	251.0	,000	231%
STATE STATE	4688	2,000	1523	463	3.401	5,977	6254	2565	300	2,580	135	12,051	14,178	12,005	\$210	8	187	1,514	5,330	10,374	5,437	2670	21,561	20,384	10,235	Ğ	2,921	8,718	GOIT	9,000	5,000	4,003	2,502
2011 2012 ANDT ANDT	4,713 4,726	3,022 2,944	(481 1,533	4738 4,943	3543 3,412	557.2	57 C.005	2,409	3,100	2,63	0 614	22 12815	32 14,004	\$11,514	5,015	1307	1,200	9 1,613	6 5,314	10,765	3 6,539	5,584	н 22,526	5 21.620	19,700	8	2365	6.451	超"	8,019	8,009	4751	255
ZOTO 20 MADIT AN	4.672 4.	2748 3	138	5,003	340 35	5,200	£250 6,157	2753 2,435	5,314 3,331	4000 2,001	82	12.427 12.524	13,741 13,832	11,706	41 5,100	1,235 1,324	100 1,4831	1,739	\$5.00 \$0.00	23 10,319	20 5.943	5,320	23.50	19,605	3,600	613	0 2,457	6223	7.577	2 6,023	8,015	3 4,555	2002
AMOT A	3,915 4	3,100	1,500	4,436 5	3,453	5,77? 6	8,000	4,372 2,	8,000	4,755 4	200	2,450 12.	13,861 13,	11,330 11,	4,372 5,141	23	1,491 1,510	1,694 1,615	6,130 6,635	10,763 10,223	6,20	5,151	27. 21.363	20,00	23 9,430	99	2,430	93 0,576	237,7 82	7.32	085,7 5	6 4,563	3 2.478
2005 AMET	424	2,520	1,507	4.787	"	6,333	8,117 8	3,446 4	2230 5	2,644	202	11,965 12	C.	12,313 14	5,235 4,	1,577 5	1,426	1,635	6,550 6,1	11,683 10,	5,000 6,200	5,415 5,140	2000	18,393 15,743	10,177 9,723	ers ess	2,402 2,009	0,945 6,185	8,475 7,553	0,559 8,800	8,915 7,900	4,105 4,006	1,522 1,553
ARDT	400	2.600	1,733	4,362		6,335	0003	2,635	NO.C	2,753	B	12-23		12451	5171	1,125	1,516	303.1	M27.3	1,057	5334	6051	22356 2	1 62900	11,62	ŭ	2,383	7,619	9,577	0,000,0	8,900 8	5,031	2,362 2,
add 2008 AMDT	4,578	2,623	1,774	4,955		523	6,136	2,002	3,210	2,696	£	17,855		12,853	5,174	1,705	26,	8	8,583	2,614	6,150	1203	21,434	20,750	12.005	8	2,637	7,58	6,633	9.580	8,256	8	2,143
Ceneralizad Service Chrocity	The second second																																
Services Services Capacity	15000	14300	14300	14830	24400	14800	14800	2000-1	14200	14200	15830	15930	19735	15830	15730	851	14200	15500	15500	15330	15000	15000	32,400	35500	12000	1,500	tem	2450	15300	12030	15220	(5030	85
aq(f 0)65	STORY SECTION									-																							
	1	1 22	В	'n	E	R	39	B	B	8	a a	all S	State	Steph	n-State	ed Hwy.	Tal Hay	ch State	an-State	altasua	ion State	on-State	hyded	200	og .	ÎI.	Ju.			1 4	a	State	D. P.
ş	Case II. 2-Ln Untivided	Com # 2-Ln Undvided	Class III. 2/Ln Undwid	Case ii 24a Undwo	Transitional 24th Unit Altholyidad Flow	Case II. 24.n Undviz	Tata II. 24n Undvid	Zana II: 2-Un Undwic	Zere II [.] 24.n Undwe	Zapa ii. 2-La Urdivi	Ln Undwicked Non-S	In Underded Non-	An Divided Nan-	Cu Umdwicked No	un Umdivided N	nintemupted Rur 24.n Undvid	Ambennated Flu 2-Ln Undvik	n Undwided N	n Undwided N	n Undwaled N	n Undwaed?	n Undwidod N	Inn Heint	Small ALADA	n Undivided Nesk	nterrupted Runsi H 24th Understad	rtemplad Runi H 24th Undwided	netional 2-Ln Uneite Abdivided Flow	Undwided Non-See	Characted Nan-St	Underland Nan-St	Undivided Non	tempted Russ 24th Undvide
Paris Sand	Case II. 2-Ln Untivided		Class II. 2-Ln Undvider	Cass ii 24.7 Undwded	Transitional 24th United Abriefer	Class II. 24.n Undvided	Gata II: 24n Undwided	Case It 24n Undwided	Cases IV 24.n Undwebed	Cass ii. 2-La tardinded	2-Ln Undwided Non-State	24.n Underded Nan-State	2-Lin Divided Nen-State	2-Lin Underload Non-Steld	24n Undvided Non-State	Uninterrupted Rurel Hwy. 24th Undivided	Unimentated Runs Hwy 24th Undvided	24th Undwided Non-State	24.n Undwided Nen-State	24sh Undwided Non-Stall	24n Undwaled Non-State	2Ln Undwiched Nen-State	Close II 4Ln Dwdsd	Cass 1: ALn Dwided	24.n Undivides New State	Chintenupted Rutal Hay 24th Undvided	Uniterupted Runi Hwy 24.n Undwided	Transitional 2-Ln Univer Andivided Flow	2-Ln Undwided Non-State	SUL Underded Non-Sta	2-LT Underided Nan-State	21s Undysted NovSmite	Uniterryted Russ News 24-n Understad
Road 2000 Class Class	2:10	2:40	2-4U Class II. 23.th Undviz	Ch.5	uwi	2-10	2-IU Gassii: 2-In Urdwid	2-tJ Cass It 24n Under	2-1U Case IP 2-Ln Undwa	2-tu Cass II 2-Li Urdivi	1.50	150	NS-2 2-4-11 DIVIDED Name	NS-1 2-La Underland NS	弘	UN-1 Unitatemosted Rus 2-List Undersit	UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF STUDIES	NS-1 24.n Undwidted N	NS-1 2J.n Undwided N	NS-1 24n Undwided N	hS-1 24n Undwaed?	NS-1 SLn Undwided N	2-2D Class # 4-Ln E	1-2D Gass): 44n Dv	NS-1 2-Ln Undividua Nen-	UN-1 Liteberughed Runsi H 24th Underliged	Ush: Ush: Uniternalid Runi H	URA: Transitional 2-Ln University Control	NS-1 24.n Undwated Non-State	NS-1 PLIN UNIVOSED NON-SE	NS-1 24n Underland han-St	NS-1 2-Lis Unavided Non-	URL: Uniteristed Russ 24.n Unitervisio
Numbi dalifibi. Not 1,075 Report Report Report Report Leptup Crises Class	(Newstanding)											-																					
Road 2000 Class Class	2:10	2:40	240	Ch.5	uwi	2-10	2:10	2.5	2-10	240	1.50	150	NS-2	19	弘	UNST	1440	NS-1	15-1	152	5	55 25	330	3,20	S div	UN-1	1497	***		1.55	NS:t	ğ	uw.
Rich Library Nazadathib, for LOS Road 2843 Blanck Thanks Achthol Lokhtyn Clear Shad Thanks Read Lokhtyn Clear Clark	UNDER ILUINDEL 2-1U	4.0,Uhban_1 2-1J	8_U_Ukken_1 2:4U	U2-5 1_matu_U3	เพายารักษณะ เกษา	บะร รูกสมบับไล	กหง เโดยสาโกไล	ກຸນ <u>ູບຕອກ</u> 1 ລະເນ	มาระราบสะดา	ಗಿಲ್ಲಿಯೊಡ್ತು ವೀಚ	NS.U.Leart 1. Rest	NS_U_Utten_1 NS-1	AS_U_Uther_1 NS-2	NS_U_Udam_1 NS-1	118_14_Uhban_1 118-1	ಬಿಗ್ನಲ್ಪಡ್ಡಿಗೆ ಬಿಸಿಸ	ಟ್ಟಾಡ್ನ್ ಬಟ	NS_U_Udan_1 NS:	NS_U_UDDER_1 NS-1	ಬ್ಬಿಲ್ಲಬೇಕ್ನಾ ಗಿತ್ತ	നുള്ളപ്പോട്ട	UN_U_UMBD_1 NS-1	1 <u>0,000</u> 22 2:25	Loument with	വ <u>ഴ്</u> ധുന്നു വടു	เพา การสาว	טאנט בושאבטאט	UNIVERSEL UNIVE	NS_D_UCH_1 NS:	NS_U_Ltan_1 NS-1	NS_U_LDDD_1 NS-t	NSV Lucin L	เพบ ระสะว่า เพน
Rich Library Nazadathib, for LOS Road 2843 Blanck Thanks Achthol Lokhtyn Clear Shad Thanks Read Lokhtyn Clear Clark	UNDER ILUINDEL 2-1U	U Leben ALCLUMANIS 2-13	ט שפה פיט טיים טיים וויס וויס וויס וויס וויס וויס וויס ו	Uther E_Uthoun_1 2-1U	UN_U_Tremes_1 UN-1	טויס המאט ניַראמאט ניַ 2יוט	טייס נישמענין: אפטר פ	U Uhban 1 <u>1_U_U</u> ddan_1 2-1U	רויס נישטאר ארווי אסטא ח	טוים ויים ועוטטעטען א טונט טונט ט	יפאין ביפאט ואפיו ה	ואפין יישטחיין און אפיו	ר נישאלע נאביט אפנט ט	U Litham NS_U_Ubhar_1 NS-1	U Uncan ta_ta_uhcan_1 nss-1	ראט זַיַּבּשאַרָּטְרַאָּטָן מאַרט .	U Rum Lalu.Rum. 1 cat.	U Urban NS_U_Urban_1 NS-1	ייבאי ו_ממעי_ע,ע,פאר שלט ע	y then Un!U_then_1 NS-:	ראין גיישמא <u>י</u> טאט המאט ט	ין איז ויפאטיעיעיאט מממט ט	D Urban ILOLUtum_2 2:2D	Loument with	י טאבין איני האבין איני ריפארין איני	ריאטן ואַראַבאַרוּן אין פאבען מאיז	U Remail UNIU_Parmail UN61	UN_U_Transl_1 UA+:	U CHAN NG_D_UCAN_1 NS-:	U UTAN NS_ULTAN, NS-1	U Udam_t NS-t	U Untern 185_U_Untern_1 185-1	U Rath UNLU-Rath, UNV:
Rich Library Nazadathib, for LOS Road 2843 Blanck Thanks Achthol Lokhtyn Clear Shad Thanks Read Lokhtyn Clear Clark	UNDER ILUINDEL 2-1U	Urben (LOUthern 1 2-4U)	ಟಿಂತಾ ್ವಿಲ್ಲಬಿಕಿತ್ತಾ; ನಿಸಲ	Lithen #_U_Ubban_1 2-1U	Trans L.	೧೯-೭ ೯ ಗಣಗಳು ಇದು	ಗುಳು ಬಿಹಳಾಗಿಗೆ ಅಭಿಗ	Uham II_U_Udan_1 2-ru	מזים ובשטחבען השכט	Urban ILU_Urban_1 2-1U	1-දැන 1_පැපැටු.V.SN mati	Under NS_U_Uden_1 SS-1	ವಿರುವ ಗಿಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲರು ಗುತ್ತು	Moan NS_U_Unan_1 NS-1	Uncon 12,2,00cm_1 155.1	ಗಿಗಾ ಬಗ್ಗಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದಾ ಬಳಿಸ	ಗಿಜ್ಜು ಟ್ರಾರ್ಟ್ಡ್ನ್ನ್ ಬಿಕು	uban NS_U_uaan_1 NS-1	1. TAZU U. U. N.S-1	ಬವಣ ಬಸ್ತಿಬರುವಾಗ ಗಿತ್ತ	চক্র দুজ্জনুমুখ্য দক্ষ	ואפאן ויַממאטיַטַאַאט הממאט	ii D Urban 11_O_Uttern_2 2-2D	as: Streeth_Cl rectu G !	ראש נישפטעיע אינו השלט ע אינו ואפר ניפאר נישפארים אינו	ריאט ו'אַראַקעַעַאַראַן מאַריז מאַריז ראַין	UN U Parai UNIU_Brail 1861	UN U TRAME UNIQUEARTS UNIX	NS. U Uman NG.D.Udam_1 NS.:	NS U Ustern MS_U_Lottern_1 NS-1	NS U LESAM NS_U_USAM_1 NS-1	NS U Utter NS_U_Uttern_1 NS-1	ווו ט האדא טעיט איני שאין נייאין דייאין
Lifter Annel Giffe, for L/75 Read 2045 Tames tooking Clear Clear Farm	UNDER ILUINDEL 2-1U	ा ए एक्का १, एकका, १, १,	1 2 U Unberr_1 2-1U	מגים היסמים ה"מ וו	ניאיז נ־יְבְּשִבְּעַ־'מַ־'מַמְּמַ מַרְ מַּאָרָ מַ מַּאַר מַ מַּאַר מַ	יויס ז'ישטע'ט',ז השלט ע מ ז	טייס נישמענין: אפטר פ	1 " U Uban ILUChan_1 2-13	וויב ז''מאסאר''ז' אסטא ח א ז	a u utan Huulman, 2-10	NS U Lithen NS-1, NS-1	אין ויאט היים אין ויין איצין היים אין ויין איצין היים אין ויין איצין אין איניין איצין איניין איצין איניין איניין	tes U unten te <u>s U</u> uttem i 1822	NS U Literan NS. U. Chem., 1 NS:1	NS V Uncon 118_LL_Uhcan_1 118-1	ראנה האבים הארם מארם מארם מארם	್ 1 ಬಿಗ್ ೪ ಗಿ ಗಿಲ್ಲಡ್ನು ಬಿಕ್ಕು	NS U under NS. NS. NS.	1 NS U UPDA NS, U, UPDA 1 NS-1	ೇ ಆಗು ಆ ರವಣ: <i>ರಾ</i> <u>ಟ್ರಾನ್</u> ನ್ ಗಿರ್ವ	ואט נישמאַנעאט הטטט ט אט ניאט ניאט ניאט	אבין ויששאר האבים השבים אבין איבין	D Urban ILOLUtum_2 2:2D	1.2D	י טאבין איני האבין איני ריפארין איני	ריאטן ואַראַבאַרוּן אין פאבען מאיז	U Remail UNIU_Parmail UN61	UN_U_Transl_1 UA+:	U CHAN NG_D_UCAN_1 NS-:	U UTAN NS_ULTAN, NS-1	U Udam_t NS-t	U Untern 185_U_Untern_1 185-1	U Rath UNLU-Rath, UNV:
Rich Library Nazadathib, for LOS Road 2843 Blanck Thanks Achthol Lokhtyn Clear Shad Thanks Read Lokhtyn Clear Clark	UNDER ILUINDEL 2-1U	ा ए एक्का १, एकका, १, १,	טיים עיים ניקוחקאט אין וויפטו מיים פ	מגים היסמים ה"מ וו	UN_U_Tremes_1 UN-1	טויס המאט ניַראמאט ניַ 2יוט	טייס נישמענין: אפטר פ	n u Uhham II_U_Uchan_1 2-13	טייב זיישטאין עין וויסטע ט וויסטערע	a u utan Huulman, 2-10	NS U Lithen NS-1, NS-1	ואפין יישטחיין און אפיו	tes U unten te <u>s U</u> uttem i 1822	NS U Literan NS. U. Chem., 1 NS:1	NS V Uncon 118_LL_Uhcan_1 118-1	ראנה האבים הארם מארם מארם מארם	נאט נארמן נארטן אינע אינע אינע אינע אינע אינע אינע	NS U under NS. NS. NS.	NS U UPPER NS_U_UPPER_1 NS-1	uv ಆ ಲನಾಣ ಟಾ <u>, ∪ಲನಾಗ್ಯ ಇತ</u> ೀ	ואט נישמאַנעאט הטטט ט אט ניאט ניאט ניאט	ייט ער עאבער עאבער ער אבער ער אבער ער אבער ער אנער ער	ii D Urban 11_O_Uttern_2 2-2D	as: Streeth_Cl rectu G !	ראש נישפטעיע אינו השלט ע אינו ואפר ניפאר נישפארים אינו	ריאט ו'אַראַקעַעַאַראַן מאַריז מאַריז ראַין	UN U Parai UNIU_Brail 1861	UN U TRAME UNIQUEARTS UNIX	NS. U Uman NG.D.Udam_1 NS.:	NS U Ustern MS_U_Lottern_1 NS-1	NS U LESAM NS_U_USAM_1 NS-1	NS U Utter NS_U_Uttern_1 NS-1	ווו ט האדא טעיט איני שאין נייאין דייאין
Class for Evol Princes State S	1 B U Under 1920, March 1920,	ן א ה הספו הספון ז איון ניין הספון ז איון	1 2 U Unberr_1 2-1U	1 ו ט עלפים <u>אַ ט</u> עלפים 1 2-32	ניאיז נ־יְבְּשִבְּעַ־'מַ־'מַמְּמַ מַרְ מַּאָרָ מַ מַּאַר מַ מַּאַר מַ	יויס ז'ישטע'ט',ז השלט ע מ ז	יום טיים מיים ניים אינים מיים מיים מיים מיים מיים מיים מיים	1 " U Uban ILUChan_1 2-13	וויב ז''מאסאר''ז' אסטא ח א ז	1 5 U Ubben R.U.Uhban_1 2-1U	1 NS U Litten NS_U_Uzhan_1 NS-1	אין ויאט היים אין ויין איצין היים אין ויין איצין היים אין ויין איצין אין איניין איצין איניין איצין איניין איניין	1 AS U LANCO FEEL FEEL 1 NS-2	1 IS U Litera NS_U_Chem_1 NS-1	1 NS V Uncan 185,4_Uhban_1 185-1	1 LN U Raral LN LON LO Raral UNIVERSITY LONG	್ 1 ಬಿಗ್ ೪ ಗಿ ಗಿಲ್ಲಡ್ನು ಬಿಕ್ಕು	1. NS U Lead U. SN 1	1 NS U UPEN NS, U, UPEN 1	ೇ ಆಗು ಆ ರವಣ: <i>ರಾ</i> <u>ಟ್ರಾನ್</u> ನ್ ಗಿರ್ವ	ו איז ו מא ט איז ו	אבין ויששאר האבים השבים אבין איבין	2 ii D Urban 11_0_Ubban_2 2-25	2 1 D Urban (D_Urban),3 5-25	י באיז נישמאינעניי ט איז ו	ו האין אין האים אין ואין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין	י איט ו הבים טאָט ו	, ಬಿನಿ ಆ ಗಾಣಾಣಿ ಬಿಗ್ನಿಲ್ಲಿಗಣಾಪ್ತಿ ಬೀಸಿ:	1 NS D Litter NG_D_Littern_1 NSs:	tess t_math_U_ses math U est :	1 NS U Lebam NS_U_Uamm_1 NS-r	1 NS U Utten NS_U_Litem_1 NS-1	ין תון ע האדא עא <u>עילין</u> שאני
Charlet Etc. 1960 1964 1965 1965 1965 1965 1965 1965 1965 1965	GR723 1 2 U UNDER 11.U. UNDER	GR714 1 2 U USS 1,U,Usss_1 2.1U	States 1 1 1 Union E_U_Ubber_1 2:1U	SRS 1 II U LIMBAR FLUCKARINE 2-10	ಗಿಗಳು ಬ್ಲಿಟ್ ಬೆಟ್ಟ್ ಬ್ಲಿ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಬೀಸಿ ಗಿ	Sherd Norwe St. 1 U Utan, 1 2-1U	United I I U United I I U	isband Vay 1 1 U Urban 1 <u>1.01</u> .04en_1 2-13	nι∙2 Σ-αφυήπ'∥ uccon n π ι ა⊒ ακ⊋ αση	SSSS 1 8 U Uchan [LUJhang 2.40	GR700 1 NS U Libban NS_U_Ubban_1 NS-1	Witespland 1 km u unan 185, under	584 1 135 U LOODEN 18 <u>5,U,UTON</u> 1 1852	CRA44 1 NS U Litean NS_U_Uhhmm_1 NSS-1	Sod 1 NS U Uncorn 151, 12, 12, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13	GR714 1 ਪਿਲ U Rand ਪ੍ਰਾਪੁਲਾਜ਼ਾ ਪੁਲਮ	ಚಿಲುಗಳಿಂದ್ರ್ಯ 1 ಬಿಸ್ ೪೮ ಗಿಲ್ಲ ಬಿಸ್ಟಿಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿಸ್ಟ್ ಬಿಸ್ಟ್	GR773 1 NS U Urban NS_U_Ubban_1 NS-1	CR707 (notas River Dr. 1 NS U Ubzan NS_U_Ubzan_1 NS-1	CRASSAGARRAN BAST) 1 UN U CARA UNLULARANI NS:	1531 1_may_U,U mahu U NU 1 55788	S. Lead Crany i un un un Luzin mist	Stylent 2 ii D urban 11_0_Ubban_2 2:50	Physophytys 2 I D Linear LOLUnan,2 1:25	CRATE 1 LAN U UTEAN URLU, DORAN, 1 NSS 1	נאיז ו איז ח איז ו איז מאַרקערישרנו ואיז	1 ነ ነ የተነ የተነግ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ	Powerling Area t UNI_U_Instrue_1 UNI_U_Instrue_1 UNI_U_Instrue_1 UNI_U_Instrue_2 UNI_U_INSTRUE	י איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז אי	ದನಿಸಿಗಿ : ಗಿತ್ರಿ ಬಿಜ್ಜಾಗಿ ಗಿತ್ರಿಬ್ಬಿಟಣ್ಣಾಗಿ ಗಿತ್ರಿ	George Ave 1 NS U Libbam NS_U_Libmm_1 NS=1	CR-707 1 NS U Uttern NS_U_Lithern_1 NS-1	CONTR 1 UI U Rand UN'U-Ama_1 UN-1

Ages 15, 2014

WIG	920	8	3	nes	22.0	220	920	40	8	80	g	SOVIDA	560	8	5,0	83	0.24	83	60	520	053	833	20	0.01	1.13	\$	020	0.41	0.0	020	83	6:	916
Projected 2000 Deally Volume	4734	6020	5039	10029	122	88	37706	11015	21015	21125	26423	eD/VCI	1071	13267	843	5005	\$85	8300	11365	2537	10078	98	13649	12515	17280	35500	18885	15270	8233	3135	10234	502	CLEA CLEA CLEA CLEA CLEA CLEA CLEA CLEA
Growth Plate	066%	2450	2.90	2.50%	\$030 0	2660	1315	82038	301%	435%	3,30%	ACIVO!	18	100	\$100 p	80.00	2.87%	5 17%	2.03%	15	216%	3.03%	3.6%	0.50%	2000	1.15%	0.67%	2000	0.50%	; m;	9050	1,020	0.50%
Armus Model Growth Rate	2692	#150	3.00	2050	0,50%	5.56%	131%	630%	301%	435%	330%	EDVIDI	1,00%	1258	8 CE 3	5 125	257%	5 17%	2 (576	170%	2 14%	300%	3.10%	0.40%	0 56%	1.55%	267%	1,000	0348	103%	3,050	8000	5000
Model Growth Tata Jamusi Model (30 Years) Growth Rate	2274%	2002	20,02%	15 14%	17.23%	25.045	202.02	25000	\$0508	13057%	86.57%	SDVG	200%	38.55%	20492%	153.51%	96.13%	155 15%	80.40%	51.02%	64.21%	30.75%	3421%	12 77%	17.64%	34852	20 19%	254%	10 14%	30 75%	26.90%	000%	00008
Model No State Na AADT	1923	1 2	1522	8	1974	2003	l u	3250	37535	23135	1000		17,585	1990	456	5019	Sees		ADD!	ette	25	52	11542	1525	- ZUD								
Model 20110 PURDY	\$202	28	1850st	13051	Quest.	100	2007	807	157.0	1000	11,260 22		2772 25	825	323	5273	3164 58	207 52	21 875	20	4050 75	2037	5563 110	1350 152	10001	2001	12053 14404	13331 15251	135.0 15077	1723 2250	1702 2011	NA NA	NA NA
Aurtzal 2013 Helorical AADT Growth Rate (Linear	0.23%	021%	20:05	7.50	-0.68%	20075	-0.00%	2000	9000	.0.3155	0.00%	EWOS	-050%	0.45%	-0.27%	323%	0 11%	2015%	5000	0.22	0.25%	40.23%	-0.15%	401 c	20:0°	400%	2000	9000	1,000	20.15%	422%	-0.19%	-254%
2013 Audit		4,972	13,500	0556	11,005	2,500	2,736	4,073	11,500	2,712	14,007		8,440	10,244	2255	2,000	3201	3,375	6,591	2,457	6337	5225	5,002	11,026	14,921	12,034	16,040	14,205 0	5,736	2,455	8,239 .0	1,700	2,257
ANDT ANDT	SA 3,40	857,8 AZ	in the	25 9 63	11,105 10,777	2 250	263	2003. X	6 11,516	18 9,943	1984		3.457	10,501	3 2,486	3 2.107	3257	3,072	5774	2,418	6,362	5,113	2995	10.672	14.313	11,880	15201	13,522	200	2.03	7,800	27.7	2,728
ZP10 20 ANDT AP	3,437 3,664	4,051 4,544	13,722 13,684	2,475 8,435	11, 635,01	2.476 2.454	2718 2712	4018 3,524	11,45 11,50	10,004 10,216	11,260 11,144		11500 8,910	10, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 2	88 2300	53 2303	3,335	25 3,448	5: 7,226	74 2,459	n 6300	23.42	2 9,754	C50,01	14,745	3 11,736	15,503	13,310	5,559	2,000	8,530	1,764	2,303
2000	3500	4946	14,270 £	10,471 9	11,505 11	2,485 2	2,653	300	11,926 11	10,000 to	11,179 11		11.11	12,696 12,636	2777 2,188	2526 2233	338 3,91	350 325	7,243 6,75:	2555 2464	6,440 6,337	6238 8228	5,073 5,712	10,735 10,525	14,377 14,037	11552 11,553	14744 15,000	255 12,200	67 5330	28 2,883	0250	1,762	25
AADT TOA	3,752	4,531	14,725	4.69	13,412	2,835	2,571	3,971	11,454	9.843	70,907		10,500	12,319 1	2335	2772 2	3000	3,050 3	6,655 7,	2730 2	6524 6	5,416 6	5,787 5,	11,315 10	14,023 14	11.768 11	15,629 14	13,518 12,238	5,521 5,057	200 200	8,272 7,507	1648 1,016	2515 2850
2006 2007 ANDT ANDT	3,173 3,073	4561 3267	14,905 14,847	12.485 11,614	16,511 15,000	4 2738	27.50	3,946	14,009	35 11.30g	45 12.155		23 11,629	2 2,248	3 2753	2419	3 3 35	323	5 6,553	3,010	6,260	5612	E143	11,338	15,288	12,435	16,500	13,004	5811	2533	4,372	1.004	283
2000 Generalized 20 Service AV Copposity	4	3	14	ğ	9	2,40	27.2	3735	30 11,555	12,438	00 12648		11,623	13,333	2.500	26/8	3,380	3,878	6,555	3,197	7,493	6,107	6,415	11,659	45,938	2,739	188.0	13,514	6,030	2,676	2,653	137	318
LA STREET									2002	2600	22400																						
Generalizad Service Capacity	terco	16230	(6800	20771	OC771	005:	14500	6039	ж 252	4900	008x	33600	15820	15030	1000	889	24670	24420	16200	14800	17700	2000	2002	12540	15540	14200	27430	3900	15500	1530	15000	tsmto	15000
2048 Type									Closalt 4/In Dridge	Cases 44.n.Divided	Creat Anthritis																						
	5	1 -			,,	×	7	14	,	7		1	l s	1 21		×	h	29	5	В	В	ĸ	R	,,		_							
3)/ps	om I. Transport 24 Undwided	use L. Transitonsi 2-L. Undividad	n Undivided Non-Stat	issa i. 24.n Undvidad	ams 1. 24.n Umsvide	interrupted Runsi His 2-Lin Undwided	interrupted Rural Phy 24th Undivided	rtempted Rand Mix 2-Ln Undvided	mailt. Ziln Umirkide	mail 24.michabrae	ms it. 24.n Underde	mes! 44.n Divided	Undwided Non-Sta	Undivided Non-Str	Zenupted Runa Hw Z-Ln Undwided	tempted Rural Ph 2-Ln Undwided	ssional 24n Unio Amdivided Stow	ational 24.n Unin Drobvided Flow	ol. Transitoral 2 Understad	sii. 24n Undwid	at 24.n Undwid	i Chamair Alhadivida Filow	Uninter Mindivide Flav	selt ZinDindo	mit 24nChided	11 2-in Undvider	at 420 Divided	al. 4-Ly Dwdod	ili 24n Undvided	Inclinided Non-State	T 24.n Undvided	individed Non-Sus	CANDED NOT SEE
ZNO Road Steed Steed	Cless I: Trempored 2-Ur Undwided	Chast. Transitusi 2-Ln Undvided	2-Ln Undwided Non-State	Ches I. 24n Undvioled	Chart 24nthrowded	Uninterrupted Rural Hwy. 2-km Undwaled	Uninterupted Rural Hwy 24.n Undevided	Unitterrupted Renal Awy. 2-Ln Understeed	2-2D Cassilt 2-Ln Underland	3-2D Good 2-inthroted	2-20 Class R. 24.n Underded	Chest 44.n Dwised	2-Ln Undwided Non-Stell	22sh Undivided Non-Stea	Unisterrupted Russ Hwy 2-Ln Undwided	Uninterrupted Rural Hwy. 2-Ln Undwided	Translusme 24n Unisher Alméhódod Slow	Trensitional 24th Unimer Christiand Flow	Care I. Transitoral 2-Ln Undvided	Gass II. 24.n Undvided	Case 1: 2-La Undivided	24.0 להשחוז הניבל הוא הוא הוא להיה להיה להיה להיה להיה להיה להיה להי	2-Ln Uninter Mindividad Plaw	Case It. 24th Director	Cless it 24n Chidod	Class !! 2-in Undivided	Class & Also Divided	Class I. 4-Un Dwided	Cleas II. 24n Undviced	2-Ln Unchyded Nan-State	Class II 24.n Undivided	2-La Undwidged Non-State	22n Undvided New State
Road ZDMC Chars Shord Chars Chars	f-1U Chap I Transports 24 Undvised	1-1U Class I. Transitons 2-U	NS-1 2-Ln Undivided Non-Stel	1:1U Cless I. 24.n Undvided	5-1U Class 1, 2-Ln Undwide	UNV1 Universated Rural Na 24n Undivided	Unidental Paral Pin 24.n Underdad	UN: Uniterrupted Remai Av. 2-Ln Undevided	1			1470 Chee! 44,n Division	NS-1 24.n Undwided Non-Sta	NG-1 24-1 Undivided Non-Str	USA:1 Uniterrupted Runs Hw 2-Lin Undvided	Links Uniterrupted Rural An 2-La Unalividas	USA-1 Temblemed 24th Union Androded Stow	ייטן אפראקטבען איז זייטן ארייטן איז	1-1U Cess I. Transitous 2 Undvided	2-1U Gassii, 24n Underlo	1-1U Creet' 2-in Undwid	LALT 24.5 Urings Altasodd Flew	UNLS RENUMBER WINDOWS	2-1D Good 2-in Dirido	2-1D Class It 24n Drided	2-1U Chan II 2-in Undvider	2-2D Class tt 4-th Divided	1-2D Class I. 4-Ln Dwdyd	2-1U Clean II: 2-Ln Undwitted	NS-1 2-In Undwided Non-State	24U Case II 2An Undvider	NS-1 2-La Undwided Non-Stat	NS-1 243 Urdydd Norso
Story Control				-	1				252	ğ	250		ь																				
Road ZDMC Chars Shord Chars Chars	£10.	3;	NS-1	1:10	ነተህ	GA41	UN-t	UN:1	2:10 2:20	2-tU 3-2D	240 250	1430	25	ğ	1487	1441	CAP.1	1400	nt-s	2.10	Ur-s	LN-1	ניאט ז'יששי'ז מאיז	୍ର ଜଣ୍ଡି ଓଡ଼ିଆ	1_0_Uttor_1 2:10	ಸ್ತೆ <u>ರ್</u> ವಿಚರಣ್ಣಾಗ ನೀಲ	్రైగణమైన పివరి	02:4 2 [*] WRHTO]	ಸ್ತರ್ವಿ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ	NS_U_Unm_1 NS-1	מויע ו־משמח"מ"ז	⊁ক। <u>শেকমামুহ</u> ণ	หรับ ก _{ักสกับ เพื่อ} ง
Eric Uthan Road such for Loss Road 2000 Transit Transit Losiury Chars Chars Chars Direct.	Transet 1_U_Transel_1 f-1U	1,U_Transt_1 1-1U	LU Voert NS-1	וויו נ"ממענת"ון	n⊁-s 1 <u>_eastu_u</u> ;	ಬಳ್ಳು ನಿನಾತ್ಮಗಳು	เพายาสนา เพง	134 <u>7 Pars</u> 1	ರಚಿತೆ ಗು-๕ ಕ್ಷೇಹಸ್ತಶ್ಚ್	1 <u>1.01.00000</u> 3 2-110 3-20	ดระ ณะ ร ^{ิเคอส} ากิล	02:4 2 mays (1)	NS_U_Uttan_1 NS-1	NS_U_Uther_t NS-t	UNU_Pare_1 Unit	শের <u>শিহ্মদূর্</u> যাধ্য	നൂച്ചുകള് ശേഖ	UNLUTTERAL! UN-1	נענטן יישיינען	ಗೃಲ್ಲಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಲ	וביו ו־שמנותו	UNIQUES DANS	UN-1	ot.	240	2:10	220	8	2:30	NS-1	240	ž2	NS.
Etc Uthan Road such fee Loss Road 2000 [151.00] Fee Loss Road Diego Class Clas	Transet 1_U_Transel_1 f-1U	U Transi LU_Transi 1-1U	LU Voert NS-1	טיי: יבישהטיבעיין המסטר ט	U)- ניבאט <u>רט.</u> י השלט ע	ואה נאבים הות אייז היים אייז	ט האבין מערע איינו מארט איינו	UN: 1 - Sered Lay Lay 1	מטיל הזויל ו־שבאח־ה־זון שבאח מ	טיים מיים איים איים מיים מיים מיים מיים מ	מפיל חויל ג'ישעח'ה'וו עשבה 2 ה	02:4 2 mays (1)	ייבאין ויבארוע"ט"פאר הפאנט ט	U Umban NS_U_Uhham_t NS>+	U Rama UW_U Baral_1 UR-1	ויאת ו"ברש"ה'או בבש ח	ರ ಗಣಗಾ ಬಗ್ತಲ್ಪಗಾಣ್ಣಾಗ ಅಸಿಕಿಕ	ייים דומשון האין דומשון האיז אין האיז	טוי: יבושתבען בייבד ט	טויס ווַטַטַטסייַו זיוט	יים השט התתרהיים היים היים היים היים היים היים היים	ואים נישאר הולה'תעמים האיז	ט נישה שונים שהיי נישהיי	D נייבאנים וריבאנים אולאני	טיים ו_המאט_ט_וו המאט ט	ಸ್ತೆ <u>ರ್</u> ವಿಚರಣ್ಣಾಗ ನೀಲ	్రైగణమైన పివరి	02:4 2 [*] WRHTO]	ಸ್ತರ್ವಿ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ	NS_U_Unm_1 NS-1	מויע ו־משמח"מ"ז	⊁ক। <u>শেকমামুহ</u> ণ	หรับ ก _{ักสกับ เพื่อ} ง
Eric Uthan Road such for Loss Road 2000 Transit Transit Losiury Chars Chars Chars Direct.	Transet 1_U_Transel_1 f-1U	Transl LU_Transl_1 +:10	ו-או נישטעיען השלט האינו	טויז וַתַּמְמַרְנֵטָן הממט	טו-: וַרְישִאַתְיַטַ: ישְּטָּטִ	মিল গোড়মিলরু জন্য	Resi UNLU-Result UN-1	Seed URLUSING 1 US.1	ರಲಿ ೧೯೯೭ ೯೯೯೩೩೭೬೫ ೯೬೩೪ ಕ	2 Uten 1 <u>1.U</u> .Usen 3 2-1U 3-2D	מפט חויל ג'ישעח'ח'וו עשער 2	OC+) 2 TORAN (T) WELL	Utten NS_U_Utten_1 NS-1	Under NS_U_Under_1 NS-1	Rand UNCO Parel 1 USE 1	শের শিক্তনিশা অন্ত	ייים ויישייים עיים דמסדד	Trans UNLUTransis UNI	ರಣ: ೧೨೯೯೮೭೮	ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಗ್ವಿಲ್ಪರ್ವಾಗೆ ನೀರ	הביו ו"אשעה"ה"ו עשבה	נאסט האסטרן האסטרן	הלביי שאיים שאיים מאניז	II D Uthan ILD, Charg_1 2-1D	מויפ ו_הסלט_מן: הסלט	∪ದಿಯ ಸ್ತಿದ್ದಿಯೋಗ್ತಾ ನೀಚ	11 D Ucan 11_0_Ucan_2 2:20	C21 S_mestu_Q_1 nestu G 1	יים משמע מ"ח"משלין ציום מ	NS U ಬಹುಬ್ಬಿಟ್ಟ್ ಗಾರ್ಟ್ U 201	n u usan ("Luban_1 2-10	איבא ג'ישטח'ת'אַנוּו וופטח ה אָנוּ	NS U unam NS.U.,Untra_1 NS-1
Glassics FrO than Read such for 100 Read 200 Each heat part, Each Read (200 Class Cone) Direct (milet), Each Read (200 Class Cone) Used (19), (19), (19), (19)) ; U Transt_1 L_Transt_1 6-1U	1 1 U Transt LU_Transt_1 :- 1U	ו י ו על עליבירין אינון על על יו וי ו	1 I U Uncan LuLuthan,1 1:1U	Un-st 1_maths_U_1; maths U I I	יאיט ניאיט הערת איים האר אייז :	ו מאו ח איים מולה איים ואייז מאר מיים ו	ין האין השבק האיה איים ואיה ן מאיז אייז	מפיני הוייב ובישמאדים וו משמה ב ה וו וו	1 8 U 2 Uten 11,U_Uten 3 2-1U 3-2D	दर्श्य तान्द्र र _{ाज्यम्} त्रतीत पद्मत है छ ॥ ।	1 נ ס (אבארו על) 1,00	1 NS U URBEN NS_U_Urben_1 NS-1	1 NS U Unbarn 1 NS_U_Uhharn_1 NG-1	1 UN U Rama UNLU-Bazal,1 USH-1	ୀ ଜଣ । ନଳୀ ଅଧୁନ୍ଦିକଥିବା ଜଣ	ೇಬಿಸಿ ೮ ಗಣಮ ಬಿನ್ನಿರ್ವಹ್ತ್ಯಾಗಿ ಬಿಳಿ⊦ೀ	1 UN U Temps UNLy,Temps(1 UN-1	יויי ז מייד עט זיידי ניין זייני	1 ಬಿ ಬಿ ಬಿಡುಗಳು ಬೈಲ್ತದಿರನ್ನಾಗ ನೀರ	יים משנה השנה מיין ויים מיין מיין מיין מיין מיין מיין מיין מ	י טא ט טאאט טעעער ז טאאז נאנער ז טאאז ז טאאז ז	י נא ט נאשט נאני אין נארז מאט נ	ין זו D נאסטר וויבקרטיבער פינה	1 11 D Lran 1,0,1,1 2:19	1 H D Unban LDLUthan 2.1U	2 N D Uran II_D,Uran_2 2:20	. 2 1 D Urban (O Urban 2 12D	יויים ער טישיר ערטירעערען איזער טישיר ז	1 NS U Under 183_U.Cran_1 NS-1	1 % U U U WASHI, U, U %	1 NS U හතා (දුලරාව, ලදා) අතර	1 NS U unau NS.L.Utmp.1 NS-1
Eric Uthan Road such for Loss Road 2000 Transit Transit Losiury Chars Chars Chars Direct.	Transet 1_U_Transel_1 f-1U	1 U Tremes LULTerrest 1-1U	י אפאין אין יישטען אין יישטער זיין אפאן ו	יויז ז'יופטור''ח'ן ושטח ח ו	Under Leader U. I	יאים ו"שיש"ט איז הייבע ה אוז אוז מאיז	מא ט איים מערט איים מארט מארט מארט	ነነ ነ አን ነ አን ነ ነላ ነ ነላ ነ ነላ ነ	ರಲೀ ಗು-ಜ ಗೌಳವಾಗೌಗಿ ಅವರ ಜಿ ಗಿ ೫	t u 2 utan !!"U.thenn, s 2:0 3:20	מפיל חוים ג'ישעח'ח'ון עשפה צ ח וו	0 ; c_metu_Q; nethu 0 ;	ריפא ו"משטח"ח"פא משטח ח פאז	U Umban NS_U_Uhham_t NS>+	יאי ט איט ראיטן האיז מוייז מייז	יאת האפיקראיה בשצ ה איז	UN U ಗಣಗಾಗ ರಸ್ತರ್ವವಾಲ್ನ≀ ಬ∿≀	ייש נין אויטן אייטן דישיאר טייטן נאייז נאייז נאייז אויט	i u tama ಬ್ರಸ್ತಗಾಚ್ನೇ :-เบ	ಚಿತ್ರ ಬಿಡುವ ಗ್ರಿಲಿಯನ್ನು ನೀರ	ו ט עמטערן אינער ניינער	ראים ג'ישקאר'ווא שפעת מ את מארו	נאט וישטרו האט מאט מאט מאט מאט מאט מאט מאט מאט מאט מ	II D Uthan ILD, Charg_1 2-1D	11 D LYDAN 1 <u>1.D.L</u> UMDA_1 2-1D	טיא ייַם אמאט פֿ טינט פֿ טינט פֿ	11 D Ucan 11_0_Ucan_2 2:20	C21 S_mestu_Q_1 nestu G 1	יים משמע מ"ח"משלין ציום מ	NS U ಬಹುಬ್ಬಿಟ್ಟ್ ಗಾರ್ಟ್ U 201	n u usan ("Luban_1 2-10	איבא ג'ישטח'ת'אַנוּו וופטח ה אָנוּ	NS U unam NS.U.,Untra_1 NS-1
Glassics Fro Fro Glassics Fro Fro Glassics Fro Glassics Glass) ; U Transt_1 L_Transt_1 6-1U	1 1 U Transt LU_Transt_1 :- 1U	ו י ו על עליבירין אינון על על יו וי ו	1 I U Uncan LuLuthan,1 1:1U	Un-st 1_maths_U_1; maths U I I	יאיט ניאיט הערת איים האר אייז :	ו מאו ח איים מולה איים ואייז מאר מיים ו	ין האין השבק האיה איים ואיה ן מאיז אייז	מפיני הוייב ובישמאדים וו משמה ב ה וו וו	1 8 U 2 Uten 11,U_Uten 3 2-1U 3-2D	दर्श्य तान्द्र र _{ाज्यम्} त्रतीत पद्मत है छ ॥ ।	Mapp Rd SP-77 2 i C Urban U_D_Urban_2 1-420	1 NS U URBEN NS_U_Urben_1 NS-1	1 NS U Unbarn 1 NS_U_Uhharn_1 NG-1	1 UN U Rama UNLU-Bazal,1 USH-1	ୀ ଜଣ । ନଳୀ ଅଧୁନ୍ଦିକଥିବା ଜଣ	ೇಬಿಸಿ ೮ ಗಣಮ ಬಿನ್ನಿರ್ವಹ್ತ್ಯಾಗಿ ಬಿಳಿ⊦ೀ	1 UN U Temps UNLy,Temps(1 UN-1	יויי ז מייד עט זיידי ניין זייני	1 ಬಿ ಬಿ ಬಿಡುಗಳು ಬೈಲ್ತದಿರನ್ನಾಗ ನೀರ	יים משנה השנה מיין ויים מיין מיין מיין מיין מיין מיין מיין מ	י טא ט טאאט טעעער ז טאאז נאנער ז טאאז ז טאאז ז	ם סאויים ו נאי ט נאביי נאנט נאביין נאניז	ין זו D נאסטר וויבקרטיבער פינה	1 11 D Lran 1,0,1,1 2:19	1 H D Unban LDLUthan 2.1U	2 N D Uran II_D,Uran_2 2:20	. 2 1 D Urban (O Urban 2 12D	יויים ער טישיר ערטירעערען איזער טישיר ז	1 NS U Under 183_U.Cran_1 NS-1	1 % U U U WASHI, U, U %	1 NS U හතා (දුලරාව, ලදා) අතර	1 NS U unau NS.L.Utmp.1 NS-1
Classics Fig. Classics	Successive to transity kill	U.: 'Immail' I' I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	CRATE 1 U CHANALLY NEST	טויי ג'שמערקען המאט ט ו ז אזקאפן	ا ا تا	ואו ני ארים איים וויע איים אייני ואיים אייני ואיים אייני ואיים אייני	For Brown Rena ULU RenaL1 LIN-1	१५३ । तम प्राप्त अन्य प्राप्तिजन्द्रा प्रथम	מפאז זייר ניששת אין וויי משטח אינו אינו גיסס	איז	בפיל הזיים ג'ששעה ההוא משבה מ ה וו האומשה באמשה	Shire 2 i C Utten LD_LUtten_2 1450	PEZRASS 1 765 U URBAN NS_U_URBAG_1 NS-1	CRATTING Longer Seach Silve) 1 NS U Urban NS_U_Urban_1 NG-1	האמן נאמן איני המארונקטרה Geeritgo היו איני ז' איני ה' פארונקטרים היים איני ה' ה' פארונקטרים היים איני ה' ה'	ויאח ו איז איז שיש מאלו האיז איז פישלו	ರಾನ್ಯ ! ಆಗಿ ೮ ಗಣನಾ ಬಗ್ಗಲ್ಪ್ ಬಳು:	Peterpleania Ano 1 LM U Transt UNLU_Transt_1 LNs.1	Permytanishme Stite 1 1 Taret LyTreut_1 5:10	CS203 1 2 U Uten 1 <u>1,Uten_1</u> 2-1U	CPATALL LUCKAR I I UKAN LUCKAR	Hedage Bird : UN U Umban UN ULLYfann 1 UN UL	Hedday Bid Cove Kd 1 ts V trian UNLY though the s	Selemb Rd 1 II D Libbon ILD, URbon_1 2-1D	St. Lycatulolly nexts O II t todatulolly nexts	Jedenanist 1 II B Udan ILOLAtan_1 2-tU	irdan ය II D Uran II_D_Urang 2 බව		ರ್ಷಣಾಜ 1 ಚಿ. ಆಯಾಗ ಬ್ರಹಿನ್ನು ನೀರ	Generalism is us user insulfarentia Nest	. Wingst End 1 % U United 1 2-510	איבט ז''הסטח''לפון נושטח ח פאו נ טנינשב	Penning (NS U unam NSU, Unam, 1 NS-1

AND AT THE

8	0.74	0.75	1.7	4.5	4.	8	និ	9	030	80	88	5	8	23	S	110	8	128	S	0.76	60	125	8	g g	660	8	80	0.74	580	0.0	8	82.0
Projected 2040 Cally Foltone	44154	7730	800	85723	07173	63043	250	19	72450	57273	7192	27575	100	10171	1230	\$150	16507	23422	2554	30274	32419	43805	31779	20152	2002	23428	1650	73357	22150	5400	£	8
Growth Rate	0.75%	0.96%	0.90%	200	0.05%	22.0	2500	5000	0.02%	053%	1.0%	18	1 92%	200%	1412	ž.	100	138	2000	0.19%	5000	9050	2000	202	1.00	100%	1 06%	4590	1 12%	20274	2003	2008
Annual Redal Grewth Reto	0.73%	9350	0.96%	0.05%	202%	077%	0.55%	0.50%	067%	0.53%	1 13%	1.855	1,365	280%	1415	1273	1 20%	1 95%	3.70%	0 19%	0.25%	C37%	\$600-	1000	1.30%	100%	105%	165%	1.12%	287%	1383	0 42%
Model Growth Rate (30 Years)	22 03%	23.745	28.70%	19 55%	18.50%	21.49%	17.00%	15.15%	18.55%	15 24%	20.00	20 50%	50,71%	23 25 25	4224%	36.67%	87.89%	50.00%	210015	5.6%	7.36%	41.15	-2 70%	7.65%	25.03%	20 83%	31 83%	1261%	33.06%	26.22%	40 17%	12 CA
Modes 2040 AAUT	37195	15831	10556	87000	92000	58.55	00000	2003	85 161 161	73657	4418	825	35.52	1925	1020	8	0110	73501	1633	32078	36555	150021	818	1 200	1 198	21812	1385	23000	21142	1 28	l per	8
Model State	30479	20175	31513	8778	527.45	53679	\$636	51173	2000	871	333	513	375	3152	5555	ğ	82	:4730	82	20817	34000			7 15912		16789 2	10211	24274 2	15815 2	3302	12000	PG.
Access Massackers Coronell Rain (Linear Property Rain Property Rain (Linear Property Rain Property Rain Property Rain Rain Rain Rain Rain Rain Rain Rain	861.7	26000	2,000	8000	2115	200	-0 16%	-0.15%	4015%	-0 18%	-2.00%	4150	03:55	8150	4000	0.20%	-0.20%	0.16%	-0.05%	2010	-0.12%	4115	4300	*SEC -	2110	9,050	2000	40.16%	0 13%	-027%	2555	-0.36%
ZOIS TOWN	35,847	38,667	46.37	50,335	57,104	2,835	3366	55,570	2007	25,573	5,573	603				6.604	9,020	15,302	15 500	28,954	28.50			25 E		18,953	12673	25,124	24,677	1,858	3,13	2,735
T AND	37,003	35,550	D 48.340	00 S2,643	3 57,417	8 52 EH	5 52.776	2 55,234	8 2002	5 60,445	2, 23	6,304	925.6	9,505	8,023	5,925	1276	15,357	19.322	22,520	31,523	44.026	38,624	E E	23,638	16,552	1267	25,52	20,00	1,727	2,975	2,650
ZOTO TENT ANDT ANDT	37,500	35,403	38 Æt0	24 51,130	57,073	959°1G	12 53,459	220,022	857.CD 60	236.03	5,372	5,274	02.6 C	3 9,238	3 8373	5 8.778	0,540	5 15.634	670,01 7	7 23 119	3 30,021			24,743		15.668	12,754	25,915	24,115	1,587	2504	2863
2005 20 ANOT AN	37,543 37,927	35,000 36,108	44,700 45,538	51.573 62,724	56,339 \$7,038	52,700 54,350	54,502 55,912	SEC.78 CE	735 64,839	38: e2,e29	74 5,576	73 6,660	2,843	14 2,843	B B B B	N 6.125	367,6 67	53.53.16	712.01 16	30 38,64F	30,403		- 1	2 2 2		5 5,336	3 12.547	25,403	5 25.113	1,731	3160	262
2025 SA TOMA	32,011 37.	36,165 35,	5,300 44	52,870 St	56,154 56,	ಶ್ವಶಗ ಜ್ಞ	55,600 54,	56,562 56,330	61.018 63.738	60,447 60,683	5,759 4,474	£070 7,373	8,962 0,914	8.962 9,514	304 9,643	5,004 6,353	19,223 9,679	14,003 15,608	18524 19594	29,148 29,030	29,018 29,213	- 1	27,235 25,363	the second second	3	12,551 M,145	11,020 11 678	25.726 24,651	22,000 22,018	1,504 1,523	2,809 2,603	267 250
A PA	40,175	38,000	45,000	54.622	54255	54303	57,758	60000	8 80	57,587	7,307	6,650	10,000	10,536	11,022	6,514	11,001	14,650 1,	18588 18	31,514 2	34050 23	1	_ !	10000	1	13.659 12	13,002 11	27.345 25	2 192	2045 1,	3717 2	3222
MASS A	42,025	36,504	47,958	55,387	65,617	54,134	56,904	20,40	80,833	55,783	amo	8,743	11,022	20,11	11,097	7,682	70,650	14,845	30243	30,656	30,949	1	28,975		- 1	13531	12,551	38.144	25.789	2374	473	2,433
ZOUT Contembrate Service Capacity				i						ï						038		wi										-				
Generalized Service Capacity	25600	00005	5000	2000	2000	23000	2500	20900	80100	80100	cors	5400	14400	6530	0000	17300	24400	18285	22600	39000	2000	23800	Notice of the last	TOTAL STATE	32430	33930	24200	00950	3000	14000	14500	24400
addL awar																Tramstons) 4-Ln Unimas Æbridad Flore																
gg	Cass I. Gun Omded	Cleas P. G.Lin Divided	Clamii 64.n Divided	Cars II' 64.n Christed	Cass 6-th Ovided	Case t 64.n Divided	Come 1 64n Divided	Class: 64s Divided	Case 1 Bun Divided	Class I: Elin Divided	Unitempted Runs Hey. 24th Understed	Uninterrupted Runs Hwy 24th Undwided	Cless (Transdored 2-Ur Divisio	Class II. Transitional 6:Ln Divided	Transtonal 4-Ln Under Chidded Flow	Transferral 24th Unimer Abraivised Flow	Paresbonal 2-Ln Uninter Abdivided Flow	Cami PinDvided	Cam) 4th Divided	Cleas a Lan Divided	Cara 1 4.En Divided	Class 1. 4Un Divided	Care I. Ach Caraca	Ceres - 4-10 Divided	Cheet II. 64th Divided	Case il 44n Dvidad	2-Ln Uninter Anderded Flow	Count 4 Ln Darded	Cass 44n Dwded	Chrismophic Rusi Phy. 24n Undwided	Uninterruphed Runsi Hwy. 24.n Undwoled	Transfoosi 24.5 Univier Abdoviled Floor
Rose d Clara									Ĭ	Ü	5	5	ð	ď	ā	UN-2 Tra	'n.	0		0				3 8	8	8	35	8	8	B	- Ga	Tar.
78 Road Cless	ŝ	9	9	8	9	9	8	8	õ	Q.	1480	CN-1	1:10	2/20	CN-2	ä	145	tro G	5	4:20	ğ	9	9 5	9 8	2.20	2.20	145	ė,	9	š	1480	2
3			2	e,		- 1					-	٠,	٠.	5	3	1	-	- 1	.	9	3	9 .				1 ~		1	ŧ	۳.	W.V. Reme_1	טאנע זמשנין
Rand elith, for LOS leolup	1.0 Urban 3	ಲ್ಲಿಯಾಗು	∞ ୋଲକ୍ଷା <u>ସ୍ଥା</u>	1,D Litter 3 2	(Dutten 3	1_D_U0000_3	(_O_Utrban_3	(_D_Unhan_3	L.D.Urban_4	LD_Unber_4	ויבייש"ו	UN U Para 1	1. U. Transil. 1	N.D.Tennel_3	UN_D_Transt_2	UN D_Transt_1	UN U Treat_1	(Dutter)	[Duth]	LO Union 2	(D_Utten_2	[D_U0000_2	C man C	1.D.Urban 2	C. C. Uther J	1. D. Urban ?	IN C. Uten, 1	S. manu Q.	(,D_unbar,2	UN U. Rent. 1	กัพ	n n
Uther Read eithe. f Trendt bookup	Unen (Durbang			"	ಬಿಯಾ (ದ್ರಬನ್ನು	Utan I_D_Utan_3	Unam (_O_urban_3	Umm (_D_Ummu_3	uren LD_uren_4	umu i_D_uman_	Rusi un U Para	Rama UNIU Paran	Trans! 1_U_Trans!	Terrait ILD_Terrait	Transf UN_D_Trans	Torth UND Transl	Transk UNIV. Transk	Under [D_Under]	Utan (LE Utan)	Uthan LO Uthan		mon [0] man				Urber II D Urber	Under UNICEUS	Uman 1,0,Uman,2	Credit (2) rectu	Real UNIVERSI	Para UNLU	Tare M.C.
Evo Lanuege Uthun (#Lon Trans) Sech Paral Open	Unter	್ರಹಣ್ಣು ೨	୍ଲେକ୍ଷର ଦୁଏ	1,D_Unter_3 ?																												
Evo Lanuege Uthun (#Lon Trans) Sech Paral Open	Unter	ರ್ಷ ಚಿನ್ನಾರಿ	್ಷಣಗಲ್ಪಡ್ಡಿ ಗಿಜಗಳು ರ	D Uttern 1, D_Listern, 3	D Utban	D utan	D Uther	c (the	o com	o unan	U Rarel	U Remail	U Trans:	D Texast	D Transit	U 2 Tand	U Transt	c Critical	o utta	D Utber	D Urban	o Cutom	months of the second) ii	nedi nedi		U Utan			U Reni		
Chars for Evc then to the total for Langue 10th Trend! (#1.8m Trend! #1.8m) (#1.8m Rend! #1.8m) (#1.8m) (#1.8m)	Unter	දැයෙවැ. යෙව	E_matsu_Q_ii nachu	ಲಗೆಜ್ ಸ್ತಿ <u>ದಿ</u> ಟಗೆಜ್ನಾ ?	Utban	Utton	Uthen	eres)	ett.	Urbern	Rusi	UN U Rama	1 U Trems!	S D Texast	UN D Transit	נא נו פ למינים	UN U Trump	ndtu c 1	neeth G !	I D Liber	1 D Urban	orden control	randi.	1	saun o s	n O Urben	UN U Utan) D Ultan	1 D Upper	R	UNY U Pared	un u Tanat
Evo Lanuege Uthun (#Lon Trans) Sech Paral Open	tech C t	್ರೊಬ್ಬುಲ್ಟಿ ಣಜಬ ೦ !	ತೆ ೫ ರಿ ರೀಜ್ ೫ <u>.ರಿ.ಆರ್</u> ಟ್ನ	3 ಗ D ಉರಣಗ ಪ್ರದ್ಯಗಣವನ್ನ	I D Utom	i D Uttan	1 D Uthers	स्त्रक्षी द ।	eacht. O 1 4	, 4 1 D Uben	t Unit U Razel	1 UN U Banal	U Trans:	Terrest O 8 1	D Transit	T GN U 2 Teared	U Transt	t t o utben	o utta	I D Liber	1 D Urban	o Cutom	2 1 5 1/6m		HAND G II	natu C	U Utan	2 1 D Ultion	D Chinas	U Reni	D. Paras	U Transit
Chars for Evc them to the them to the them them them them them them the them them	tach C t	್ರೊಬ್ಬುಲ್ಟಿ ಣಜಬ ೦ !	i D Wash I_D_uthan_a	N D Urber 3_D Lither_3 2	I D Utom	i D Uttan	1 D Uthers	स्त्रक्षी द ।	o t	I D Utber	Usi U Razal	UN U Rama	1 U Trems!	S D Texast	UN D Transit	נא נו פ למינים	UN U Trump	ndtu c 1	2 1 D Uton	N 2 I D Liber	1 2 1 D Urban	orden control	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		2 is D	n O Urben	UN U Utan) D Ultan	1 D Upper	U Reni	UNY U Pared	un u Tanat
Chies for Rec Stare Ves Lineign tithen Esch bookup Div (912ers Teach Direct Lineign Stare Teach Start Name 8. NAS	o i o	ತ್ತೀರ ಜನಸ್ತು	ತೆ ೫ ರಿ ರೀಜ್ ೫ <u>.ರಿ.ಆರ್</u> ಟ್ನ	3 ಗ D ಉರಣಗ ಪ್ರದ್ಯಗಣವನ್ನ	3 i D Uten	3 i D Udan	3 1 D Uther	محصل و ا د	eacht. O 1 4	, 4 1 D Uben	t Unit U Razel	1 UN U Banal	t t U Tramat	Terrest O 8 1	2 UN D Transet	T GN U 2 Teared	CAPA (Crus) 1 UN U Trans	t t o utben	pin GR-713 2 1 D Utteri	Mathematic Avvy 2 I D Lithern	Napp Rd 2 1 D Litters	2 i D Whan	Sed Martiness Entereins 2 1 c 1 15mms	n 590.5 2 1 D Urban	GEANA Z # D UNDER	2 n D Urban	t UN U Usan	2 1 D Ultion	2 1 D Utan	1 UN U REI	t CN U	: UN U TANK
Slaw Charfet Sec them to them to them to them to them to them to the towns of sections of sections the test of the	C to the state of	್ಪಡಿಸಬ್ವ <u>ರ,</u> ಜಜನು ೦ ! ೭ ತಿಗಿನನಿ	Palm Cty Fig. 2 II D Urban 1C_Libers_3	Joan Jafferson Wy 3 II D Utten 1 <u>1.D Lithon 3</u> 2	West End 3 i D Utban	Baker Rd 3 i D Uhtan	9712 3 1 D Uther	SR4722 3 1 G SR4722	Westmoneland (8vd 4 5 D technology	Silutain County 4 I D Urban	Fot Grown Fig. 1. Unit U Ruzel	CR-UCO (Altoynomist) 1 UN U Ranal	Von Burect 1 i U Team!	GR-726 (citrus) ((D Terrest	SP-70 (Kenner) 2 UN D Travet	Pelm Breach to U 2 Tarest	CAPA (Crus) 1 UN U Trans	Fondula Tumpine 1 1 D Unban	Flockbillungida GR-713 2 i D Urban	CR773 HADDOORN 2 I D Libber	Mathedra Avenue Avenue 2 I D Litean	SEATS 2 1 D UNION	Willest Syd Methers February 2 1 r. 1 1960 m	Marteny Edvicación SS-5 2 i D uban	SAZ GRAIN 2 II D UNN	SRAtA 2 11 D Uhan	SPANA 1 UNU URBN	Green River Plany 2 1 D Uttan	GR723 2 1 D Union	SR4710 1 UN U REEL	CR-708 1 UN U Paral	ט אט : אסראסאורגאס

Transfer	8	- 1		3 8	, R	8	8	150	1 15	0.74	900	5	9		3 5	8 8		8 8	3 8	950	78.0	8		: :	3	e e	281	5 0
	Projected Doto Daily			000	615	37.03	35510	200	2550	2903	2	686	100			19794	3	į į	1385	1230	5000	7600	***************************************					geo.
	Growth Rate	7.2186	1500	103	385	1854	200%	200	101	100	2250	30	0.74%	2 1015	1	823	3006	116		16.25	0.29%	1034						
	Annual Model Growth Rate	0.2145	0.000	103	155%	165%	300%	131%	: 61%	169	2000	1018	074%	2355	1,00%	122%	ame.	3176	223%	9000	0.20%	1,02%	75.4	1112	ı	1	1	
	Model Growth Rate (30 Years)	21.22%	2571%	0.155	46 40%	49562	50.57%	1933	48.29%	44.45%	27.86%	30.28%	22 14%	857%	22.51%	36.43%	10000	8000	%25.93	-24 80%	8538	30.50%	2008	mass			200	
	Model as 2045 AADT	1327.5	2722	2000	50801	25125	350	1000	2002	Case	360%	265	1000	1 29	towar 1	2002	3005	1	14190	1204	85	State	77809	9996				
	Moder Antra		enti	5	34731	75527	2330	29425		21925	11553	3563	2513	225		888	22.5		555	16020 1	5277	S 00025	7 50315	200				
	Average Historical Geomith Rede (Linear	Repression)	-0.12%	1300	2000	200	004%	-0.31%	0:4%	5500	0 15%	2002%	2000	-0.36%	045%	0 15%	9000	-0 25%	4000	-000%	ages,	20,000	COTE	1	1	1	1	1
	2013 AADT	11,772	5 19.781	40,556	5 30,411	25.057	2233	25.236	17,510	27.025	12,057	7,161	11.019	2,818	505	11,136	2,004	6.716	8,438		3,030	67,000	73,000	54.500				
	ZOT ZOTA ANDT ANDT	11,000 11,022	10,550 10,575	20,143 20,547	29,220 30,025	26,700 26,112	23,800 23,454	27,064 27,300	17,132 17,789	35002 27	16 11,525	122,5	79 12,894	5 2005	7 6,507	11,204	\$ 2,917	1	8,000	S 11,121 11,707	9,133	88	71.00	000'10	69.63	Par ye	885	
	ZONA ZONA N	11,679 11.	18,347 10	39,213 39.	23,650 29,	75,834 26,	24,348 23,	25.528 27,0	18 356 17,7	क्षेत्र याञ्ज	12,273 12,716	7,178 7,252	12,264 13,179	75 2,005	13 6,977	12371	27.735		8,273	30,705	353	D 68.000	0 65,500	0 55.500			-	1
	SOUS FAXOT	11,300	12.126	38,027 38	23.348 22	25,557 R	23,612 24	22 030,72	15,023 18	12,765 19	11,385 12,	6.503	11,736 12,	2372 2,175	8,713 7,419	11,285 11,200	2500 2,975	7.200 7.100	2,529 8,419	12,030 10,785	D7 3.451	65,000 66,000	00:00	000,120 000	25.00	1	ł	1
	AMENT AMENT	11.605	19,128	8.35	20,222	24,675	22.256	28,715 2	17,000	11,711	11,535 1	8,705	11,506 1	3,170 3	8 25.7.3 B	11,625 11	2451 2	7,616 7,	2, 2,	11,030 12	1,007	103,500 65,	70,000 69,500	£2,500 54,000	45 500 45,000	35.000 35.000		
	2005 2007 AAUT AAUT	E3 12,000	59 19.03	2 4113	20,000	23 25 25	12.321	5 28.405	2 17.154	22 25	1 12,157	7,977	5 12484	3,445	3,000	10.971	272	82,7	888	11,157	8,715	24,000	62,500	88,000	0,500	30,300	8,8	
	2040 Geograficad 20 Service AM Capacity	15,563	19,759	27,14 00	20,400	8 265:	23,528	22,625	15,702	20,643	FACE	7,300	12.245	3,390	9,435	13,073	3,016	8,545	9,838	11.402	9,030	205,520	54,500	83,50	52,000	88		-
	Capacity Sara Service Sara Capacity Sara		8	0085 00	T COOD	00355 g	CC SSSCO	8	0		9																	
	139	1770	00000	33600	33800	29900	33600	COSCO	33630	33500	1556	2420	34200	15920	15300	15540	17730	2077)	33830	32/800	16390	25500	2550	00953	20053	57500	57600	
	eqt/C Decta	and the second s		Class I. GLn Dwided	Cleas 1 64.n Divided	Class I: 6-Ln Divided	Came 1 S.Ln Divided																					
	ak.	Case C 24sh Undikided	Cost: 4th Divided	Cass): 41,1 Divided	Cass (42n Divided	Case I: 4Ln Divided	Caral Ala Dricke	Clast 6 in Prited	Classif. 44.1 Ended	Class 1: 44.h Divided	Corps : 24.n Divided	24th Uhinter Abdevided Flow	24.n Uhinter Abdivided Plow	2-Ln Undvided Nen-State	Prin Undvided Non-State	Cass 11 245 Divided	Class 1. 21.11 Undvidod	Cost. 24n Undward	Cass L. 4to Divided	Class F & Ln Dwided	Case It 24n Dyddd	Transband Gun Freeway	Transitional Grun Freezeny	Transitional GLin Freency	Transitional G-Ln Preevay	Transitional 44.0 Freeway	Trensitional 4-Un Freeway	-
	SEE			8	3	ę	85				Ü	ň	14	25	72	8	8	B	8	υ	Ö	E	Ta Ta	Tari.	Trans	Trans	Trent	
	So Amed Class	1-10	ğ	9	1-20	8	1,20	8	2,20	ğ	Ch1	3	15	20	. NS-1	240	th.	194	6.30	Q2:1	2:10	624.20	F1-150	FR-5:30	FB:1-30	FR-1-20	13.50	
	Road earth, for LOS : Strad Lookup Grass	L.W.Urbon_1	L. D. Leben, 2	S_mert_CJ	E_menu_Q_1	C man 2	1,0,000,2	ะโพระกั <u>ด</u> ไ	C material	1.0,0ten_2	LULUMAN	เาะเก็กเท	ಗ್ರಹವಾಗ್ರಸ್ತು	NS_U_Unhan_1	NS_U_Cram_1	I Description 1	רה מעשרו	(_meen_U)	(.O_U8m_2	5_mch_0_)	I_O_Utten_1	D_Transt_3	D_TraceA_3	D_Transd_3	D_Transt_3	S_Tanes(2	D_Trans2,2	
	Chitan Transit Paral	red to	Unban	Urben	Urban	Urben	Uman	Urton	CE CENTER	Urban	Urban	Officer	Orban	Udbo	Urban	Urban	Critical	Critical	Capan	Urben	Urber	Travel	Transit	Tent	Transit	Teres!	Tenas	
	Clark Gray			19	60	63	0																					
1	los lociary Day (maller, f.	- C	0		0	0	٥	0	0 ==	۵	5	n sn	n ren	u SM	ns cr	0 11	2	ב	G .	۵	0	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	٥	
	Flant Each N	4-	2	2	2	~	2	ю	2	ч				٧٠					44	7		6	ь	m			2	
	Đ.	Locks Rt	Jack James	Cove Pd.	Salemo Rd	Indon St	SR714	58.5	S Lucie Elva	Sensits Point Rd	Macerthus Bhd	58-732	Stucie County	Inden SI	SPAIA	58.5	Salemo Pic	Pontatoy St.	Man S	58-714	Date Highway						Society Road	
										8			65								8						es .	ç
	From	GR711/GR76A	Lode Rd	day dames	Cove Rd	StemoRd	Nam St	58-714	SR-716	Stude Bird	Seattle Point Rd	Machael Bhd	en-res	GAIA	token St	St Luce Occuriy	Cove Ret	Sylema Rd	Pomeny St	(hater)	525	N of SP 708 (Indentown Road)	N of CR 108 (Bridge Road)	N of SR 78 (Kanner Highway)	Set SR 714 (Myrts Hghray)	S of SR 714 (Marin Highway)	SR 714 (Shart)	the Tano' Demod Medal (Crappa
	Road Name	SR-78 (Yanner Pays)	SR-78 (Kenner Hwy)	SR-75 (Kamar May)	SR-78 (Yearnel Hwy)	SR-16 (Karnor Hwy)	SIR-70 (Kenner Hwy)	SR-76 (Konnar Hay)	SRA1A (Open Bivd)	SR-41A (Ocean Bhrz)	SRAIA (Doppin Biva)	SRAM (Down Bhd)	SR-A1A (Ocean Bivd)	Sturio Elve	St Locio ENd	Wedmoreland Blvd	Witaughby Bive	Wilburghay Shvd	Witagolby Eind	Williagoby Blvd	DAS HAWA	SR 2 (J-35)	ಚಿತ್ರಗಳಿಕೆ	සංග්යෙන	67.0 (1-55)	SRS1	skər	Wit a Rooding Segment that does not expense in the Janes Demand Model (Committee)
			-			- Andrews			Physician			-	- Company				E	-1:	2-							14		MA v Road

EW Consultants, Inc.

Natural Resource Management, Wetland, and Environmental Permitting Services



MEMORANDUM

TO: Josh Long

FROM: Ed Weinberg

DATE: April 4, 2024

RE: Waterside PUD Residential - Agriculture to Residential - Agricultural Evaluation

The purpose of this memorandum is to provide supporting information regarding the proposed conversion of existing agricultural land to residential use in accordance with Policy 4.13A.1(2) of the Martin County Comprehensive Plan for the Waterside PUD Residential property.

Property Description –

The subject property (396.8 +/- acres) is predominantly comprised of previously disturbed land that has been altered from its natural condition by various agricultural uses beginning as early as the 1940s. The only portions of the property that have not been converted from their natural state include partial segments of Roebuck Creek and adjacent forested areas. Analysis of historic aerial photography indicates that the southern and western portions of the property were converted to agricultural lands in the 1950s and were utilized as citrus groves from the 1970s through the mid-2000s at which time these areas were converted to their current use as a row crop farm. The northeastern portions of the property were converted in the 1980s to a cattle ranch and a tree farm between 1986 and 1992. There has been continuous agricultural use of the property for at least 60 years.

The subject property is located in unincorporated Martin County within Section 13, Township 39 South, and Range 40 East. The property is generally located south of SW 96th Street, west of Kanner Highway, east of and adjacent to the C-44 Canal, and within an area that contributes to the headwaters of Roebuck Creek.

EW Consultants, Inc.

Natural Resource Management, Wetland, and Environmental Permitting Services

The Environmental Assessment completed for the property indicates that there are two wetland areas present on the property (limits verified by South Florida Water Management District) and native upland habitat located adjacent to Roebuck Creek. In accordance with Martin County Land Development Regulations, pursuant to any residential development, wetlands would be preserved and maintained in perpetuity. The isolated freshwater marsh will be provided with a 50 foot restored upland buffer and Roebuck Creek and the adjacent floodplain wetlands would be provided with 75 foot restored upland buffers.

The current surface water management system for the row-crop fields (originally authorized under permit 43-00090-S, issued December 15, 1977) is comprised of a network of steep-sided agricultural ditches and furrows that convey storm water and excess irrigation water directly to an outfall along the C-44 Canal without any means of pretreatment or attenuation. Any proposed residential development of the property would require a permitted surface water management system that would provide water quality treatment and attenuation in accordance with current standards.

A permitted surface water management system constructed to current standards for water quality treatment and runoff attenuation will ultimately benefit downstream water quality when compared to the current system which does not provide for water quality treatment or runoff attenuation in accordance with current regulations. A permitted surface water management system would also provide hydrological support to the on site wetlands.

Agricultural Assessment -

According to the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS), the predominant soil type that occurs within the upland portions of the Waterside PUD property is Pineda-Riviera fine sands association, 0 to 2 percent slopes (soil unit symbol 21). The USDA Soil Survey of Martin County Area, Florida (issued 1981) provides descriptions of soil types that occur within Martin County for the purposes of land planning and highlights soil limitations and improvements required to overcome the limitations of the various soil types for selected land uses. According to the USDA, Pineda-Riviera fine sands are characterized as having a rapid permeability in the upper soil layers and slow to very slow permeability in the lower part of the subsoil.

Under natural conditions, the water table is within a depth of ten inches of the surface for two to six months during the wet seasons. Without a water control system to remove excess water and regular applications of fertilizer, this soil type has severe limitations for cultivated crops. Regular applications of fertilizer are also required for either cultivation of citrus or management of improved pasture. This soil is rated as moderate for its potential for a tree plantation, with slash pine being the only species recommended.

EW Consultants, Inc.

Natural Resource Management, Wetland, and Environmental Permitting Services

The next most prevalent soil type present on the site is Holopaw fine sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes (soil unit symbol 66). The descriptions, limitations, and required measures of improvement provided by the USDA are similar to those listed for the Pineda-Riviera sands, except that Holopaw fine sand is described as having "very severe limitations", requiring a carefully designed water control system with regular applications of fertilizer and lime. Citrus production within these soils requires controlling the water table below a depth of four feet and grazing should be controlled to prevent overgrazing.

Considering that the subject property is located within the headwaters of Roebuck Creek and that the row crop agricultural fields discharge directly into the adjacent C-44 Canal, conversion of the subject property to residential with a permitted surface water management system constructed to current standards of water quality treatment provides an opportunity to reduce nutrient pollution within both of these waterways as well as within the on site isolated freshwater marsh. As described in the USDA Soil Survey of Martin County Area, Florida (issued 1981), continued agricultural use of the property will require substantial applications of fertilizer and management of a surface water management system that maintains ground water elevations significantly below the depths that groundwater would otherwise occur under their natural conditions. The required drawdown of the groundwater table for successful agricultural production can be reasonably expected to lead to detrimental effects on the hydrology of Roebuck Creek, its associated floodplain wetlands, and the on site isolated freshwater marsh.

Conversely, an engineered and properly constructed surface water management system designed under current water quality standards would include stormwater retention systems for filtering and storing runoff from the development before reaching downstream waters and would support the hydrology of the on site and adjacent wetlands and Roebuck Creek.