



MARTIN COUNTY, FLORIDA

Growth Management Department

Amendment of the Land Development Regulations to repeal Section 3.260 and Section 3.266

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| Project | Update of the Land Development Regulations for the CRAs |
| Staff | Irene A. Szedlmayer, AICP |
| Date of this Report | June 21, 2021 |

This document may be reproduced upon request in an alternative format by contacting the County ADA Coordinator (772) 320-3131, the County Administration Office (772) 288-5400, Florida Relay 711, or by completing our accessibility feedback form at www.martin.fl.us/accessibility-feedback

This agenda item is a housekeeping matter. No substantive change will be affected by the repeal of Section 3.260, Community Redevelopment Overlay Districts, or Section 3.266, Indiantown Redevelopment Overlay District. These two sections have already been replaced by the adoption of Article 12, the Community Redevelopment Code, in the case of Section 3.260, and by the adoption of Land Development Regulations by the Village of Indiantown on November 12, 2020, in the case of Section 3.266. However, they remain codified in Municode.

Between September 2019 and November 2020, Article 12, Community Redevelopment Code, Division 1, Uniform Standards; Division 2, Jensen Beach; Division 3, Rio; Division 4, Old Palm City; Division 5, Hobe Sound; Division 6, Port Salerno; and Division 7, Golden Gate, Land Development Regulations, Martin County Code, were adopted by the Board of County Commissioners. The ordinances which adopted Divisions 2 through 7 of Article 12, simultaneously repealed the section of Article 3, Division 6 that applied to the same CRA.

Because Section 3.260, Community Redevelopment Overlay Districts, in general, which applied to all Martin County CRAs had to remain effective until Article 12, Division 1 was effective for all CRAs, Section 3.260 was not rescinded at the time Article 12, Division 1 was adopted. Because land within the Village of Indiantown is no longer subject to Martin County jurisdiction, the repeal of Section 3.266, Indiantown Redevelopment Overlay District, did not arise naturally as part of the adoption of Article 12.

Staff recommends that the Local Planning Agency adopt a motion recommending that the Board of County Commissioners adopt the proposed ordinance.