

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	18-0)741			
Туре:	Dep	artmental	Status:	Passed	
			In control:	Board of County Commissioners	
On agenda:	9/25	5/2018	Final action:	9/25/2018	
Title:	GLYPHOSATE BRIEFING				
Sponsors:					
Indexes:					
Code sections:					
Attachments:	1. eng2018M343 SUPPLEMENTAL.pdf, 2. 25 September 2018_Glyphosate.pdf				
Date	Ver.	Action By	Ac	tion	Result
9/25/2018	1	Board of County Commiss	ioners ap	proved	Pass
	_				

PLACEMENT: Departmental

TITLE: GLYPHOSATE BRIEFING

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

On August 21st, 2018 the Board of County Commissioners (Board) directed staff to prepare a presentation on the herbicide glyphosate, alternative weed control methods, and considerations regarding restricting or banning use.

DEPARTMENT: Public Works

PREPARED BY: Name: James Gorton Title: Deputy Public Works Director

REQUESTED BY: Martin County Board of County Commissioners

PRESET: 1:30 PM

PROCEDURES: None

BACKGROUND/RELATED STRATEGIC GOAL:

Glyphosate is a non-selective, systemic herbicide used to control broadleaf plants and grasses. Glyphosate was registered for use by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in 1974 and is presently the most commonly used herbicide in the United States. As mandated by the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), Glyphosate is currently undergoing Registration Review. The Registration Review process allows the EPA to consider new science and ensure products can be used safely. The EPA is expected to publish the proposed interim registration review decision in 2019 along with mitigation measures to reduce risk to human health and the environment from the product.

The current review decision by the EPA is that for registered and labeled uses, glyphosate products do not pose an unreasonable risk to human or environmental health. Despite receiving regulatory approval, there is highly publicized controversy surrounding the safety of glyphosate products. Specifically, the public is concerned over glyphosate in the food supply given its extensive use in agriculture, the product possibly being carcinogenic, and its environmental impacts on waterbodies.

ISSUES:

Martin County residents requested that the Board of County Commissioners consider banning use of glyphosate products due to perceived concerns over its safety. Review of state statues indicates that the county is pre-empted from banning pesticides for use. The board may, however, choose to reduce or suspend use of glyphosate on county facilities.

There are fiscal and flood control concerns that will be addressed in a Supplemental Memo PowerPoint presentation.

LEGAL SUFFICIENCY REVIEW:

N/A

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

RECOMMENDATION

Move that the Board direct staff to develop a formal countywide Integrated Pest Management (IPM) program to effectively and economically manage pests with the least possible hazard to human health and the environment. IPM uses a combination of biological, physical, cultural, and chemical methods to control pests. In IPM, target-specific chemical control is considered only once other control methods have failed.

ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) Move that the Board direct staff to develop site specific use restrictions.
- 2) Move that the Board direct staff to develop a complete ban on county facilities.

FISCAL IMPACT:

RECOMMENDATION

\$50,000 for development of a formal IPM program

Funding Source	County Funds	Non-County Funds
Subtotal		

ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) Selective site ban: Dependent on sites selected
- 2) Complete ban: estimate of \$800,000

DOCUMENT(S) REQUIRING ACTION:

Budget Transfer / Amendment D Chair Letter

Grant / Application

□Ordinance

Contract / Agreement

Other: