



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 19-0050
Type: Departmental
Status: Passed
In control: Board of County Commissioners
On agenda: 11/20/2018
Final action: 11/20/2018
Title: COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY NEIGHBORHOOD ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP
Sponsors:
Indexes:
Code sections:
Attachments: 1. Reso 13-9.48.pdf, 2. Reso No 18-9.29.pdf

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
11/20/2018	1	Board of County Commissioners	approved	Pass

PLACEMENT: Departmental

TITLE:

COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY NEIGHBORHOOD ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

On September 11th, the Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) adopted Resolution 18-9.29 updating the number of appointed Neighborhood Advisory Committee (NAC) members along with the appointment of new NAC members. At that time, the BOCC requested an agenda item regarding NAC appointments.

DEPARTMENT: Administration

PREPARED BY: **Name:** Susan Kores
Title: Manager, Office of Community Development

REQUESTED BY: Board of County Commissioners

PRESET:

PROCEDURES: None

BACKGROUND/RELATED STRATEGIC GOAL:

According to Section 39.4.A, General Ordinances, Martin County Code (the Code), each NAC shall be composed of a minimum of five and a maximum of nine individuals that meet the criteria set forth therein. In 2013, the BOCC adopted Resolution 13.9.48 (attached) that designated a specific number of members to each NAC and appointed the membership. The number of members designated for each NAC was based on the community interest at the time, represented by the

number of applicants. The BOCC took into consideration that each community has a different level of engagement due to area make up and size, and that setting a specific number for each NAC instead of the range (5-9 members) would help to relieve any issues with establishing a quorum and assure that the neighborhoods are properly represented and have a voice. These are the numbers in the “2013” column of Table 1.

Over time, staff inadvertently deviated from the established membership numbers for each NAC when recommending appointments. These are the numbers in the “Deviation” column of Table 1.

The Community Redevelopment Agency requested a discussion on NAC membership, which included what may be the optimum number of members for an NAC. The consensus was that the range established by the Code works well to allow for the needs of each NAC to be met, while the specific number of members designated by Resolution allows for each NAC to effectively manage its membership based on the community interest while avoiding issues with establishing a quorum and potential for tie votes. Each Agency member recommended a number of members for their NAC which would work best considering the number of members currently seated, interest expressed by new applicants, and the need to run efficient meetings.

On August 27th, the Community Redevelopment Agency recommended the BOCC adopt a Resolution, updating the designated number of members for each NAC along with the appointment of new NAC members. The BOCC adopted Resolution 18-9.29 (attached) on September 11, 2018. These are the numbers in the “Present” column of Table 1.

Table 1: NAC Membership

	2013	Deviation	Present	Vacancies
Golden Gate	5	5	7	2
Hobe Sound	5	5	7	1
Jensen Beach	6	5	5	2
Old Palm City	9	9	9	0
Pt. Salerno	6	9	7	0
Rio	9	7	7	0

ISSUES:

None

LEGAL SUFFICIENCY REVIEW:

To the extent this item contains legal issues; it has been reviewed for legal sufficiency, although this is primarily a matter of Board policy.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

RECOMMENDATION

Move that the Board provide direction.

ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATIONS

None

FISCAL IMPACT:

RECOMMENDATION

None

Funding Source	County Funds	Non-County Funds
Subtotal		
Project Total		

ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATIONS

None

DOCUMENT(S) REQUIRING ACTION:

- ☐ Budget Transfer / Amendment ☐ Chair Letter ☐ Contract / Agreement
☐ Grant / Application ☐ Notice ☐ Ordinance ☐ Resolution
☐ Other: