



Martin County

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 19-0679

Type: Public Hearing Status: Passed

In control: Board of County Commissioners

On agenda: 7/30/2019 Final action: 7/30/2019

Title: LEGISLATIVE PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER TRANSMITTAL OF COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

AMENDMENT 18-10, CRA TEXT AMENDMENT

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. CPA 18-10.staff report.pdf, 2. Ch.18.COMMUNITY_REDEVELOPMENT.7.3.19.pdf, 3.

Ch.2.OVERALL GOALS AND DEFINITIONS.pdf, 4. Ch.4.FUTURE LAND USE.pdf, 5.

Ch.6.HOUSING.pdf, 6. Ch.8.COASTAL_MGMT.pdf, 7. Ch.9.CONSERVATION_AND_OPEN_SPACE.pdf, 8.

Ch.13.DRAINAGE AND AQUIFER RECHARGE.pdf, 9. Ch.14.CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS.pdf, 10.

Changes from LPA draft.pdf, 11. minutes.pdf, 12. SupplementalMemo.pdf

 Date
 Ver.
 Action By
 Action
 Result

 7/30/2019
 1
 Board of County Commissioners
 approved
 Pass

PLACEMENT: Public Hearings

TITLE:

LEGISLATIVE PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER TRANSMITTAL OF COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT 18-10, CRA TEXT AMENDMENT

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

CPA 18-10, CRA Text Amendment, was initiated by the Board of County Commissioners with the adoption of Resolution 17-12.3. The amendment proposes the creation of a new Chapter 18, Community Redevelopment, of the Comprehensive Growth Management Plan. Amendments to Chapters 2, Overall Goals and Definitions; Chapter 4, Future Land Use, Chapter 6, Housing; Chapter 8, Coastal Management; Chapter 9, Conservation and Open Space; Chapter 13, Drainage and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharge, and Chapter 14, Capital Improvements, are also proposed. The Local Planning Agency recommended approval.

DEPARTMENT: Growth Management

PREPARED BY: Name: Irene A. Szedlmayer, AICP

Title: Senior Planner

REQUESTED BY: Board of County Commissioners

PRESET:

PROCEDURES: Plan Amendment

File #: 19-0679, Version: 1

BACKGROUND/RELATED STRATEGIC GOAL:

Background/Related Strategic Goal

In accordance with Sections 163.3174(4)(a) and 163.3184(3)(c)1 and (11), Florida Statutes (FS), all Plan amendments require three public hearings. The first public hearing for this Plan amendment was conducted before the Local Planning Agency on June 20, 2019. Today's is the second public hearing, at which the Board of County Commissioners determines whether to transmit or not transmit the plan amendment to the State Land Planning Agency.

Upon transmittal, Section 163.3184, FS, requires state and regional reviewing agencies to provide comments to the County regarding any impacts to important state resources and facilities. The state and regional reviewing agencies must provide their comments, if any, to Martin County within 30 days of the agency receipt of the amendments. If comments are received regarding impact to state resources and facilities, they must be addressed prior to adoption of the Plan amendment. Failure to successfully address impacts to state resources and facilities may form the basis for a challenge to the amendment after adoption.

The adoption public hearing is the third required public hearing. Pursuant to Section 163.3184(3)(c)1 and (11), FS, Martin County must hold the adoption public hearing within 180 days after the receipt of reviewing agency comments. If Martin County fails to hold an adoption public hearing, the amendment is deemed withdrawn unless extended by agreement and notice to the State Land Planning Agency and any affected party that provided comments on the amendment. If approved within 180 days, Martin County has ten days to send the amendment adoption package to the State Land Planning Agency. The State Land Planning Agency has five days to find the amendment adoption package complete.

If not timely-challenged, the effective date of a plan amendment adopted under the state expedited review process is 31 days after the state land planning agency notifies the County that the plan amendment package is complete. If timely challenged, an amendment does not become effective until the state land planning agency or the Administration Commission enters a final order determining the adopted amendment to be in compliance. If approval of a Plan amendment is challenged, the process for the administrative review will be as provided in Section 163.3184(5), FS.

ISSUES:

None

LEGAL SUFFICIENCY REVIEW:

Decisions approving or rejecting proposed plan amendments constitute legislative actions of the BCC. Legislative decisions are those in which the local government formulates policy. They are different from rezoning and site plan decisions which are quasi-judicial actions involving the application of specific rules of policy to specific situations. A local government's approval or denial of an issue in its legislative capacity is typically subject to a fairly debatable standard of review. Fairly debatable means that the government's action must be upheld if reasonable minds could differ as to the propriety of the decision reached. Decisions subject to the fairly debatable standard of review need only be rationally related to a legitimate public purpose, such as the health, safety, and welfare of the public, to be valid. Given this broad discretion, only decisions that are arbitrary and capricious or illegal are subject to serious legal challenge. If approval of a Plan amendment is challenged, the

File #: 19-0679, Version: 1		
approval will be sustained if it complies with Florida S (e.g. proper notice, public hearing) and (2) substationally and substantive requirements in ordinarily seek a remedy based on constitutional claim	intive requirements (e al of a Plan amendmen place, the County doe rder to deny an amend	.g. adequate data and nt, have an even highe es not have to meet the
RECOMMENDED ACTION:		
RECOMMENDATION		
Move that the Board approve transmittal to the State L Amendment, amending the Comprehensive Growth Community Redevelopment Element, and making related and 14.	Management Plan to	create Chapter 18, the
ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATIONS		
Move that staff provide additional information or a proposed text and continue the item to a future date.	nalysis or make certa	in modifications to the
FISCAL IMPACT:		
RECOMMENDATION		
Staff time.		
Funding Source	County Funds	Non-County Funds
Subtotal		
Project Total		
9		
ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATIONS		
1. Staff time.		
DOCUMENT(S) REQUIRING ACTION:		
□ Budget Transfer / Amendment □ Chair Letter □ Grant / Application □ Notice □ Or □ Other:	□Contra dinance □Resolu	ct / Agreement ition