



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 20-0182

Type: Public Hearing

Status: Passed

In control: Board of County Commissioners

On agenda: 12/17/2019

Final action: 12/17/2019

Title: LEGISLATIVE PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER TRANSMITTAL OF COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT 19-24, OLD PALM CITY FUTURE LAND USE MAP

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. OPC.FLUM.staffrpt.pdf, 2. Application Materials.pdf, 3. Minutes.pdf, 4. ordinance.pdf, 5. Public Comment.pdf, 6. Public Notice.pdf

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
12/17/2019	1	Board of County Commissioners	approved	Pass

PLACEMENT: Public Hearings

TITLE:

LEGISLATIVE PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER TRANSMITTAL OF COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT 19-24, OLD PALM CITY FUTURE LAND USE MAP

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

This agenda item concerns the transmittal of the amendment of the Future Land Use Map regarding the Old Palm City Community Redevelopment Area (609 acres). The proposed amendment will change the Low Density Residential, Medium Density Residential, Commercial Office/Residential, Limited Commercial, General Commercial or General Institutional future land use designations to CRA Center, CRA Neighborhood, Public Conservation, Recreational, or General Institutional future land use designations, and eliminate the Old Palm City Mixed-Use Future Land Use Overlay.

DEPARTMENT: Growth Management

PREPARED BY: **Name:** Irene A. Szedlmayer, AICP
Title: Senior Planner

REQUESTED BY: Board of County Commissioners

PRESET:

PROCEDURES: Plan Amendment

BACKGROUND/RELATED STRATEGIC GOAL:

In accordance with Sections 163.3174(4)(a) and 163.3184(3)(c)1 and (11), Florida Statutes (FS), all Plan amendments require three public hearings. The first public hearing for this Plan amendment

was conducted before the Local Planning Agency on December 5, 2019. December 17, 2019 is the second public hearing, at which the Board of County Commissioners determines whether to transmit or not transmit the plan amendment to the State Land Planning Agency.

Upon transmittal, Section 163.3184, FS, requires state and regional reviewing agencies to provide comments to the County regarding any impacts to important state resources and facilities. The state and regional reviewing agencies must provide their comments, if any, to Martin County within 30 days of the agency receipt of the amendments. If comments are received regarding impact to state resources and facilities, they must be addressed prior to adoption of the Plan amendment. Failure to successfully address impacts to state resources and facilities may form the basis for a challenge to the amendment after adoption.

The adoption public hearing is the third required public hearing. Pursuant to Section 163.3184(3)(c)1 and (11), FS, Martin County must hold the adoption public hearing within 180 days after the receipt of reviewing agency comments. If Martin County fails to hold an adoption public hearing, the amendment is deemed withdrawn unless extended by agreement and notice to the State Land Planning Agency and any affected party that provided comments on the amendment. If approved within 180 days, Martin County has ten days to send the amendment adoption package to the State Land Planning Agency. The State Land Planning Agency has five days to find the amendment adoption package complete.

If not timely-challenged, the effective date of a plan amendment adopted under the state expedited review process is 31 days after the state land planning agency notifies the County that the plan amendment package is complete. If timely challenged, an amendment does not become effective until the state land planning agency or the Administration Commission enter a final order determining the adopted amendment to be in compliance. If approval of a Plan amendment is challenged, the process for the administrative review will be as provided in Section 163.3184(5), FS.

Decisions approving or rejecting proposed Plan amendments constitute legislative actions of the Board of County Commissioners. Legislative decisions are those in which the local government formulates policy. They are different from rezoning and site plan decisions which are quasi-judicial actions involving the application of specific rules of policy to specific situations. A local government's approval or denial of an issue in its legislative capacity is typically subject to a fairly debatable standard of review. Fairly debatable means that the government's action must be upheld if reasonable minds could differ as to the propriety of the decision reached. Decisions subject to the fairly debatable standard of review need only be rationally related to a legitimate public purpose, such as the health, safety, and welfare of the public, to be valid. Given this broad discretion, only decisions that are arbitrary and capricious or illegal are subject to serious legal challenge. If approval of a Plan amendment is challenged, the approval will be sustained if it complies with Florida Statutes regarding (1) procedural requirements (e.g. proper notice, public hearing) and (2) substantive requirements (e.g. adequate data and analysis, internal consistency). Challenges to a denial of a Plan amendment, have an even higher burden. Since the Comprehensive Plan is already in place, the County does not have to meet the statutory, procedural or substantive requirements in order to deny an amendment. Thus, challengers ordinarily seek a remedy based on constitutional claims or some other basis.

ISSUES:

None.

LEGAL SUFFICIENCY REVIEW:

This is a legislative matter. Legislative decisions are those in which the local government formulates policy rather than applying specific rules to a particular situation. A local government's approval or denial of an issue in its legislative capacity is typically subject to a fairly debatable standard of review. Fairly debatable means that the government's action must be upheld if reasonable minds could differ as to the propriety of the decision reached. Decisions subject to the fairly debatable standard of review need only be rationally related to a legitimate public purpose, such as the health, safety, and welfare of the public, to be valid. Given this broad discretion, only decisions that are arbitrary and capricious or illegal are subject to serious legal challenge amendment is challenged.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

RECOMMENDATION

Move that the Board approve transmittal to the state land planning agency of an amendment of the Future Land Use Map regarding the Old Palm City CRA to change the Low Density Residential, Medium Density Residential, Commercial Office/Residential, Limited Commercial, General Commercial or General Institutional future land use designations to CRA Center, CRA Neighborhood, Public Conservation, Recreational, or General Institutional future land use designations, and to eliminate the Old Palm City Mixed-Use Future Land Use Overlay.

ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATIONS

Move that staff provide additional information and continue the item to a future date.

FISCAL IMPACT:

RECOMMENDATION

Staff time.

Funding Source	County Funds	Non-County Funds
Subtotal		
Project Total		

ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Staff time.
2. Staff time.

DOCUMENT(S) REQUIRING ACTION:

- ☐ Budget Transfer / Amendment ☐ Chair Letter ☐ Contract / Agreement
☐ Grant / Application ☐ Notice ☐ Ordinance ☐ Resolution
☐ Other: