



## Martin County

### Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 20-0668

Type: Public Hearing Status: Passed

In control: Board of County Commissioners

On agenda: 5/5/2020 Final action: 5/5/2020

Title: PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER ADOPTION OF AN AMENDMENT TO ARTICLE 3, TABLE 3.12.1,

**LDR** 

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Ordinance.pdf, 2. Final Staff Report\_LI HeightChange\_LDR.pdf, 3. Attachment.PermittedUses.pdf,

4. Attachment.Zoningdistrict\_Heightdocx.pdf, 5. ApplicationMaterials (Supplement not included).pdf, 6. 2019-1113 Applicant Supplemental to Application 1.pdf, 7. 2020-0224 Applicant Supplemental to Application 2.pdf, 8. Staff PPT Presentation Proposed Amendment to LDR, Article 3..pdf, 9. Ad.pdf

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
5/5/2020	1	Board of County Commissioners	approved	Pass

**PLACEMENT:** Public Hearings

#### TITLE:

# PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER ADOPTION OF AN AMENDMENT TO ARTICLE 3, TABLE 3.12.1, LDR

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

The Gunster Law Firm has proposed an amendment to the Land Development Regulations to amend Table 3.12.1, Development Standards, of Article 3. The amendment is regarding the development standards of the LI zoning district and would allow Commercial and Business uses permitted by the LI zoning district to have an increased height.

**DEPARTMENT:** Growth Management

PREPARED BY: Name: Maria Jose, M.S.

Title: Planner

**REQUESTED BY:** Robert S. Raynes, Jr.

PRESET:

**PROCEDURES: None** 

### **BACKGROUND/RELATED STRATEGIC GOAL:**

This is a public hearing to consider adoption of an ordinance amending Article 3, Land Development Regulation (LDR), Martin County regarding development standards of the LI zoning district.

File #: 20-0668, Version: 1			
The Gunster Law Firm has propo 3.12.1, and adding a footnote tha LI zoning district to be developed	at would allow t	he Commercial and	d Business Uses permitted by the
This matter was considered by recommend approval of staff's rec			The LPA voted unanimously to
ISSUES:			
None.			
LEGAL SUFFICIENCY REVIEW:	:		
This is a legislative matter. Legis policy rather than applying specidenial of an issue in its legislative Fairly debatable means that the gas to the propriety of the decisive review need only be rationally relwelfare of the public, to be valid capricious or illegal are subject to	fic rules to a p capacity is typ government's ac on reached. Do lated to a legiting. Given this bro	articular situation. ically subject to a faction must be uphe ecisions subject to mate public purposoad discretion, onl	A local government's approval of airly debatable standard of review ld if reasonable minds could differ the fairly debatable standard of e, such as the health, safety, and
RECOMMENDED ACTION:			
RECOMMENDATION			
Move that the Board adopt the ord	dinance as pres	sented.	
ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATE Provide staff direction.	TIONS		
FISCAL IMPACT:			
RECOMMENDATION			
Application fees.			
ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATE As above	TIONS		
DOCUMENT(S) REQUIRING AC	TION:		
☐ Budget Transfer / Amendment			☐ Contract / Agreement
☐ Grant / Application ☐ Other:	□Notice	⊠Ordinance	Resolution

This document may be reproduced upon request in an alternative format by contacting the County ADA Coordinator (772) 320-3131, the County Administration Office (772) 288-5400, Florida Relay 711, or by completing our accessibility feedback form at <a href="https://www.martin.fl.us/accessibility-feedback">www.martin.fl.us/accessibility-feedback</a> <a href="https://www.martin.fl.us/accessibility-feedback">http://www.martin.fl.us/accessibility-feedback</a>.