



## Legislation Details (With Text)

**File #:** 20-0736

**Type:** Public Hearing      **Status:** Passed

**In control:** Board of County Commissioners

**On agenda:** 6/16/2020      **Final action:** 6/16/2020

**Title:** LEGISLATIVE PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER ADOPTION OF COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT (CPA) 19-25, HOBE SOUND FUTURE LAND USE MAP (FLUM)

**Sponsors:**

**Indexes:**

**Code sections:**

**Attachments:** 1. Staff Report.5.15.20.pdf, 2. Ordinance.pdf, 3. Legal.Descriptions.pdf, 4. Public Notice.pdf, 5. PublicComment.pdf, 6. Correspondence.pdf

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
6/16/2020	1	Board of County Commissioners	approved	Pass

**PLACEMENT:** Public Hearings

**TITLE:**

**LEGISLATIVE PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER ADOPTION OF COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT (CPA) 19-25, HOBE SOUND FUTURE LAND USE MAP (FLUM)**

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

CPA 19-25 amends the Future Land Use Map on the 1,024 acres comprising the Hobe Sound CRA. Generally, all residential and commercial future land use designations in this area will become CRA Center, CRA Neighborhood, General Institutional, Public Conservation, or Recreational future land use designations. The Hobe Sound Mixed-Use Future Land Use Overlay is repealed. The Residential Estate Density and the High Density Residential future land use designations are retained for the Shellbridge Subdivision and 8.4 acres governed by a PUD Zoning Agreement, respectively.

**DEPARTMENT:** Growth Management

**PREPARED BY:** **Name:** Irene A. Szedlmayer, AICP  
**Title:** Principal Planner

**REQUESTED BY:** Board of County Commissioners

**PRESET:**

**PROCEDURES:** Plan Amendment

**BACKGROUND/RELATED STRATEGIC GOAL:**

In accordance with Sections 163.3174(4)(a) and 163.3184(3)(c)1 and (11), Florida Statutes (FS), all

Comprehensive Plan amendments require three public hearings. The first public hearing for this Plan amendment was conducted before the Local Planning Agency on April 2, 2020. The second public hearing was conducted on May 5, 2020, at which time the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) decided to transmit the Plan amendment to the State Land Planning Agency and other state and regional reviewing agencies.

Upon transmittal, Section 163.3184, FS, requires state and regional reviewing agencies to provide comments to the County regarding any impacts to important state resources and facilities. The state and regional reviewing agencies must provide their comments, if any, to Martin County within 30 days of the agency receipt of the amendments. If comments are received regarding impact to state resources and facilities, they must be addressed prior to adoption of the Plan amendment. Failure to successfully address impacts to state resources and facilities may form the basis for a challenge to the amendment after adoption.

The adoption public hearing is the third required public hearing. Pursuant to Section 163.3184(3)(c)1 and (11), FS, Martin County must hold the adoption public hearing within 180 days after the receipt of reviewing agency comments. If Martin County fails to hold an adoption public hearing, the amendment is deemed withdrawn unless extended by agreement and notice to the State Land Planning Agency and any affected party that provided comments on the amendment. If the Plan amendment is adopted, Martin County has 10 days to send the amendment adoption package to the State Land Planning Agency. The State Land Planning Agency has 5 days to determine whether the amendment adoption package complete.

If an affected party challenges the adoption of a plan amendment, the petition must be filed within 30 days of the adoption date of the amendment. If the State Land Planning Agency challenges the adoption of a Plan amendment, the petition must be filed within 30 days of the completeness determination by the State Land Planning Agency.

## **ISSUES:**

Please see the attached staff report for analysis of the proposed amendment.

## **LEGAL SUFFICIENCY REVIEW:**

Decisions approving or rejecting proposed Plan amendments constitute legislative actions because they involve the policymaking function of the BCC. They are different from rezoning and site plan decisions which are quasi-judicial actions involving the application of general rules of policy to specific situations. If approval of a Plan amendment is challenged by an affected person, the approval will be sustained if it complies with Florida Statutes regarding (1) procedural requirements (e.g. proper notice, public hearing), and (2) substantive requirements (e.g. adequate data and analysis, internal consistency). The fairly debatable standard is applicable to the review and requires judicial approval of a BCC approval if reasonable persons could differ as to the propriety of the decision. For example, if a petitioner were to claim that an amendment adopted a provision that was not consistent with an existing provision of the Comprehensive Plan, the court would strike down the amendment only if the court found no reasonable person would think that the provisions are consistent. If approval of a Comprehensive Plan amendment is challenged, the process for administrative review will be as provided in Section 163.3184(5), FS.

## **RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

## RECOMMENDATION

Move that the Board approve adoption of CPA 19-25, Hobe Sound FLUM.

## ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATIONS

Move that staff provide additional information and continue the item to a future date.

## FISCAL IMPACT:

## RECOMMENDATION

Staff time.

Funding Source	County Funds	Non-County Funds
Subtotal		
Project Total		

## ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Staff time.

## DOCUMENT(S) REQUIRING ACTION:

- ☐ Budget Transfer / Amendment   ☐ Chair Letter   ☐ Contract / Agreement  
☐ Grant / Application   ☐ Notice   ☒ Ordinance   ☐ Resolution  
☐ Other: